

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PASSPORT APPLICATION ATTACHMENT FORM INSTRUCTIONS

Last revised: 4/1/08

1. **PURPOSE:**

- 1.1. To provide a form to attach to United States Department of State Form DS-11, which is an application for a United States of America Passport. This form may be found on the government website at:
http://travel.state.gov/passport/forms/forms_841.html
- 1.2. To provide a brief, succinct summary of your citizenship status which ensures that your proper legal citizenship status is not undermined or destroyed by the abuse of “words of art”, undefined words on government forms, and ignorant presumption on the part of government employees who process the DS-11 forms.
- 1.3. To preserve your sovereign status, by clearly and unambiguously describing your citizenship and domicile so as to prevent you from losing your status as a “foreign state” by virtue of improperly and falsely describing yourself as a statutory “[U.S. citizen](#)” pursuant to [8 U.S.C. §1401](#). [28 U.S.C. §1603\(b\)\(3\)](#) says that you cannot be an “instrumentality of a foreign state”, such as a state of the Union, if you are a statutory “[U.S. citizen](#)” pursuant to [8 U.S.C. §1401](#). This will prevent a surrender sovereign immunity under federal law as documented in 28 U.S.C. Chapter 97 found at:
http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode28/usc_sup_01_28_10_IV_20_97.html

2. **REASON WHY THIS DOCUMENT IS NECESSARY:**

- 2.1. Those who are carefully following the procedures on this website realize that their ensuring that their citizenship and domicile status is truthfully and correctly reflected in all government records about them is of extreme importance as far as defending and protecting their sovereignty and giving them the proper standing in court to defend their rights.
- 2.2. The government just loves to destroy your sovereignty and make you into one of their serfs by:
 - 2.2.1. Using either “words of art” on government forms and not providing definitions for the words on the forms themselves.
 - 2.2.2. Using words that aren’t defined in the law on government forms and then making false and self-serving presumptions about their meaning.
- 2.3. Those who wish to prevent being injured by the above tactics in the context of their citizenship and domicile must take extreme measures to prevent them and undermine them. There are two methods for doing this:
 - 2.3.1. Electronically modifying the form to use terms that are legally defined instead of terms that are undefined. . . .
OR
 - 2.3.2. Using the standard government form but putting above the perjury statement “Not valid and FALSE without the enclosed signed attachment.” And then attachment a form explaining the definitions of all terms used on the original government form that are not defined and clarifying EXACTLY what each undefined word used by the government on the form means so as to avoid false presumption.
- 2.4. Two forms are provided on this website for updating your citizenship status in government records:
 - 2.4.1. The procedures for applying for a U.S.A. passport as a “national” found at:
<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Citizenship/ApplyingForAPassport.htm>
 - 2.4.2. *Legal Notice of Change in Citizenship/Domicile Records and Divorce from the United States*, Form #06.005 at:
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 2.5. The first option above, applying for a passport as a “national” but not a federal “citizen”, is the first step our readers normally take to restore their sovereignty, and sometimes they have trouble with this step because some ignorant public servants maliciously try to prevent them from doing this for self-serving reasons. In effect, our public servants are trying to make the passport application into a “privilege” and forcing applicants to surrender their Constitutionally protected rights such as the right of privacy in order to procure privileges that you don’t want and don’t need. This form is provided to help them when they run into this sort of trouble because, for instance, the use of AMENDED DS-11 forms does not work for them.
- 2.6. For further details on why this form is important and what your proper citizenship status is, refer to the following form:

<p><i>Why you are a “national” or “state national” and not a “U.S. citizen”</i>, Form #05.006 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm</p>

3. **PROCEDURE FOR USE:**

- 3.1. This form has the effect of describing dual citizenship: 1. In the country and Republic of your birth; 2. In the Kingdom of Heaven. It also makes one of the two citizenships subordinate to the other. You may therefore benefit from reading the dual citizenship questions and answers found on the internet at the address below:
<http://www.richw.org/dualcit/>

3.2. First read the article on applying for a passport as a “national” at:

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Citizenship/ApplyingForAPassport.htm>

3.3. Next, download the AMENDED DS-11 form and try applying for the passport using this form.

3.4. If the government rejects the use of the AMENDED DS-11 form, try using an unmodified version of the DS-11 form with this form attached. Complete the form according to the instructions contained in the above article, and then write the following above the applicant’s signature on block 23 of the DS-11 form:

“Subornation of perjury and FALSE unless accompanied by the attached form entitled ‘United States of America Passport Application Attachment’”

3.5. Sign and date this form in Section 8.

3.6. Staple this form to the completed DS-11 form.

3.7. In the SSN block, put either “NONE” or “000-00-0000” and disregard the repeated requests for an SSN. If you put an SSN, you are waiving your sovereign immunity, forfeiting your sovereignty, and becoming a federal “employee” pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §1605\(a\)\(2\)](#). This is exhaustively described in the following pamphlet:

