CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT SANITARY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6, 2024

AGENDA - AMENDED

The meeting will be held in person at 7:00 p.m. in the Stevens Creek Office Center, Suite 100, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, California and via virtual teleconference.

President Chen will be attending remotely aboard the Crown Princess Cruise Ship en route to Ensenada, Mexico.

Anyone interested may attend in person, by phone [call 1 (866) 899 - 4679 Conference Access Code: 251566821], or virtually <u>https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/251566821</u>.

1. ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons desiring to address the board on any matter not on the agenda. Speakers are limited to three (3) minutes.

All statements requiring a response will be referred to staff for further action. In most cases, state law will prohibit the board from making any decisions with respect to a matter not listed on the agenda.

3. CLOSED SESSION

A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

4. MINUTES

- A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 21, 2024
- B. APPROVED MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 7, 2024

5. CORRESPONDENCE

A. LETTER – SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT FY 2024-25 REVENUE PROGRAM

6. MEETINGS

- A. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) TO BE HELD ON MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2024
- B. CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE FOR SEWER SYSTEM EXCELLENCE (CASSE) TELECONFERENCE TO BE HELD WEDNESDAY, MARCH 13, 2024
- C. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TPAC) HELD ON THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2024

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT SANITARY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6, 2024

7. REPORTS

A. SANTA CLARA COUNTY SPECIAL DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, MARCH 4, 2024

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. DRAFT BUDGET FY 2024-25

9. NEW BUSINESS

- A. MBR PLUMBING REQUEST TO PROVIDE LATERAL MAINTENANCE SERVICES
- B. SSMP AUDIT

10. STAFF REPORT

A. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

11. CALENDAR ITEMS

A. NEXT REGULAR DISTRICT BOARD MEETING IS TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024

12. ADJOURNMENT

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:00 p.m. This meeting was conducted at the District office at 20863 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 100, Cupertino. Participation was also available via videoconference.

1. ROLL CALL:

Acting President Saadati called the meeting to order, and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Board Members present: Bill Bosworth, Patrick Kwok, Taghi Saadati, and David Doyle. Angela Chen arrived at 7:04pm. Absent: None

Staff present: District Manager Benjamin Porter, District Administrative Clerk Frankie Martinez, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

Public Present: None

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

3. CLOSED SESSION:

Acting President Saadati adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 7:01 p.m. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez left the meeting. President Chen arrived at 7:04pm.

Conference with legal counsel – Existing Litigation in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District, and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara, and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

Board action: There was no reportable action.

President Chen adjourned the closed session at 7:16 p.m. and the regular meeting was called to order. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez returned to the regular meeting.

4. MINUTES & BILLS:

A. Approval of the Minutes of February 7, 2024

On a motion by Director Bosworth, seconded by Director Doyle, by a vote of 5-0-0, the minutes of the regular meeting held on Wednesday, February 7, 2024, were approved as written.

B. Approved Minutes of January 17, 2024

By consensus, the Minutes of Wednesday, January 17, 2024, are to be Noted & Filed.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

C. Payment of Bills and Approval of Financial Statements

On a motion by Director Bosworth, seconded by Director Doyle, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved the financial statements and payment of bills as written.

D. Director's Monthly Timesheets

All Board members turned in their timesheets.

5. CORRESPONDENCE:

A. City of San Jose Letter – FY 2024-25 Regional Wastewater Facility (RWF) Capital Cost Allocation.

Manager Porter reported on the City of San Jose Cost Allocation letter. It is to be Noted & Filed.

6. MEETINGS:

A. Director Bosworth plans to attend the Santa Clara County Special Districts Association regular meeting to be held on Monday, March 3, 2024.

7. REPORTS:

- A. Director Kwok reported on the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) held Thursday, February 8, 2024.
- B. Manager Porter reported on the California Alliance for Sewer System Excellence (CASSE) teleconference held on Wednesday, February 14, 2024.

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. Via Regina Lift Station Bypass Connection Bid Opening Results

Manager Porter reported to the Board the bid opening results for Via Regina Lift Station Bypass Connection. Able Underground Construction was the lowest bidder. On motion by Director Kwok, seconded by Director Saadati, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved Staff's recommendation to award the bid to Able Underground Construction.

B. Project Updates

Manager Porter reported to the Board on the Forum odor control investigation and the Rise development projects.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

9. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Preliminary Budget Discussion

Manager Porter presented the Board with a preliminary draft budget for Fiscal Year 2024-2025. The Board provided comments. There was no Board action. Staff are to bring back the budget with responses to the Board's comments.

10. STAFF REPORTS:

A. Future Development Projects

Manager Porter reported on the status of future development projects.

B. Maintenance Summary

Manager Porter reported one sewer spill in Saratoga caused by a build-up of roots and grease.

11. CALENDAR ITEMS:

A. The next regular District Board Meeting is scheduled to be held on Wednesday, March 6, 2024. President Chen will be absent if she cannot attend virtually. She is to confirm with Manager Porter closer to the date.

12. ADJOURNMENT:

On a motion properly made and seconded, at 9:23 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary of the Sanitary Board

President of the Sanitary Board

APPROVED

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:00 p.m. This meeting was conducted at the District office at 20863 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 100, Cupertino. Participation was also available via videoconference.

1. ROLL CALL:

President Chen called the meeting to order, and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Board Members present: Angela Chen, Bill Bosworth, Patrick Kwok, Taghi Saadati, and David Doyle. Absent: None

Staff present: District Manager Benjamin Porter, District Administrative Clerk Frankie Martinez, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

Public Present: None

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

3. CLOSED SESSION:

President Chen adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 7:01 p.m. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez left the meeting.

Conference with legal counsel – Existing Litigation in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District, and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara, and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

Board action: There was no reportable action.

President Chen adjourned the closed session at 7:07 p.m. and the regular meeting was called to order. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez returned to the regular meeting.

4. MINUTES:

A. Approval of the Minutes of January 17, 2024

On a motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Kwok, by a vote of 3-0-2, the minutes of the regular meeting held on Wednesday, January 17, 2024, were approved as written. President Chen and Director Bosworth abstained.

B. Approved Minutes of December 20, 2023

By consensus, the Minutes of Wednesday, December 20, 2023, are to be Noted & Filed.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

5. CORRESPONDENCE:

A. LAFCO Agenda – February 7, 2024

Counsel Hynes reported on Items for Action 5. Update on Implementation of Recommendations from LAFCO's Countywide Fire Service Review.

6. MEETINGS:

- A. Director Kwok plans to attend the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) to be held on Thursday, February 8, 2024.
- B. Staff will attend the California Alliance for Sewer System Excellence (CASSE) teleconference to be held Wednesday, February 14, 2024.

7. REPORTS:

- A. CSRMA: President Chen and Director Saadati reported on the CSRMA training.
- B. CASA Conference: President Chen, Director Saadati, and Manager Porter reported on the Conference sessions.
- C. Manager Porter reported on the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) held Monday, February 5, 2024.

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. Project Updates

Manager Porter provided an update to the Board on the Rise project. The developer intends to make the sewer private within the development.

9. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Annual Renewal for GraniteNet Software

The Board reviewed a memo requesting approval to renew GraniteNet software. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved annual renewal of GraniteNet software and reimbursement to Mark Thomas in the amount of \$2,344.00.

B. City of Saratoga Annual Pavement Management Program

The Board reviewed a memo requesting authorization of payment to the City of Saratoga for District's cost associated with raising District manhole covers to grade during the City's re-pavement project. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved payment to the City of Saratoga in the amount of \$21,600.00.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

C. Structural Defect Repair Project Phase II – Approval

Manager Porter reported on Phase II of the Significant Defects Repair Project. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board authorized staff to advertise requests for bids.