Resignation of Compelled Social Security Trustee, Form #06.002

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

If they give you a bad time about not proving a Taxpayer Identification Number, ensure that you also attach the following form:

Why It is Illegal for Me to Request or Use a Taxpayer Identification Number, Form #04.022

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

3.8. Submit the application to the Department of State either in person or via postal mail. Your chances of getting a passport are best if you use the in-person process because it makes them more accountable, as explained in section 4 below. If you use postal mail, please ensure that you send it using the Certificate of Service, Form #01.005 at:

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

The reason you need a Certificate of Service is that if they deny your application or ignore it, you will have legal standing to sue them for deprivation of rights. You have a right to travel.

3.9. We always want to improve the quality of the information we offer on our website and feedback helps with that improvement. If you receive a negative or derogatory response from the government to this form, we would appreciate if you would fax the response to the fax number on our Contact Us page.

4. IMPORTANT NOTES:

4.1. Don’t argue that you are NOT a “U.S. citizen” if you use this form. Jesus said be quick to agree with your adversary. Instead, ensure that you emphasize WHICH of the three “United States” you mean within the phrase “U.S. citizen” by attaching this form. That approach will quickly diffuse any resistance or delay you might otherwise experience.

4.2. We have found that if you apply by the mail, typically they are much more likely to delay, harass, and impede the application until you to EVERYTHING they want, including provide a number. They do this because the mail process is anonymous and they can do so with impunity, whereas the in-person process makes them much more accountable. Therefore, we recommend using the in-person process by driving to the Dept of State building and making application in person on an expedited passport that you can get the same way. We recommend doing this even if it is much more inconvenient. In order to use the in-person process, you must have an IMMEDIATE need to travel and they may ask you for plane tickets or some other proof of urgency. You may need to fabricate the emergency in order to justify showing up in person and not using the mail application process, but it’s worth it.

5. FURTHER READING AND RESEARCH:

5.1. *Why it is Illegal for Me to Request or Use a Taxpayer Identification Number*, Form #04.022 –attach this form if they give you a bad time about providing a Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number on the form

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

5.2. *Why you are a “national” or “state national” and not a “U.S. citizen”*, Form #05.006

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

5.3. *Why “domicile” and income taxes are voluntary*. Shows why government can only govern you with your consent and how you can withdraw your consent to be subject to civil law.

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Articles/DomicileBasisForTaxation.htm>

5.4. *Legal Notice of Change in Citizenship/Domicile and Divorce from the United States*, Form #06.005. Document you can use to divorce the U.S. government legally and politically and to correct all of their records describing your citizenship and domicile status so as to restore your sovereignty. Available at:

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

5.5. *Sovereignty Forms and Instructions, Step 3.13: Correct government records documenting your citizenship status:*

<http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/Instructions/3.13ChangeUSCitizenshipStatus.htm>

5.6. *How to Apply for a Passport as a “national”:*

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Citizenship/ApplyingForAPassport.htm>

- 5.7. *Citizenship and Sovereignty Course*, Item #2.2:
<http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>
- 5.8. *Developing Evidence of Citizenship Course*, Item #2.3
<http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm>
- 5.9. *Sovereignty Forms and Instructions Manual*, Form #06.003. Free Adobe Acrobat ebook on how to become sovereign.
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 5.10. *Sovereignty Forms and Instructions*: How to become sovereign.
<http://famguardian.org/TaxFreedom/FormsInstr.htm>
- 5.11. *Socialism: The New American Civil Religion*, Form #05.016. Free electronic book about how socialism is taking over the American body politic in fulfillment of Biblical prophesy. Available from:
<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
- 5.12. *Social Security: Mark of the Beast*. Free electronic book containing detailed legal research into Social Security. Available from:
<http://famguardian.org/Publications/SocialSecurity/TOC.htm>

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PASSPORT APPLICATION ATTACHMENT

This form is provided as a mandatory attachment to U.S. Department of State form DS-11 in order to carefully define my citizenship status and legal domicile. The attached DS-11 application is INVALID and not useful as evidence in any legal proceeding WITHOUT this mandatory attachment also included in its entirety with no information altered or redacted on either the DS-11 or this form by anyone other than me. The reason I am attaching this form is to prevent surrendering my sovereign status by having my citizenship misconstrued as that of a statutory "U.S. citizen" defined in [8 U.S.C. §1401](#). A statutory "U.S. citizen" cannot be a "foreign sovereign" by virtue of their statutory citizenship as described in [28 U.S.C. §1603\(b\)\(3\)](#). It is also a crime pursuant to [18 U.S.C. §1542](#), [18 U.S.C. §911](#), [18 U.S.C. §1001](#), and [18 U.S.C. §1621](#) to declare oneself to be a statutory "U.S. citizen" pursuant to [8 U.S.C. §1401](#) when one has no evidence on which to base a reasonable belief that they are and I don't ever want to be a criminal by saying anything on a government form that I know either isn't true or which I can't prove with evidence is true. The submission of this form is therefore provided at the advice of my counsel as an act of self-defense intended to protect my constitutional rights from being injured by [false presumptions](#), being compelled to engage in compelled association, or from having my legal identity kidnapped and moved to the District of Columbia pursuant to [26 U.S.C. §§7701\(a\)\(39\)](#) and [7408\(d\)](#) without my consent. DO NOT attempt to contact me to persuade me to change my citizenship or domicile status as documented on this form or to change any answer provided on the attached DS-11 form. Doing so will cause you to engage in a criminal conspiracy to tamper with a witness in violation of [18 U.S.C. §1512](#) and to violate [18 U.S.C. §1542](#), [18 U.S.C. §911](#), [18 U.S.C. §1001](#), and [18 U.S.C. §1621](#). The penalty for violating these statutes is up to 25 years in jail. If you have a problem with my status as documented herein, please in your response copy this form and complete Section 7 of this form.

SECTION 1: MY CITIZENSHIP STATUS

1. I AM ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 1.1. I was born within the exclusive jurisdiction of a state of the Union and not on [federal territory](#).
- 1.2. I am the constitutional "citizen of the United States" described in Section 1 of the [Fourteenth Amendment](#), where "United States" as used in this phrase means the exclusive jurisdiction of states of the Union and excludes federal territories and possessions and federal areas within the states. The "citizens" of District of Columbia referred to below are statutory "citizen of the United States" defined in [8 U.S.C. §1401](#).

*"The 1st section of the 14th article [Fourteenth Amendment], to which our attention is more specifically invited, opens with a definition of citizenship—not only citizenship of the United States[***], but citizenship of the states. **No such definition was previously found in the Constitution, nor had any attempt been made to define it by act of Congress.** It had been the occasion of much discussion in the courts, by the executive departments and in the public journals. **It had been said by eminent judges that no man was a citizen of the United States[***] except as he was a citizen of one of the states composing the Union. Those therefore, who had been born and resided always in the District of Columbia or in the territories, though within the United States[*], were not citizens under the constitution but WERE "citizens" under 8 U.S.C. §1401.**"*
[Slaughter-House Cases, 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 36, 21 L.Ed. 394 (1873)]

- 1.3. I am a "U.S. Citizen" where the term "U.S." includes the "United States" mentioned in the Constitution but excludes the "United States" defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(38\)](#), [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(36\)](#), 8 CFR §215.1, [26 U.S.C. §7701\(a\)\(9\)](#) and (a)(10), or any other federal statute.
- 1.4. I am a "[national](#)" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(21\)](#).
- 1.5. I am a "[non-citizen national](#)" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1452](#).
- 1.6. I am the "Citizen" described in the original 1789 Constitution of the United States of America.
- 1.7. I am "subject to the jurisdiction of the United States", which means the "political" but not "legislative" jurisdiction as described by the U.S. Supreme Court in *U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark*:

*"This section contemplates two sources of citizenship, and two sources only,—birth and naturalization. The persons declared to be citizens are 'all persons born or naturalized in the United States[***], and **subject to the jurisdiction thereof.**' The evident meaning of these last words is, not merely subject in some respect or degree to the jurisdiction of the United States[**], but completely subject to their **political jurisdiction**, and owing **them** [the states of the Union, and NOT the federal government] direct and immediate allegiance. And the words relate to the time of birth in the one case, as they do [169 U.S. 649, 725] to the time of naturalization in the other. Persons not thus subject to the jurisdiction of the United States[***] at the time of birth cannot become so afterwards, except by being naturalized, either individually, as by proceedings under the naturalization acts, or collectively, as by the force of a treaty by which foreign territory is acquired."*
[*U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark*, 169 U.S. 649, 18 S.Ct. 456; 42 L.Ed. 890 (1898)]

- 1.8. I am a "[stateless person](#)" within the meaning of [28 U.S.C. §1332](#) because I am not domiciled in the "State" defined in [28 U.S.C. §1332\(e\)](#) as a federal territory. States of the Union are not "[States](#)" as defined within federal legislation
- 1.9. I am a "citizen" ONLY of the Kingdom of Heaven. See [Philippians 3:20](#). I am therefore subject ONLY to the laws of my Creator and not to any civil law. I am a "national" but not a statutory "citizen" in relation to the government of the place where I was physically born.
- 1.10. My allegiance to God, my Creator, is SUPERIOR to that of any earthly government. My allegiance to the "United States of America" is subordinate to that which I owe to my Creator. The Kingdom of Heaven is a "foreign state" in that respect, to which I owe undiluted and superior allegiance. I have sworn an oath of allegiance to my Creator and to the Kingdom of Heaven, which is a "foreign state". The First Amendment says that you cannot discriminate against me or take away my nationality by virtue of having that superior allegiance or taking that oath to my Creator:

"You shall fear the LORD your God and serve [only] Him, and shall take oaths in His name."
[[Deut. 6:13](#), Bible, NKJV]

- 1.11. Where the laws of my Creator found in the Holy Bible conflict with the laws of the United States, the former shall at all times take precedent:

*"Much has been said of the paramount duty to the state, a duty to be recognized, it is urged, even though it conflicts with convictions of duty to God. Undoubtedly that duty to the state exists within the domain of power, for government may enforce obedience to laws regardless of scruples. When one's belief collides with the power of the state, the latter is supreme within its sphere and submission or punishment follows. But, in the forum of conscience, duty to a moral power higher than the state has always been maintained. **The reservation of that supreme obligation, as a matter***

of principle, would unquestionably be made by many of our conscientious and law-abiding citizens. The essence of religion is belief in a relation to God involving duties superior to those [283 U.S. 605, 634] arising from any human relation. As was stated by Mr. Justice Field, in Davis v. Beason, 133 U.S. 333, 342, 10 S. Ct. 299, 300: 'The term 'religion' has reference to one's views of his relations to his Creator, and to the obligations they impose of reverence for his being and character, and of obedience to his will.' One cannot speak of religious liberty, with proper appreciation of its essential and historic significance, without assuming the existence of a belief in supreme allegiance to the will of God. Professor Macintosh, when pressed by the inquiries put to him, stated what is axiomatic in religious doctrine. And, putting aside dogmas with their particular conceptions of deity, freedom of conscience itself implies respect for an innate conviction of paramount duty. The battle for religious liberty has been fought and won with respect to religious beliefs and practices, which are not in conflict with good order, upon the very ground of the supremacy of conscience within its proper field. What that field is, under our system of government, presents in part a question of constitutional law, and also, in part, one of legislative policy in avoiding unnecessary clashes with the dictates of conscience. There is abundant room for enforcing the requisite authority of law as it is enacted and requires obedience, and for maintaining the conception of the supremacy of law as essential to orderly government, without demanding that either citizens or applicants for citizenship shall assume by oath an obligation to regard allegiance to God as subordinate to allegiance to civil power. The attempt to exact such a promise, and thus to bind one's conscience by the taking of oaths or the submission to tests, has been the cause of many deplorable conflicts. The Congress has sought to avoid such conflicts in this country by respecting our happy tradition. In no sphere of legislation has the intention to prevent such clashes been more conspicuous than in relation to the bearing of arms. It would require strong evidence [283 U.S. 605, 635] that the Congress intended a reversal of its policy in prescribing the general terms of the naturalization oath. I find no such evidence."
[U.S. v. Macintosh, 283 U.S. 605 (1931)]

2. I AM NOT ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 2.1. I am NOT a statutory "citizen of the United States" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1401](#) because the term "United States" does not include states of the Union, as confirmed by [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(36\)](#), [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(38\)](#), and 8 CFR §215.1(f). Note that the term "State" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(36\)](#) DOES NOT include any state of the Union and the term "continental United States" includes only these same "States". Under the rules of statutory construction, what is not expressly included is purposefully excluded by implication:

"Expressio unius est exclusio alterius. A maxim of statutory interpretation meaning that the expression of one thing is the exclusion of another. Burgin v. Forbes, 293 Ky. 456, 169 S.W.2d 321, 325; Newblock v. Bowles, 170 Okl. 487, 40 P.2d 1097, 1100. Mention of one thing implies exclusion of another. When certain persons or things are specified in a law, contract, or will, an intention to exclude all others from its operation may be inferred. Under this maxim, if statute specifies one exception to a general rule or assumes to specify the effects of a certain provision, other exceptions or effects are excluded." [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 581]

- 2.2. I am NOT the "citizen of the United States" or "individual" named in [26 CFR §1.6012-1\(a\)](#) who has a requirement to file a federal income tax return, because the term "United States" as used in 26 U.S.C. relies on the definition of "United States" found in [26 U.S.C. §7701\(a\)\(9\)](#) and (a)(10), which in turn defines "United States" as the District of Columbia and nowhere expressly includes any state of the Union.
- 2.3. I am NOT a statutory "national of the United States" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1408](#) or [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(22\)\(B\)](#), which is also called a "U.S. national" by the federal courts. I was NOT born within and am not domiciled within American Samoa or Swain's Island or any other U.S. possession.
- 2.4. I am not subject to "its" jurisdiction" or the legislative jurisdiction of the "United States" because I do not maintain a legal domicile anywhere within the "United States" as defined in Section 3 below or within any federal territory.
- 2.5. I am not a "citizen" of the "State of _____", where the blank after "State of" is the state I was either born in or may temporarily occupy as a "transient foreigner" with no domicile or "residence" there.