10. STAFF REPORTS:

A. Future Development Projects

Manager Porter reported on the status of future development projects.

11. CALENDAR ITEMS:

A. The Next Regular District Board Meeting Scheduled to be Held on Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

12. ADJOURNMENT:

On a motion properly made and seconded, at 8:26 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary of the Sanitary Board

President of the Sanitary Board



Environmental Services Department

RECEIVED FEB 29 2024 CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT

January 23, 2024

TO: Tributary Agencies

SUBJECT: FY 2024-25 Revenue Program

Attached are the San Jose/Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant revenue program forms No. 7 through 12 for FY 2024-25. These forms are submitted to you for your use in preparing your budgets and sewer service rates.

The forms contain the distribution of the preliminary Operating and Maintenance Budget (\$159,901,000) for FY 2024-25 to the tributary agencies. Although these costs are preliminary, they are not anticipated to differ materially from the final proposed budget which will be submitted to the Treatment Plant Advisory Committee and to the San Jose City Council for adoption.

If you have any questions, please call me 975-2599 or Lillian Nguyen at 975-2567.

Sincerely,

LAURA BURKE Principal Accountant Administrative Services Division Environmental Services Department

Attachments

٥

CITY OF SAN JOSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) 2024-25 REVENUE PROGRAM

The attached revenue program forms were prepared to show the distribution of FY24-25 preliminary Operating and Maintenance budget to the tributary agencies and to provide the parameter allocation percentages for agencies to use in redistributing RWF capital costs and debt service costs to their respective users. The distribution of FY24-25 preliminary capital budget was submitted to the tributary agencies in a separate packet.

The attached revenue program forms contain the following:

- Capital cost (debt service) allocation percentages by treatment parameters (Flow, BOD, SS and Ammonia) by combinations of facilities.
- Distribution of FY 2024-25 preliminary Operating and Maintenance (O & M) costs to the tributary agencies.

CAPITAL COST (DEBT SERVICE) PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES

Since debt-service and capital reserve expenses should be carefully matched to allocation ratios for the appropriate combination of facilities for which the funds were intended, the following forms have been maintained for each agency to use, if applicable, in allocating capital cost (debt service) to the agency's users:

- Form 10A: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing Pre-1982 debt services (Primary, Secondary and Advance Waste Treatment Facilities)
- Form 10B: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing Post-1982 through Pre-SBWR debt services (1982 Priority, Intermediate-Term Improvement - 143 MGD & First Stage Expansion -167 MGD)
- Form 10C: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing SBWR debt services
- Form 10D: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing FY24-25 capital costs and debt services

OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST

Form 12 contains the distribution of the estimated treatment plant O & M costs for FY 2024-25 to the tributary agencies. The form also contains the percentage allocation by parameter for each agency. These percentages are for the agencies' use in redistributing their costs to their resepective users.

The O & M cost distribution to the tributary agencies was based on the volume and strength of sewage as reported by the agencies and shown on Form 11.

The FY 2024-25 Preliminary Operating and Maintenance cost distribution is as follows:

AGENCY	Percentage	O & M (rounded)
San Jose	63.140	\$100,963,500
Santa Clara	15.643	25,012,600
West Vallley Sanitary District	9.093	14,539,000
Cupertino	5.186	8,291,700
Milpitas	5,745	9,185,800
County Sanitation District 2-3	.962	1,538,300
Burbank	.231	370,100
Total	100%	159,901,000

PROCESS UNIT General Plant: Administration			A A 1 47			A							
Е				PARA	(%) LOADING PARAMETERS		CAPITAL RECOVERY	ANNUAL	FLOW COST	TREATME	NT PARAME	TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATION	ATION
		ESTIMATED COST	USEFUL	FLOW BOD	OD SS	NH3	FACTOR 6%	2	TO I/I 20.936%	FLOW COST	BOD	SS COST	NH3 COST
	Struct. Equip.	\$1,360,645 559,314	40 15	42 42	39 19 39 19		0.06646 0.10296	\$90,400 57,600	\$7,900 5,100	\$30,000 19,100	\$35,300 22,500	\$17,200 10,900	
	Struct.	1,279,786	40	42	39 19		0.06646	85,100	7,400	28,300	33,200	16,200	
Maintenance;	Struct.	728,720	40	42	39 19		0.06646	48,400	4,300	16,100	18,900	9,200	
Laboratory:	Equip.	39,445	10	42	39 19		0.13587	5,400	500	1,800	2,100	1,000	
Air Production:	Struct. Equip.	3,141,665 2,499,759	40		100 - 100	62	0.06646 0.08718	208,800 X 217,900 X	0 0	0	208,800 217,900	0 0	
Electric Power:	Struct. Equip.	885,260 1,093,092	40	4 4 4 4	39 19 39 19		0.06646 0.07823	58,800 85,500	5,200 7,500	19,500 28,400	22,900 33,300	11,200 16,200	
Pretreatment:	Struct. Equip.	1,184,539 449,975	40 15	100	100		0.06646 0.10296	78,700 X 46,300 X	t 16,500	62,200 0	00	0 46,300	
Primary Sedimentation:	Struct. Equip.	6,693,651 1,186,655	40 25	100	35 65	5.0	0.06646 0.07823	444,900 X 92,800 X	93,100	351,800 0	0 32,500	0 60,300	
Raw Sewage Pump:	Struct. Equip.	588,603 347,879	40 20	100		·	0.06646 0.08718	39,100 X 30,300 X	8,200	30,900 24,000	0 0	0	
Settle Sewage Pump:	Equip.	194;731	20	100			0.08718	17,000 X	3,600	13,400	0	0	
Secondary Effluent:	Struct. Equip.	870,666 946,259	40 20	100 100		(i)	0.06646 0.08718	57,900 X 82,500 X	12,100	45,800 65,200	00	.	÷
Secondary Clarifiers:	Struct. Equip.	3,629,055 1,322,373	40 25	100	100		0.06646 0.07823	241,200 X 103,400 X	50,500	190,700	0 103,400	0 0	
Chlorination:	Struct. Equip.	1,236,481 788,480	30	100			0.07265 0.11928	89,800 X 94,000 X	18,800 19,700	71,000 74,300	0 0	00	
Solids Handling & Disposal:	Struct. Equip.	3,219,811	40		50 50 50 50	1	0.06646 0.08718	280,700 X 280,700 X	0 0	00	499,900	499,900 140,300	
Outfall Sewer:	Struct.	210,934	75	100			0.06077	12,800 X	2,700	10,100	0	0	
Activated Sludge Processing:	Struct. Equip.	9,924,376 2,145,192	40 25	75 2	55 00		0.06646 0.07823	659,600 X 167,800 X	103,600	391,100 0	164,900 167,800	00	
Study: Sewage Treatment Feasibility	bility	107,500	30	42 3	9 19		0.07265	7,800	200	2,600	3,000	1,500	ž
Total of "x" items (used to establish %)	(% usi							\$3,965,300	\$352,400	\$1,330,500	\$1,535,600	\$746,800	
TOTAL		\$61,678,002	OTTA GALANTA CA	O T T A UG	NOW AD	Mary change		\$4,404,300	\$391,000	\$1,476,300	\$1,706,800	\$830,200	
		4	AIVANELA	YTTY WITTY	CALIUN	LEKCEL	CATION FERCENTAGES (1)	100%	8.887%	33.554%	38.726%	18.833%	