SECTION 2: MY DOMICILE, RESIDENCE and "PERMANENT ADDRESS"

1. I am domiciled in the Kingdom of Heaven and not within the legislative jurisdiction of any man-made government on earth. All domicile requires physical presence on the territory of the sovereign to whom one claims allegiance, and the intention of remaining there permanently. The Bible says the Earth is not permanent (see [2 Peter 3:7](#)), and therefore I cannot have a domicile there. However, the Bible also says that the Heaven and the Earth belong to the Lord (see [Psalms 89:11-13](#), [Isaiah 45:12](#), [Deuteronomy 10:14](#), etc), and therefore I am on the territory of my sovereign, which is Jesus Christ and not any man or group of men.
2. I am a "pilgrim", "stranger", "sojourner", and "transient foreigner" in every country on earth by virtue of the fact that I have no domicile in any man-made government on earth. The Bible confirms this in [1 Peter 2:1](#). I am therefore not "conformed to the world" pursuant to [Romans 12:2](#), nor am I a "friend" of this world" pursuant to [James 4:4](#). My domicile status is therefore a product of my choice of religious practice, which is protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America. Any attempt to attribute any status OTHER than that described here by any government official is an interference with my right of free political association and constitutes "compelled association" in violation of the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and of [42 U.S.C. §1983](#).
3. My chosen country and "foreign state" of domicile, being the Kingdom of Heaven, is currently under hostile temporary foreign occupation, making me a dispossessed person. It is under hostile occupation because the government temporarily managing it, the U.S. government, has become hostile to God and His laws, and is rebelling against those laws daily. It is doing so by removing the Ten Commandments from public buildings, removing God from public oaths, from the pledge of allegiance, and eventually from our money, and in preventing children from learning about God or the Ten Commandments in the public schools, which have thereby become an immoral cesspool whose main function is to promote ignorance of law and religion that manufactures dysfunctional citizens who are ignorant of the law and ripe for government and legal profession exploitation. God predicted this would happen in [Isaiah 30:1-3, 8-14](#), and His prophecies about the corruption of our de jure government have been realized in spades.
4. I do NOT have a "residence" as legally defined. The term "residence" is nowhere defined in the context of a person who is a "non-citizen national" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(21\)](#) and [8 U.S.C. §1452](#). Only "residents" as defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(1\)](#) and [26 U.S.C. §7701\(b\)\(1\)\(A\)](#) can legally have a "residence", and these people are "aliens". This is confirmed by the definition of "residence" in [26 CFR §1.871-2](#) for the purpose of income taxes, which defines "residence" ONLY in the context of "aliens". Nowhere is it defined in the context of "non-citizen nationals" because these persons are sovereigns who are not subject to the law.
5. The government cannot lawfully compel me to choose a "domicile" or "permanent address" or "residence" anywhere within its legislative

jurisdiction. If it attempts to do so, then it will be guilty of violating my First Amendment right of free political association. Domicile is a protected First Amendment choice of political association. Implicit in the right of free association is freedom from COMPELLED association.

SECTION 3: DEFINITIONS APPLYING TO ATTACHED DS-11 FORM AND THIS FORM

The following definitions are presumed and established for all terms used on the attached U.S. Department of State DS-11 form. The First Amendment gives me a right to freely communicate with my government, and implicit in that right is the right to define the meaning of all the words I am using so as to avoid and prevent introducing or encouraging any presumptions that might prejudice my Constitutionally guaranteed rights or sovereignty:

1. "Permanent address"=the place of domicile of the applicant, which in turn is defined in SECTION 2 above.
2. "residence"=the place of permanent abode for ONLY an "alien". This is confirmed by [26 CFR §1.871-2](#).
3. "United States"= for the purposes of most federal forms and statutory law, the corporation defined in [28 U.S.C. §3002\(15\)\(A\)](#). It's territorial extend shall include the territories and insular possessions defined in [Title 48 of the U.S. Code](#) and excludes any part of a state of the Union not owned by and ceded to the government of the United States and under the exclusive jurisdiction of said state. For the purposes of this application only, it means the collective states of the Union united under the Constitution and excludes federal territories, possessions, and the District of Columbia, and every definition of "United States" used in federal statutory law.
4. "United States of America"=The Union of sovereign and independent states created by the Constitution of the United States of America, ratified in 1789. The term "States" as used in "United States of America" means the "States" described in that constitution.
5. "U.S. citizen"=This term is nowhere statutorily defined in [Title 8 of the U.S. Code](#), and therefore its meaning is ambiguous. For the purposes of this application, it shall mean the person whose citizenship is that defined in SECTION 1 above and whose domicile is that defined in SECTION 2 of this form. This person is NOT that defined in [8 U.S.C. §1401](#), which is described as a "citizen and national of the United States", which person is born in a federal territory. States of the Union are NOT federal territory.