Page 2 of 19

Ъ

FORM No. 7B			6	HOCK IN 1	THAT TO	VUY NU	AND A MOLOGIC						
-		DISTRIBUTIC	C. ISIH Jo NO	- AGUL VIAL - ORICAL I	PROJEC	T COST	KEGUNAL WA	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY DISTRIBUTION of HISTORICAL PROJECT COSTS to PARAMETERS for the ADVANCE WASTE TEREA THERE FACTORING	TLITY ICE WASTE TOF	A TMTNT BA CT	Server 1		2/24
				(%) LC PARAN	(%) LOADING PARAMETERS		CAPITAL RECOVERY	ANNUAL	FLOW COST	TREATME	TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATIONS	LTER ALLO	CATIONS
PROCESS UNIT		ESTIMATED COST	TIDERUL	FLOW BOD	SS (I)	CHN	FACTOR 6%	RECOVERY COST	TO I/1 20.936%	RLOW COST	BOD COST	SS COST	NH3 COST
NITRIFICATION FACILITIES	CILITIES												
Site Development:	Struct Equip.	\$3,583,000 112,0 0 0	40 20	75 100		25	0.06646 0.08718	\$238,100 9,800	\$37,300 2,100	\$141,300 7,700	0 80	0 \$ 0	\$59,500 0
Service Wing:	Struct Equip.	656,000 414,000	40	30		70 70	0.06646 0.08718	43,600 36,100	2,700	10,400 8,600	00	0 0	30,500 25,300
Blower Building:	Struct Equip.	3,353,000 5,453,000	40	15 15 -		85 85	0.06646 0.08718	222,800 475,400	7,000 14,900	26,400 56,400	00	0	189,400 404,100
Nitrification Tanks:	Struct Equip.	9,382,000 3,247,000	40	80 50		20	0.06646 0.07823	623,500 254,000	104,400 26,600	394,400 100,400	0 0	0	124,700 127,000
Tertiary Settlement Tanks:	Struct Equip.	7,802,000 6,552,000	40	100 100			0.06646 0.07823	518,500 512,600	108,600 107,300	409,900 405,300	00	00	00
Computer Additions:	Equip.	179,000	20	80		20	0.08718	15,600	2,600	9,900	0	0	3,100
FILTRATION FACILITIES	TIES	5					1	a	2			•	
Site Development:	Struct Equip.	5,825,000 1,466,000	40 20	80 10		20 90	0.06646 0.08718	387,100 127,800	64,800 2,700	244,900 10,100	00	00	77,400 115,000
Service Wing:	Struct Equip.	646,000 414,000	40 20	100 100			0.06646 0.08718	42,900 36,100	9,000	33,900 28,500	00	00	0 0
Tertiary Filters	Struct Equip.	9,089,000 8,112,000	40 25	100 100			0.06646 0.07823	604,100 634,600	126,500 132,900	477,600 501,700	0.0	0.0	00
Administration Building Additions:	Struct Equip.	364,000 425,000	40	82 67 2	63	18 28	0.06646 0.08718	24,200 37,100	4,200 5,200	15,600 19,700	0 700	0 1,100	4,400
Blower Bldg, Modifications:	Struct Equip.	207,000 615,000	40 20	100			0.06646 0.08718	13,800 53,600	0	0 0	13,800 53,600	0	0. ©
Sludge Concentration Building Modifications:	Struct Equip.	165,000	40 20		100 100		0.06646 0.08718	11,000 15,000	00		0	11,000	0 0
Paint Shop:	Struct Equip.	244,000	40 20	82 67 2	3	18 28	0.06646 0.08718	16,200 38,300	2,800 5,400	10,500 20,300	0 800	$0 \\ 1,100$	2,900 10,700
Modifications to Existing Plant:	Struct Equip.	69,000 1,515,000	40 20	100 65	35		0.06646 0.08718	4,600 132,100	1,000 18,000	3,600 67,900	0.0	0 46.200	0.0
TOTAL			PARAMETER ALI		I NOITA	PERCEN	OCATION PERCENTAGES (1)	\$5,128,500 100%	\$795,800 15.517%	\$3,005,000 58.594%	\$68,900 1.343%	\$74,400 1.451%	\$1,184,400 23.095%
(1) These percentages are used in developing the rolling weighted-average percentages in Form 8A.	d in developing t	the rolling weighte	id-average p)ercentage:	in Form	8A.	Ш						0/ 0/01

Page 3 of 19

FORM No. 8A Page 1 of 2							2/24
OV	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) OVERALL HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE RWF (Pre - 1982, 143 MGD, 167 MGD, SBWR, Plant Master Plan New or Rehabilitated Assets) AND ROLLING WEIGHTED-AVERAGE PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES (For Projects Costing Less Than \$2 Million and or Not Process-related) FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	REGION 143 MGI PERCEI SCAL Y	A REGIONAL WASTEW. 2, 143 MGD, 167 MGD, SE IN PERCENTAGES (For F FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	ATER FACILITY 3WR, Plant Maste 'rojects Costing L	' (RWF) sr Plan New or Reh ess Than \$2 Million	abilitated Assets) Al and or Not Process	VD -related)
	FACILITIES		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
Primary & Secondary	y Percent	cent	42.441%	38.726%	18.833%	0.000%	100%
	O	Cost	\$26,176,800	\$23,885,400	\$11,615,800	80	\$61,678,000
AWTF	Percent	cent	74.111%	1.343%	1.451%	23.095%	100%
	0	Cost	\$49,149,000	\$890,600	\$962,300	\$15,316,200	\$66,318,100
Inter. Term Improvements - 143 MGD	ments - 143 MGD Percent	cent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
	O	Cost	\$21,741,100	\$36,507,100	\$22,657,900	\$5,624,100	\$86,530,200
First Stage Expansion - 167 MGD	n - 167 MGD Percent	cent	84.284%	5.501%	10.215%	0.000%	100%
	Ŭ	Cost	\$22,900,000	\$1,494,500	\$2,775,500	\$0	\$27.170.000
South Bay Water Rec	South Bay Water Recycling -Phases IA & 1B	tent	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Ŭ	Cost	\$215,535,300	\$0	\$0	80	\$215.535.300
Plant Master Plan (P	Plant Master Plan (PMP) Cummulative Addition	tent	77.227%	11.744%	7.111%	3.918%	100%
of New or Rehabilitated Assets		Cost	\$39,989,255	\$6,081,354	\$3,682,139	\$2,028,552	\$51,781.300
Total Original Cost	(3) Percent	ent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
Februaria Damana		Cost	\$375,491,451	\$68,858,954	\$41,693,639	\$22,968,852	\$509,012,897
DEMINIACEU INEPIACEMENT CUST (JULIE 2023)	III COSI (JULIE 2023) (L) Percent	ent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
	Co	Cost \$1	\$1,607,588,000	\$294,804,700	\$178,499,800	\$98,326,400	\$2,179,218,900
 The original cost of t The June 2023 value between San Jose/Sa 	(1) The original cost of the facilities was escalated to June 2023 replacement value using the ENR (San Francisco) construction cost index. The June 2023 value is the current value of 'excess pooled capacity' contained in the Master Agreements for wastewater treatement between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies.	ENR (Sai ister Agre	n Francisco) cons cements for waste	truction cost index. water treatement			9 59
(2) The value of PMP ne	(2) The value of PMP new or rehabilitated assets in Form 8B page 5 was added to the rolling	g weighte	d average at after	the expected compl	led to the rolling weighted average at after the expected completion year of the associated project.	ciated project.	
(3) The rolling weighted costs less than \$2 mil based on their capaci	(3) The rolling weighted-average is intended to reflect the overall value of the RWF and its overall allocation to billable parameters. It is used to allocate current year capital costs less than \$2 million and/or not process-related to billable parameters. Once allocated to the billable parameters, these costs were distributed to each agency in Form 10D-page 3, based on their capacity ownership of each parameter.	overall all ed to the	ocation to billabl billable paramete	e parameters. It is 1 rs, these costs were	ised to allocate curre distributed to each ag	ut year capital gency in Form 10D-pa	ge 3,
						5	ž

Page 4 of 19

FY24-25FY24-25(%) LOADING PARAMETERS (1)Process-related CapitalProcess-related Capital(%) LOADING PARAMETERS (1)Project over S2MProject over S2MFLOWBODSSMittification Clarifier Rehabilitation0600400Cast Primary Rehabilitation040000Acration Tanks & Bjower Rehabilitation02060020Acration Tanks & Bjower Rehabilitation0206000Acration Tanks & Bjower Rehabilitation0206000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0206000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0206000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0100000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0206000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0100000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0100000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0100000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0100000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation00000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation00000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0000Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation0000Se	TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATION FLOW BOD SS NH3 COST COST COST COST COST COST COST SS NH3 SS SS SS NH3 SS SS
Project over \$2M PrLOW it, and Odor Control 60 nition (Acration Basil 60 nition (Acration Basil 20 nition (Blowers) 0 0 100 nwater Channel Im 0 0 2,927,000 0 0 0 0	BOD SS COST COST COST COST S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 100 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2,927,000 & 0 & 40 & 60 \\ 0 & 40 & 60 & 60 \\ \end{array}$	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81
2,927,000 0 40 60 0 40 60 0 40 60	\$1 170 800
	80 80
101AL 32,927,000 (2) Average %	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Page 5 of 19

2/24	NH3 TOTAL 0.000% 100%	\$0 \$61,678,000 23.095% 100%	\$15,316,200 \$66,318,100	11.966% 100% 815 316 700 8177 006 100%	
(RWF) LLITTES	SS 18.833%	\$11,615,800 1.451%	\$962,300	9.827% \$12.578.100	for use by Agencies
NTER FACILITY PARAMETERS EATMENT FACI	BOD 38.726%	\$23,885,400 1.343%	\$890,600	19.357% \$24.776.000	ages on Form 10A
RA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) ALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS and ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES (Pre-1982 Capital Costs)	FLOW 42.441%	\$26,176,800 74.111%	\$49,149,000	58.850% 58.850% 875.325.800	d by CH2M Hill. he allocation percen
SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) HISTORICAL VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS PRIMARY, SECONDARY and ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES (Pre-1982 Capital Costs)	Percent (2)	Cost (1) Percent	Cost	Percent Cost (2)	f Pre-1982 facilities ributed to Agencies
(2) 	FACILITIES	Facilities (AWTF)	ł.		t parameter allocatio in from this Form 81 ebt service to their r
FORM No. 8B Page 1 of 5	Primary & Secondary	Advance Waste Treatment Facilities (AWTF)			 Historical capital costs and parameter allocation percentages o The historical cost allocation from this Form 8B (page 1) is dist allocating their Pre-1982 debt service to their respective users.

Page 6 of 19

FORM No. 8B Page 2 of 5 Page 2 of 5 SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) HISTORICAL VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS 1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENTS 1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENTS 1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENTS 1982 Priority Improvements FACILITIES Isolate-Term Improvements Facout Intermediate-Term Improvements Cost Satisfies Percent 1982 Priority Improvements Facout	CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWAT L VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PA and INTERMEDIATE-TERM IM (To Increase Capacity to 143 MGD)	TER FACILITY PARAMETERS	(RWF)		2/24
(LIES	DNAL WASTEWA ELOCATION TO EDIATE-TERM I apacity to 143 MG	TER FACILITY PARAMETERS	(RWF)		
Pe		MPROVEMENT			
Pe	FLOW	BOD	SS	SHN	TOTAL
Pe	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	\$1,551,800	\$0	\$0	\$0 80	\$1,551,800
	33.276%	0.000%	0.000%	66.724%	100%
Phase I Cost	\$2,708,700	\$0	80	\$5,431,300	\$8,140,000
Phase IIA Percent	10.130%	89.117%	0.000%	0.753%	100%
Cost	\$968,500	\$8,520,500	\$0	\$72,000	\$9,561,000
Phase IIB Percent	40.623%	20.782%	38.595%	0.00%	100%
Cost	\$11,500,400	\$5,883,400	\$10,926,200	\$0	\$28,310,000
Phase IIC Percent	10.000%	90.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
Cost	\$1,696,000	\$15,264,000	S0	\$0	\$16,960,000
Process Control System	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
Cost	\$2,848,700	\$0	\$0 \$	S0	\$2,848,700
Sludge Processing	0.000%	35.000%	65.000%	0.00%	100%
Cost	- S0	\$6,055,000	\$11,245,000	\$0	\$17,300,000
Other Engineering	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
Cost	\$467,000	\$784,200	\$486,700	\$120,800	\$1,858,700
TOTAL 1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE TERM Percent Percent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
Cost (2)	\$21,741,100	\$36,507,100	\$22,657,900	\$5,624,100	\$\$6,530,200

(2) The historical cost allocations from Forms 8B (pages 2&3) are combined on Form 8B (page 4) to derive allocation percentages in Form 10B.

Page 7 of 19.

SAN JOSE HIG						2/24
	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) HISTORICAL VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS FIRST STAGE EXPANSION (To increase capacity from 143 MGD to 167 MGD)	ARA REGIÓNAL WASTEWA ALUE & ALLOCATION TO FIRST STAGE EXPANSION se capacity from 143 MGD to 1	ATER FACILITY PARAMETERS (67 MGD)	(RWF)		
FACILITIES (1)		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
Liquid Process	Percent	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$22,900,000	80 8	\$0	\$0	\$22,900,000
Sludge Process	Percent	0.000%	35.000%	65.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	S0	\$1,494,500	\$2,775,500	80	\$4,270,000
	1	R			÷	
					5.	е ⁻
	×	8				
	L					
		1				
	1					
TOTAL FIRST STAGE EXPANSION	Percent	84.284%	5.501%	10.215%	0.000%	100%
	Cost (2)	\$22,900,000	\$1,494,500	\$2,775,500	\$0	\$27,170,000
 Capital Improvement Program consists of Improvements to all areas of the Plant and expansion of the Liquid Process, Process Control System, Sludge Processing and Disposal to provide a capacity of 167 MGD (CIP, 6/25/82 Excutive Summary) 	reas of the Plant and expans de a capacity of 167 MGD (0	ion of the Liquid Pro CIP, 6/25/82 Excutive	cess, Summary)			
(2) The historical cost allocations from Forms 8B (pages 2&3) are combined on Form 8B (page 4) to derive allocation percentages in Form 10B.	mbined on Form 8B (page 4	l) to derive allocation	percentages in Form	1 10B.		

Page 8 of 19

FORM No. 8B			÷	5		2/24
	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) HISTORICAL VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS	FIONAL WASTEW	ATER FACILITY PARAMETERS	(RWF)		8
	1982 PRIORITY & INTERMEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENTS (to 143 MGD) and FIRST STAGE EXPANSION (to 167 MGD)	MEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENT STAGE EXPANSION (to 167 MGD)	VEMENTS (to 143 (67 MGD)	MGD)		
	FACILITIES (1)	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
1982 Priority & Intermediate Term Improvements	iate Térm Improvements Percent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
	Cost	\$21,741,100	\$36,507,100	\$22,657,900	\$5,624,100	\$86,530,200
First Stage Expansion	Percent	84.284%	5.501%	10.215%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$22,900,000	\$1,494,500	\$2,775,500	20	\$27,170,000
					5	
				-		
						-
		1	-			
TOTAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	OVEMENT PROGRAM Percent	70 CYC 01	70264 22	7007E LL		
	Cost (2)	\$44	\$38.001.600	\$25.433.400	4.940% 55 674 100	C112 700 200
(1) Comprised of the 1982 Priority & Interestoration of the Plant capacity to 143 M expansion of Plant capacity to 167 MGD.	ərmediate-term Improvement Project (\$86,53 IGD and the First Stage Expansion Project (\$	orm 8B p. 2) for 00 - Form 8B p. 3) for			0.01461-30.60.0	0025000
(2) Parameter cost allocation on this s Agencies to redistribute debt service ((pre-SBWR) to their respective users.	ummary are used to derive parameter allocation :osts related to 1982 Priority, Intermediate-term,	percentages in Form 10B for use by First Stage Expansion to 167 MGD	se by AGD			
			21			
		$D_{acca} \cap \mathcal{A}_{f} = 10$			N Q	