"Territories' or 'territory' as including 'state' or 'states.'" While the term 'territories of the' United States may, under certain circumstances, include the states of the Union, as used in the federal Constitution and in ordinary acts of congress "territory" does not include a foreign state."

[86 C.J.S. (Corpus, Juris, Secundum, Legal Encyclopedia), Territories, Section 1]

6. "State"=the entity defined in [4 U.S.C. §110\(d\)](#) as a territory or possession of the United States. Excludes states of the Union, which are called "states" within this document and the attached DS-11 application.
7. "citizenship"="nationality". A "national", which is a person having "nationality", is defined in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(21\)](#) as a person owing allegiance to a "state", which state, because it is not capitalized, is legislatively a "foreign state" for the purposes of federal legislative jurisdiction. This term does NOT imply a domicile within the "United States" but that the person referred to was either born or naturalized to become a political member of the Union described in the Constitution of the United States of America. This person is in no way subject to any of the laws of the "United States", but rather "owes allegiance" to the Union created by the Constitution of the United States of America, which Union consists of the sovereigns it was created to SERVE, We the People, and in no respect includes the "government" created and appointed to serve and protect them.

For additional definitions of terms not mentioned here or for further clarification of my citizenship status or if you disagree with any portion of this section, please refer to and rebut the following form, and especially section 8:

Why You are a "national" or a "state national" and not a "U.S. citizen", Form #05.006; <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

SECTION 4: SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS , PRIVACY, AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Pursuant to 26 CFR §301.6109-1(d)(3), IRS can only issue Taxpayer Identification Numbers (TIN) to aliens. Consequently, SSNs may only lawfully be used as a substitute for TINs in the case of an alien. Since I am NOT an alien, then I would be committing fraud to either obtain or to use a Taxpayer Identification Number from the IRS or to use an SSN in place of a TIN.

Nonresident aliens not engaged in the "trade or business" franchise such as myself are not required to have or to use Social Security Numbers in connection with any financial arrangement or transaction pursuant to the following:

[31 CFR §306.10](#)

² **Taxpayer identifying numbers are not required for foreign governments, nonresident aliens not engaged in trade or business within the United States, international organizations and foreign corporations not engaged in trade or business and not having an office or place of business or a financial or paying agent within the United States, and other persons or organizations as may be exempted from furnishing such numbers under regulations of the Internal Revenue Service.**

The recipient of this form is also reminded that Social Security Numbers and social security cards are the property of the U.S. government and must be returned upon request. [20 CFR §422.103\(d\)](#). As "public property", SSNs and Social Security Cards may only lawfully be used in the conduct of official U.S. government duties of a "public officer" while on duty. This is confirmed by [5 U.S.C. §552a\(a\)\(13\)](#), which says that all those entitled to receive any deferred retirement benefit are "federal personnel". It constitutes embezzlement in violation of [18 U.S.C. §641](#) to use such "public property" as either a private person or in furtherance of a personal use or benefit. It is also criminal violation of [18 U.S.C. §912](#): Impersonating a public officer to falsely portray myself as a "public officer" in possession of said "public property" (the SSN or Social Security Card) while appearing as a private individual such at this time. If you are going to demand a number from a private rather than public person such as myself, then indirectly you also are asking me to voluntarily assume the duties of a public officer, in which case I demand compensation in the amount of any tax and penalty liabilities that might result PLUS \$10,000 per hour. Are you willing to provide said compensation? If you do not answer, then you have withdrawn your offer of "employment" and agree that I am not your "employee" and that I retain ALL of my rights.

I do not knowingly or consensually participate in Social Security or any other public benefit program and I am NOT and never have been legally eligible to. A compelled "benefit" is NOT a benefit, but slavery craftily disguised as public benevolence. This is exhaustively explained in the document below, which you are demanded to rebut in 30 days or forever be estopped from later challenging:

Why You Aren't Eligible for Social Security, Form #06.001; <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

Pursuant to [5 U.S.C. §552a\(b\)](#), you may not lawfully maintain records about me without my consent, which I do NOT give and have retroactively withdrawn by filing a public notice with the U.S. government and state government. Therefore:

1. Any records in your possession pertaining to me other than the licensed and copyrighted passport information included on this and the attached DS-11 form are being maintained ILLEGALLY and must be destroyed.
2. You do not have my consent to store or use any of my personal information other than my name and physical characteristics in Dept. of State computers.
3. You do not have my permission to share any of my personal information with any other federal or state agency or bureau or private company, including the Internal Revenue Service. If you do, you agree personally to pay me \$500,000 for each wrongful or unauthorized disclosure.