Page 9 of 19

		8					8 2 2 8
FORM No. 8B Page 5 of 5	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) PLANT MASTER PLAN (PMP) NEW OR REHABILITED ASSETS - FISCAL YEAR 2024-2025	REGIONA OR REHA	L WASTEWAT BILITED ASSE	ER FACILITY (E TS - FISCAL YE	RWF) AR 2024-2025	5 	2/24
	FACILITIES (1)		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
TOTAL Cumulative PMP ASSETS as of FY23-24		Percent	77.308%	11.703%	7.086%	3.904%	100%
Misc. Assets Addition	Per	- 2	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0%0
		Cost	\$2,058,282	\$377,455	\$228,547	\$125,905	\$2,790,189
Misc. Assets Deleletion	Per	ercent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
21		Cost	(\$1,187,778)	(\$217, \$19)	(\$131,888)	(\$72,656)	(\$1,610,141)
TOTAL CUMMULATIV	TOTAL CUMMULATIVE PMP ASSETS as of FY24-25 Per	Percent	77.227%	11.744%	7.111%	3.918%	100%
		Cost (2)	\$39,989,255	\$6,081,354	\$3,682,139	\$2,028,552	\$51,781,300
 Comprised of PMP New c non-process related projects 	(1) Comprised of PMP New or Rehabilitated Assets to be added to the rolling weighted av non-process related projects or projects that are less than \$2M to billable parameters.	verage on Fo	rm 8A that is used	average on Form 8A that is used to allocate the costs associated with	s associated with		
				2 2 13			3
		Page 10 of 19	of 19		ă.		
	50						

Page 1 of 1SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)PAGENCTS' CAPACITY ALLOCATION (1)AGENCIES' CAPACITY PERCENTS OF THE OVERALL RWF CAPACITIESTISCAL YEAR 2024-25AGENCYAGFNCYMGD $\%$ KIbs/Day $\%$ KIbs/DaySan Jose (2)80.145%106.435GS63.733375.00369.316Santa Clarra (2)90.145%106.43563.733Santa Clarra (2)90.145%11.6977.0042.86.11Sunta Clarra (2)90.145%11.6977.0142.86.11Sunta Clarra (2)90.145%11.6977.0142.86.115.239Sunta Clarra (2)91.6977.0142.86.115.2393.55Sunta Clarra (2)91.26.563.63.6115.2399.71735.53Sunta Clarra (2)91.26.568.53327.2495.03725.9905.54UV-S.D. (3) & (4)11.6977.0042.86.116.9.3163.3755.53Milpitas (4) (5)14.2508.53327.2495.03725.9905.53Burhank0.4000.24000.81510.15725.9903.55Sunta Clarra Sinta Clarra share for wastewater treatment between San JoseSanta Clarra shall actrics (ravised 9/85)0.177TOTAL16.70060.01005.41.0000.8530.17TOTAL16.7006100.0005.41.0000.865.00010.000Clara whick stransfers of capacitie				-		2		2/24
CAPACITY ALLOCATION (1) AGENCIES' CAPACITY PERCENTS OF THE OVERALL RWF CAPA FLOW CAPACITY PERCENTS OF THE OVERALL RWF CAPA FLOW SCAPACITY PERCENTS OF THE OVERALL RWF CAPA MGD MGD % Klbs/Day % Klbs/Day 5% 106.435 63.733 375.003 69.316 333.151 55 5% 26.368 15.789 92.903 17.172 82.534 51 5% 26.368 15.789 92.903 69.316 333.151 509 9% 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 82.539 9% 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.239 27.173 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.239 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 9% 11.600 0.853 27.173 25.990 9 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 36.600 9	n	SAN JOSE - SANTA	CLARA REGION/	AL WASTEWA	TER FACILITY (1	RWF)		÷ R
FLOW BOD SS MGD % Klbs/Day % Klbs/Day 5% 106.435 63.733 375.003 69.316 333.151 5% 106.435 63.733 375.003 69.316 333.151 5% 26.368 15.789 92.903 17.172 82.534 9% 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 11.620 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 486.000 ments for wastewater recatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). 167.000 167.000 167.000 167.000 0.815 0.151 25.037 25.990		AGENCIES' CAPACI	CAPACITY ALI ITY PERCENTS O FISCAL YE	LOCATION (1) F THE OVERA AR 2024-25	LL RWF CAPAC	ITTES		
MGD % Klbs/Day % Klbs/Day % Klbs/Day 5% 106.435 63.733 375.003 69.316 333.151 5% 26.368 15.789 92.903 17.172 82.534 9% 11697 79.522 467.906 86.488 415.685 7 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 0.240 0.240 0.853 0.1551 0.400 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). 167.000 167.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agenci	-	FLOW	BOD		SS		NH3	
9% 106.435 63.733 375.003 69.316 333.151 $9%$ 26.368 15.789 92.903 17.172 82.534 $9%$ 132.803 79.522 467.906 86.488 415.685 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 167.000 100.000 0.2410 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 167.000 100.000 27.249 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 167.000 100.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 167.000 100.000 167.000 <td>MGI</td> <td></td> <td>Klbs/Day</td> <td>0%</td> <td>Klbs/Day</td> <td>%</td> <td>Klbs/Day</td> <td>%</td>	MGI		Klbs/Day	0%	Klbs/Day	%	Klbs/Day	%
5% 26.368 15.789 92.903 17.172 82.534 $9%$ 132.803 79.522 467.906 86.488 415.685 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 7.850 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 3.035 16.299 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 167.000 100.000 541.000 <	80.145%		375.003	69.316	333.151	68.549	33.135	66.804
0% 132.803 79.522 467.906 86.488 415.685 415.685 11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 5.289 27.173 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85), a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. $0.486.000$ ments for Wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara resulting from annexa cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006 100.000 486.000 27.2012 . 27.2012 . 212.2 212.2 0.486 20.2012 . 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 212.2 . 2212 . 202.202 22.202 22.202 22.2012 . 2202 22.202 22.202 22.202 212.2 . <td>19.855% 2</td> <td></td> <td>92.903</td> <td>17.172</td> <td>82.534</td> <td>16.982</td> <td>8.209</td> <td>16.550</td>	19.855% 2		92.903	17.172	82.534	16.982	8.209	16.550
11.697 7.004 28.611 5.289 27.173 7.850 4.701 16.419 5.037 25.990 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. a scittes from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006 cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006 $cities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009. ar 2012. ar 2012. ar 2012. ar 2012. ar 2012. $	100% 13		467.906	86.488	415.685	85.531	41.344	83.354
7.850 4.701 16.419 3.035 16.299 14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85).a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations.cities, not reflected in the Master Agreements, to San Jose and Santa Clara resulting from annexa er 2012.cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006cities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.a greement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limi requirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form.		2 2	28.611	5.289	27.173	5.591	2.825	5.696
14.250 8.533 27.249 5.037 25.990 0.400 0.240 0.815 0.151 0.853 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). 3 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 167.000 100.000 541.000 100.000 486.000 3 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 167.000 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 167.000 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 167.000 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 167.000 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. 100.000 1 share cost and capacity between themselves 100.000 100.000 1 share cost and show the effective 100.000 100.000 1 agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limit 1 agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara shown in this form. 1 agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara's canacities shown in this form.			16.419	3.035	16.299	3.354	2.287	4.611
0.4000.2400.8150.1510.853167.000100.000541.000100.000486.000ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85).a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations.a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations.cities, not reflected in the Master Agreements, to San Jose and Santa Clara resulting from annexa er 2012.cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2006cities from VVSD to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limi requirements may vary from year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form.	mag		27.249	5.037	25.990	5.348	2.847	5.740
167.000100.000541.000486.000ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85).a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations.cities, not reflected in the Master Agreements, to San Jose and Santa Clara resulting from annexaer 2012.cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.a agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limirequirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form.		8	0.815	0.151	0.853	0.176	0.297	0.599
ments for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85). a share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations. icities, not reflected in the Master Agreements, to San Jose and Santa Clara resulting from annexa er 2012. cities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006 cities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009. agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limi requirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form.	16	i.	541.000	100.000	486.000	100.000	49.600	100.000
cities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009. 1 agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limi requirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form e met from San Jose/Santa Clara's canacities shown in this form.	SOURCE: Master Agreements for waste San Jose and Santa Clara share cost and Reflects transfers of capacities, not reflec detachments as of October 2012. Reflects transfers of capacities from WV	water treatment between capacity between themse ted in the Master Agreen 3D to Milpitas - effective	San Jose/Santa Clar lves proportionally b nents, to San Jose an July 1, 2006	a and Agencies (r ased on assessed v d Santa Clara resi	evised 9/85). valuations. ulting from annexati	ons and	2	5 5 5
i agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limi requirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this forn e met from San Jose/Santa Clara's canacities shown in this form.	capacities from Cup	ertino to Milpitas - effect	ive January 1, 2009.				·	
average of the second	ias an agreement wit acity requirements m will be met from San	h San Jose/Santa Clara w 1ay vary from year to yea Jose/Santa Clara's capaci	vhich entitles it to det ur, therefore, a fixed o ities shown in this for	ermine annually, capacity is not pre m.	within certain limits sented on this form.	, its capacity C.S.D. No. 2-3's	8	