If the number "000-00-0000" appears on the attached DS-11 form, then it means that I don't have a validly issued SSN. Consequently, I am not "federal personnel" as indicated in [5 U.S.C. §552a\(a\)\(13\)](#).

I reserve all my rights and waive none. UCC 1-308 and its predecessor, UCC 1-207. The ONLY method by which I waive any of my Constitutionally protected rights, including my privacy rights, is IN WRITING on a government form, where all rights surrendered by making said application for any benefit are explicitly and individually spelled out.

"Waivers of Constitutional rights not only must be voluntary, but must be knowing, intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences."

[\[Brady v. U.S., 397 U.S. 742 \(1970\)\]](#)

"The rights of the individuals are restricted only to the extent that they have been voluntarily surrendered by the citizenship to the agencies of government."

[\[City of Dallas v Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944\]](#)

*"The question of a waiver of a federally guaranteed constitutional right is, of course, a federal question controlled by federal law. There is a presumption against the waiver of constitutional rights, see, e.g. Glasser v. United States, 315 U.S. 60, 70-71, 86 L.Ed. 680, 699, 62 S.Ct. 457, and **for a waiver to be effective it must be clearly established that there was "an intentional relinquishment or abandonment of a known right or privilege."** Johnson v. Zerbst, 304 U.S. 458, 464, 82 L.Ed. 1461, 1466; 58 S.Ct. 1019, 146 A.L.R. 357."*

[\[Brookhart v. Janis, 384 U.S. 1; 86 S.Ct. 1245; 16 L.Ed.2d 314 \(1966\)\]](#)

I am not aware of any rights that I have voluntarily surrendered to any state government or the federal government. If the recipient of this application disagrees, he is demanded to produce court-admissible evidence verified with a perjury oath of said waiver of Constitutional rights within 30 days, or forever be estopped from asserting any future right. If the government is similarly going to claim that it cannot be subject to a laches or equitable estoppel defense arising out of failure to provide demanded proof of surrender or right herein demanded because of the assertion of sovereign immunity, then the submitter claims the SAME right of sovereign immunity and hereby rescinds all past signatures on any government form OTHER than this one and retroactively withdraws his/her consent as Sovereign. The U.S. government cannot exercise any power not entrusted to it by ME, and therefore can assert NO RIGHT that I myself do not have.

*"... The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and **have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and intrust to whom they please.** ...The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure."*

[\[Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 LEd 581 \(1849\)\]](#)

I remind the recipient that in accordance with [22 U.S.C. §212](#), the only thing I must have is "allegiance" in order to obtain a U.S.A. passport and that this document constitutes court-admissible evidence of said allegiance. The only kind of citizenship that carries with it "allegiance" is that of a "national" as described in [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(21\)](#), which is what I claim to be. Lack of allegiance is therefore the ONLY legitimate criteria for denying a person their BIRTHRIGHT of the issuance of a passport and any other criteria constitutes an interference with my right to travel. I have a constitutional right to travel, and that failure to issue a passport shall be grounds for a lawsuit against the submitter for deprivation of rights protected by the Constitution. I will not allow you to convert a right into a privilege that you can deny in order to destroy my sovereignty.

SECTION 5: RESOLVING DISPUTES OVER MY STATUS BY THE RECIPIENT OF THIS FORM

If the recipient disputes my status as documented or denies my eligibility for a U.S. Passport, then please also accompany your response with the answers to the following questions:

1. If you want to assert that the perjury statement "without the United States" under [28 U.S.C. §1746\(1\)](#) is in error, please show me a definition of "United States" within Title 8 of the U.S. Code that expressly *includes* the exclusive jurisdiction of any state of the Union. [8 U.S.C. §1101\(a\)\(36\)](#) defines the term "State" as EXCLUDING states of the Union.
2. Which of the following two "U.S. citizen" statuses are you attributing to me, keeping in mind that they are MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE jurisdictions and statuses?:
 - a. A statutory "citizen and national of the United States" pursuant to [8 U.S.C. §1401](#)?
 - b. A constitutional and NOT statutory "citizen of the United States" described in Fourteenth Amendment Section 1?
3. Which one of the three definitions of the "United States" within the term "U.S. citizen" are you assuming or referring to that are specifically identified by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Hooven and Allison v. Evatt*? You can ONLY choose one and not multiple.

"The term 'United States' may be used in any one of several senses. It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in the family of nations. It may designate the territory over which the sovereignty of the United States extends, or it may be the collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution."