Page 11 of 19

						1. T 1N
	-	2 - SANTA CLARA DISTRIBUTION	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL CAPITAL COSTS	WATER FACILI APITAL COSTS	ſY (RWF)	
	PRIMARY, SECONDARY and ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing Pre-1982 Debt Service to Agencies' Users	, SECONDARY and entages for Use by A	PRIMARY, SECONDARY and ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES cation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing Pre-1982 Debt Service to	TREATMENT F/ ting Pre-1982 Debt	ACILITIES Service to Agencies	u' Users
11	AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$48,007,300	\$17,173,700	\$8,622,200	\$10,232,000	\$84,035,200
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	10.260	12.176	100%
Santa Clara	ra	\$11,893,200	\$4,254,500	\$2,136,000	\$2,534,800	\$20,818,500
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	10.260	12.176	100%
Subtotal	1	\$59,900,500	\$21,428,200	\$10,758,200	\$12,766,800	\$104,853,700
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	10.260	12.176	100%
West Valley (1)	ey (1) Cost	\$5,275,800	\$1,310,400	\$703,200	\$872,400	\$8,161,800
	Percent (4)	64.640	16.055	8.616	10.689	100%
Cupertino (2)	(2) Cost	\$3,541,100	\$752,000	\$421,900	\$706,200	\$5,421,200
	Percent (4)	65.319	13.871	7.782	13.027	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	1) (2) Cost	\$6,427,600	\$1,248,000	\$672,700	\$879,100	\$9,227,400
	Percent (4)	69.658	13.525	7.290	9.527	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$180,800	\$37,400	\$22,100	\$91,700	\$332,000
	Percent (4)	54.458	11.265	6.657	27.620	100%
TOTAL (3)		\$75,325,800	\$24,776,000	\$12,578,100	\$15,316,200	\$127,996,100
 Reflects Reflects Reflects Capital (Capital (Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006. Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009. Capital cost from Form 8B (page 1) are distributed to Agencies to derive the allocation percentages on this Form 10A. 	.V.S.D. to Milpitas - el Ipertino to Milpitas - e distributed to Agenci	ffective July 1, 2006. Affective January 1, 2009 es to derive the allocatic). In percentages		
(4)Allocatic	4)Allocation percentages for use by Agencies in allocating their pre-1982 debt service to their respective users.	cies in allocating their	pre-1982 debt service t	their respective use	rs.	

Page 12 of 19

FORM No. 10B						2/24
Page 1 of 1	SAN JOSE - SA DISTRIBU	N JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATED DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL CAPITAL COSTS	GIONAL WASTE UCAL CAPITAL	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL CAPITAL COSTS	X	
Parameter /	1982 PRIORITY, INTERMEDIATE-TERM (to 143 MGD) and FIRST STAGE EXPANSION (to 167 MGD) Parameter Allocation Percentages For Use By Agencies In Redistributing Post-1982 through Pre-SBWR Debt Services to Agencies' Users.	TERM (to 143 MG ies In Redistributin	D) and FIRST ST g Post-1982 throu	AGE EXPANSION gh Pre-SBWR Deb	(to 167 MGD) t Services to Agenci	ies' Users.
	AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$28,451,100	\$26,341,300	\$17,434,300	\$3,757,200	\$75,983,900
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	22.945	4.945	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$7,048,400	\$6,525,600	\$4,319,100	\$930,800	\$18,823,900
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	22.945	4.945	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$35,499,500	\$32,866,900	\$21,753,400	\$4,688,000	\$94,807,800
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	22.945	4.945	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$3,126,700	\$2,009,900	\$1,422,000	\$320,300	\$6,878,900
. **	Percent (4)	45.453	29.218	20.672	4.656	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$2,098,600	\$1,153,300	\$853,000	\$259,300	\$4,364,200
-	Percent (4)	48.087	26.426	19.545	5.942	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$3,809,200	\$1,914,100	\$1,360,200	\$322,800	\$7,406,300
	Percent (4)	51.432	25.844	18.365	4.358	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$107,100	\$57;400	\$44,800	\$33,700	\$243,000
	Percent (4)	44.074	23.621	18.436	13.868	100%
TOTAL		\$44,641,100	\$38,001,600	\$25,433,400	\$5,624,100	\$113,700,200
 Reflects transfers (Reflects transfers (Rensfers c Comprised of the 1 Capacity to 143 MGD : Parameter allocation Term Improvements a 	 Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006. Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective Januaray 1, 2009. Comprised of the 1982 Priority & Intermediate-term Improvement Projects (586,530,200 - Form 8B p.2) for restoration of the Plant capacity to 143 MGD and the First Stage Expansion Project (\$27,170,000 - Form 8B p.3) for expansion of Plant capacity to 167 MGD. Parameter allocation percentages for use by the Agencies in redistributing debt services related to 1982 Priority, Intermediate Term Improvements and First Stage Expansion projects. 	tive July 1, 2006. Stive Januaray 1, 200 ant Projects (\$86,530, 0,000 - Form 8B p.3) stributing debt servic	9. 200 - Form 8B p.2) for expansion of Pla :es related to 1982 P	for restoration of the int capacity to 167 M riority, Intermediate	Plant GD.	

Page 13 of 19

Page 1 01 2 SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS	CLARA REGIONA STRIBUTION OF	A CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS	R FACILITY (RW)	e E	
SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING (Phases IA & IB) Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing SBWR Debt Service to Agencies' Users	AY WATER REC Use by Agencies in	SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING (Phases IA & IB) ntages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing SBWR Del	A & IB) WR Debt Service to	Agencies' User:	8
AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose Cost	\$137,367,100	\$0	80	80	\$137,367,100
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Santa Clara Cost	\$34,030,900	80	\$0	\$0	\$34,030,900
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Subtotal	\$171,398,000	so s	80	80	\$171,398,000
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
West Valley (1) Cost	\$15,096,100	\$0 8	80	20	\$15,096,100
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Cupertino (2)	\$10,132,300	80	\$0	80	\$10,132,300
Percent (3)	100,000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Milpitas (1) (2) Cost	\$18,391,600	\$0	\$0	80	\$18,391,600
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Burbank	\$517,300	80	\$0	80	\$517,300
Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	100%
TOTAL	\$215,535,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$215,535,300
 Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006. Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009. Comprised of capital projects necessary to reduce dry weather effluent flow the RWF to 120 million gallons per day and protect salt marsh habitat for endangered species in the South Bay as required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order 94-117. 	s - effective July 1, 2(as - effective January eather effluent flow t the South Bay as req	006. -1, 2009. he RWF to 120 millic uired by the Regiona	n gallons per day I Water Quality Cont	trol	

Page 14 of 19

FORM No. 10D Page 1 of 3

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS - ALL PROJECTS (3) Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing Fiscal Year 24-25 Capital Costs to Agencies' Users

AGENCY		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	S26,234,900	\$6,057,300	\$4,340,000	\$1,683,400	\$38,315,600
	Percent (3)	68.471	15.809	11.326	4.394	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$6,499,300	\$1,500,700	\$1,075,000	\$417,200	\$9,492,200
	Percent (3)	68.471	15.809	11.326	4.394	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$32,734,200	\$7,558,000	\$5,415,000	S2,100,600	\$47,807,800
	Percent (3)	68.471	15.809	11.326	4.394	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$2,905,200	\$463,900	\$355,700	\$144,500	\$3,869,300
	Percent (3)	75.083	11.989	9.193	3.735	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$1,950,000	\$266,600	\$213,500	\$117,000	\$2,547,100
	Percent (3)	76.558	10.467	8.382	4.593	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$3,539,500	\$441,900	\$340,200	\$145,600	\$4.467.200
	Percent (3)	79.233	9.892	7.616	3.259	100%
CSD 2-3	Cost	\$249,600	\$33,700	\$26,500	\$14,100	\$323.900
	Percent (3)	77.061	10.404	8.182	4.353	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$99,500	\$13,000	\$11,000	\$15,200	\$138,700
	Percent (3)	71.738	9.373	7.931	10.959	100%
TOTAL (3)		\$41,478,000	\$8,777,100	\$6,361,900	\$2,537,000	\$59,154,000
		2				
(1) Reflects transfers of canacities from W.V.S.D. to Milnitas - offective July 1, 2006	V.S.D. to Milmitas - e	ffective July 1, 2004	2			
(2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.	pertino to Milpitas -	effective January 1.	, 2009.			
(3) These are the parameter allocation percentages for use by	centages for use by A	gencies in allocating	g their FY24-25 RW	Agencies in allocating their FY24-25 RWF capital costs and related debt services	elated debt services	
costs to their respective users.						

Page 15 of 19

2/24

Page 2 of 5		TOSP , SANTA CLARA P	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)			
D	SAN.	M WHENTY WITHTON , AND		ER FACILITY (RW	(H)	
DISTRIBUT	TION OF CAPITAL C	DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS - Process-related Facilities & Equipments That Cost in Excess of \$2 Million (project-specific basis) FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	l Facilities & Equipments Thai FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	t Cost in Excess of S.	2 Million (projec	t-specific basis)
AGE	AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	. 0\$	\$807,800	\$1,198,000	\$0	\$2,005,800
	Percent	0.000	40.273	59.726	0.000	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	80	\$200,200	\$296,800	80	\$497,000
	Percent	0.000	40.273	59.726	0.000	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$0	\$1,008,000	\$1,494,800	20	\$2,502,800
	Percent	0.000	40.273	59.726	0.000	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	20	S61,900	\$98,200	<u>\$0</u>	\$160,100
	Percent	0.000	38.663	61.337	0.000	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	20	\$35,500	\$58,900	80	\$94,400
	Percent	0.000	37.606	62.394	0.000	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	80	\$59,000	\$93,900	<u>\$0</u>	\$152,900
	Percent	0.000	38.587	61.413	0,000	100%
CSD 2-3	Cost	\$0	\$4,500	\$7,400	\$0	\$11,900
	Percent	0.000	37.815	62.185	0.000	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$0	\$1,800	\$3,100	\$0	\$4,900
	Percent	0.000	36.735	63.265	0.000	100%
TOTAL (3)		\$0	\$1,170,700	\$1,756,300	\$ 0	\$2,927,000
 → 10. → 10. 	,	т. П.М.Н				
 (L) Kellects transfers o (2) Reflects transfers o 	of capacities from W.V.S.J	(1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Mulpitas - effective July 1, 2000. (2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.	L, 2000. lary 1, 2009.		27	
(3) This Forms 10D pa the agency parame	age 2 (project-specific allo ter allocation percentages	(3) This Forms 10D page 2 (project-specific allocation) is combined with Form 10D page 3 (rolling weighted-average allocation) to derive the agency parameter allocation percentages for agencies to use in redistributing FY23-24 RWF capital costs and related deht service	a 10D page 3 (rolling weighte buting FY23-24 RWF capita	ed-average allocation) I costs and related deb	to derive t service	3. •
to their respective users.	users.		(·

Page 16 of 19

	FISCAL YEAR 2024-25					
AGENCY		FLOW	BOD	55	NH3	TOTAT
San Jose	Cost	\$26.234.900	\$5.249.500	S3.142.000	CT 683 400	TATAL
	Percent	72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	20001
Santa Clara	Cost	\$6,499,300	\$1,300,500	\$778,200	\$417,200	\$8,995,200
	Percent	72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$32,734,200	\$6,550,000	\$3,920,200	\$2,100,600	\$45,305,000
	Percent	72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$2,905,200	\$402,000	\$257,500	\$144,500	\$3.709.200
	Percent	78.324	10.838	6.942	3.896	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$1,950,000	\$231,100	\$154,600	\$117,000	\$2,452,700
	Percent	79.504	9.422	6.303	4.770	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$3,539,500	\$382,900	\$246,300	\$145,600	\$4.314.300
	Percent	82.041	8.875	5.709	3.375	100%
CSD 2-3	Cost	\$249,600	\$29,200	\$19,100	\$14,100	\$312,000
	Percent	80.000	9.359	6.122	4.519	100%
Burbank	Cost	899,500	\$11,200	S7,900	\$15,200	\$133,800
	Percent	74.365	8.371	5.904	11.360	100%
TOTAL		\$41,478,000	\$7,606,400	\$4,605,600	\$2,537,000	\$56,227,000

Page 17 of 19

2/24 69.466 10.16779.633 9.006 0.2464.774 5.320 1.021 100.000% HN 6,770.306 006.000 99.525 7,761.206 9,746.300 518.460 24.013 877.784 465.312 Klbs SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) 68.076 9.184 5.349 5.927 10.242 78.318 0.984 0.238100.000% SS 49,394.171 56,825.813 72,558.664 7,431.642 6,664.078 3,881.483 4,300.670 714.050 172.570 Klbs SUMMARY OF ANNUAL LOADINGS FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 66.744 10.819 77.563 5.884 6.195 0.918 0.219 9.221 100.000 % BOD 53,401.432 8,656,444 62,057.876 175.234 80,010.490 7,378.054 4,708.064 4,956.880 734.382 Klbs 66.519 12.807 79.326 9.006 4.894 5.6100.938 0.226100.000% FLOW 85.209 25,080.679 4,828,860 29,909.539 3,395.735 2,115.300 353.806 1,845.372 37,704.961 MG AGENCY FORM No. 11 C.S.D. No. 2-3 Santa Clara Page 1 of 1 Cupertino Subtotal San Jose W.V.S.D. Burbank Milpitas TOTAL

Page 18 of 19

FORM No. 12A Page 1 of 1								2/24
	SAI	N JOSE - S/ DISTRI	DSE - SANTA CLARA RE DISTRIBUTION OF OPE FISC	A REGIONAL WASTE' OPERATIONS & MAII FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF) DISTRIBUTION OF OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE COSTS FISCAL YEAR 2024-25	ry (RWF) ;TS		
AGENCY	CY		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL	PERCENT SHARE OF COST
San Jose	80.145%	(1) Cost	\$34,563,800	\$21,867,800	\$22,080,600	\$22,451,300	\$100,963,500	
	a	Percent	34.234	21.659	21.870	22.237	100%	
Santa Clara	19.855%	(1) Cost	\$8,562,800	\$5,417,500	\$5,470,200	\$5,562,100	\$25,012,600	15.643%
	2	Percent	34.234	21.659	21:870	22.237	100%	
Subtotal	100%	Cost	\$43,126,600	\$27,285,300	\$27,550,800	\$28,013,400	\$125,976,100	78.783%
	- 	Percent	34.234	21.659	21.870	22.237	100%	
West Valley		Cost	\$4,896,200	\$3,243,800	\$3,230,800	\$3,168,200	\$14,539,000	9.093%
		Percent	33.676	22.311	22.222	21.791	100%	
Cupertino		Cost	\$2,660,700	\$2,069,900	\$1,881,700	\$1,679,400	\$8,291,700	5.186%
		Percent	32.088	24.964	22.694	20.254	100%	
Milpitas		Cost	\$3,050,000	\$2,179,300	\$2,085,000	\$1,871,500	\$9,185,800	5.745%
		Percent	33.203	23.725	22.698	20.374	100%	
C.S.D. No. 2-3		Cost	\$510,000	\$322,900	\$346,200	\$359,200	\$1,538,300	0.962%
		Percent	33.154	20.991	22.505	23.350	100%	
Burbank		Cost	\$122,900	\$77,000	\$83,700	\$86,500	\$370,100	0.231%
	-	Percent	33.207	20.805	22.616	23.372	100%	
TOTAL (2)			\$54,366,400	\$35,178,200	\$35,178,200	\$35,178,200	\$159,901,000	100.000%

er nænn 5 > 5 9 5 0 allocated 34% to Flow and 22% each to BOD, SS and NH3.

Page 19 of 19





Item 9A

To:	Board of Directors
From:	Benjamin T. Porter, District Manager-Engineer
Date:	March 6, 2024
Re:	MBR Plumbing, LLC Request to Provide Yearly Maintenance Services for District's Sewage Collection System

Mr. Brian Bristol (Owner of MBR Plumbing, LLC) is requesting to provide yearly maintenance support to help clean District's sewage collection system. Mr. Bristol submitted the Contractor's Registration application which includes the business and contractor's license, prevailing wage DIR No, Certificate of Liability Insurance, and MBR Plumbing prevailing wage billing rate.

Staff has reviewed all submittal documents for current information to make sure all documents are up to date and meet all District's general registration requirements. Staff also compared MBR Plumbing PW billing rate with the current standard PW list from ABLE Septic Tank Service, AB/JDD Plumbing, and Roto Rooter for FY2023-2024. Staff found that MBR Plumbing PW billing rate is within the range of these other vendors.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends the Board authorize and allow MBR Plumbing to provide yearly maintenance support to help clean our sewage collection system. The yearly maintenance support work will be split evenly between these contractors: ABLE Septic Tank Service, AB/JDD Plumbing Heating & Air Conditioning, Rotor Rooter and MBR Plumbing.

Attachment:

1. MBR Plumbing, LLC-PW Billing Rate

Attachment 1.

MBR Plumbing	LLC	dba F	lowing	Water
---------------------	-----	-------	--------	-------

DESCRIPTION	PREVAILING WAGE BILLING RATE
EMERGENCY MINIMUM CHARGES	\$398.00/hr
HOURLY VAC UNIT COST	\$650.00/hr
TOTAL HYDRO UNIT COST (HYDROFLUSH ONLY)	\$610.00/hr
TOTAL HYDRO UNIT COST (ROOT CUTTING)	\$610.00/hr
HYDRO EMERGENCY/NON-MAINTENANCE	\$750.00/hr
TOTAL COMBINATION UNIT COST	\$650.00/hr
TOTAL CONFINED SPACE COST (FLAT RATE PLUS LABOR RATES)	\$698.00/hr
TRAFFIC CONTROL (DAILY LT BOARDS/SIGNS/ CONES)	\$298.00/hr
TRAFFIC CONTROL LABOR (PER HR PER MAN w/ RADIO COMMUNICATION)	\$150.00/hr
TRUCKING RATES (END DUMP 20 TONS)	N/A
TRUCKING RATES (10 WHEELER UNIT)	N/A
DUMP TRAILER UNIT (PLUS DISPOSAL CHARGE)	\$350.00/hr
SEWER RODDING UNIT (DAY RATES)	\$220.00/hr
SEWER RODDING UNIT (OT AND WEEKENDS)	\$398.00/hr
4" VIDEO INSPECTION UNIT(1 HR MIN - LAT CAM)	\$298.00/hr
6" TO 72" VIDEO UNIT (4 HR MIN - MAIN CAM)	N/A
LATERAL LAUNCHING (FROM MAIN - DISCOUNT AFTER 3 LATS)	N/A
LINE LOCATING / MAPPING (1 HR MINIMUM)	\$448.00/hr
CASED 135SR 35000 LB (4 HR MINIMUM - 250 EACH WAY)	N/A
KOBELCO EXCAVATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	N/A
4X4 BACKHOE w/ OPERATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	\$1,192.00/ per 4 Hour
MTL16 MUSTANG LOADER/GRADER (4 HR MINIMUM)	N/A
MINI EXCAVATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	\$800.00

COMPRESSOR w/ HAMMER (PER DAY PLUS LABOR RATE)	\$298.00
SAW CUTTER (PER DAY PLUS \$1.60 PER FT)	Per Day \$1,600.00 + \$1.60'
LABOR RATES	
SUPERINTENDENT	
FOREMAN (EACH)	\$298.00/hr
LABORER (EACH)	\$150.00/hr
DAILY TRUCK (EQUIPMENT SUPPLIED w/ UNIT)	
DAILY TRUCK (NO EQUIPMENT SUPPLIED w/ UNIT)	

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT MEETING/EVENT SCHEDULE

Item 11.A.

			N	ARCH 202	4		
MARCH 2024	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday 1	Saturday 2
03/04: SCCSDA						_	
03/06: 1st Regular Meeting	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
03/11: TAC		SCCSDA		L ^a Regular Meeting			
03/13: CASSE	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
03/14: TPAC		TAC		CASSE	TPAC		
03/20: 2nd Regular Meeting							
	17	18	19	20 Regular Meeting	21	22	23
	24 31	25	26	27	28	29	30
				APRIL 2024			
APRIL 2024	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday 2	Wednesday	Thursday 4	Friday 5	Saturday 6
			-	1º Regular Meeting			
04/03: 1st Regular Meeting	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				CASSE			
04/10: CASSE 04/15: TAC 04/17: 2nd Regular Meeting 04/18: TPAC	14	15	16	2nd 17	18	19	20
		TAC		Regular Meeting	TPAC		
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	28	29	30				
				MAY 2024			
MAY 2024	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday 2	Friday 3	Saturday
				1 st Regular Meeting	1	3	
05/01: 1st Regular Meeting	5		7	8	9	10	11
05/06: TAC		TAC		CASSE	TPAC		
05/08: CASSE	12	13	14	2 nd	16	17	18
05/09: TPAC		100 C		Regular Meeting			
05/15: 2nd Regular Meeting	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	26	27	28	29	30	31	
					1.		