[\[Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt, 324 U.S. 652 \(1945\)\]](#)

Below is a summary of each of the contexts indicated above. Please identify the **SPECIFIC NUMBER** from the table below that you mean by "U.S." within the term "U.S. citizen" as used on the DS-11 passport application. Please choose ONLY ONE number:

Table 1: Meanings assigned to "United States" by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Hooven & Allison v. Evatt*

#	U.S. Supreme Court Definition of "United States" in <i>Hooven</i>	Context in which usually used	Referred to in this article as	Interpretation
1	"It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in the family of nations."	International law	"United States"	"These <u>united States</u> ," when traveling abroad, you come under the jurisdiction of the President through his agents in the U.S. State Department, where "U.S." refers to the sovereign society. You are a "Citizen of the United States" like someone is a Citizen of France, or England. We identify this version of "United States" with a single asterisk after its name: "United States*" throughout this article.
2	"It may designate the territory over which the sovereignty of the United States extends, or"	Federal law Federal forms	"United States**"	"The United States (the District of Columbia, possessions and territories)". Here Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction. In this sense, the term "United States" is a singular noun. You are a person residing in the District of Columbia, one of its Territories or Federal areas (enclaves). Hence, even a person living in the one of the sovereign States could still be a member of the Federal area and therefore a "citizen of the United States." This is the definition used in most "Acts of Congress" and federal statutes. We identify this version of "United States" with two asterisks after its name: "United States**" throughout this article. This definition is also synonymous with the "United States" corporation found in 28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A).
3	"...as the collective name for the states which are united by and under the Constitution."	Constitution of the United States	"United States***"	"The <u>several States</u> which is the <u>united States of America</u> ." Referring to the <u>50 sovereign States</u> , which are united under the Constitution of the United States of America. The federal areas within these states are not included in this definition because the Congress does not have exclusive legislative authority over any of the <u>50 sovereign States within the Union of States</u> . Rights are retained by the <u>States</u> in the 9th and 10th Amendments, and you are a " <u>Citizen of these united States</u> ." This is the definition used in the Constitution for the United States of America. We identify this version of "United States" with a three asterisks after its name: "United States***" throughout this article.

SECTION 6: EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE APPLICATION

[Dept. of State Form IN-709-01](#) indicates types of evidence that may or must be submitted in order to establish one's eligibility for a U.S.A. passport. For a copy of this form, see:

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Taxes/Citizenship/PassportIdentList-20080207.pdf>

This section discusses the legality of the form and the legal authority to ask for and receive the information requested:

1. The [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) is not in compliance with the [Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, Subchapter I](#), which requires:
 - 1.1. A valid [OMB Control Number](#).
 - 1.2. An indication of whether providing the information and all portions thereof is "voluntary" or "mandatory".
 - 1.3. A regulation published in the [Federal Register](#) describing the regulation which gives rise to the collection of each piece of information requested.
 - 1.4. If the information is to be shared with other agencies, the parties to whom it will be disclosed and the use to which the information will be put.

- 1.5. If the information requested will be used for criminal law enforcement, then a warning that you have a right to withhold the specific information that will be so used.
2. Government forms which do not comply with the requirements of the [Paperwork Reduction Act](#) are referred to in said act as "bootleg forms" which the general public need not comply with. Your [Dept. of State Form IN-709-01](#) fits that description and therefore I am not required to provide any of the information listed on it. [44 U.S.C. §3512](#) furthermore says that you can't penalize me for failure to comply with your collection of information. Such a penalty would include:
 - 2.1. Denial of a passport, and especially without explaining the legal reasons for doing so.
 - 2.2. Delay in processing a passport.
 - 2.3. Imposing additional forms and procedures for me to comply with that EVERYONE is not EQUALLY required to comply with.
 - 2.4. Financially penalizing me for any aspect of the submission.
 - 2.5. Refusing to refund application fees if you reject the application because of failure to disclose information.
3. Warnings on the [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) about possible delay in providing the passport requested simply amount to an unconstitutional [bill of attainder](#), which is a penalty by other than a lawful court for the exercise of rights protected by the Constitution. I remind you that penalties are only authorized for federal franchises, and the exercise of my right to travel cannot lawfully be converted into a privilege subject to penalty, such as the delay threatened by you for a failure to disclose information that you can't even prove is necessary and which neither the forms nor regulations indicate is mandatory.

*"It would be a palpable incongruity to strike down an act of state legislation which, by words of express divestment, seeks to strip the citizen of rights guaranteed by the federal Constitution, but to uphold an act by which the same result is accomplished under the guise of a surrender of a right in exchange for a valuable privilege which the state threatens otherwise to withhold. It is not necessary to challenge the proposition that, as a general rule, the state, having power to deny a privilege altogether, may grant it upon such conditions as it sees fit to impose. But the power of the state in that respect is not unlimited, and one of the limitations is that it may not impose conditions which require the relinquishment of Constitutional rights. If the state may compel the surrender of one constitutional right as a condition of its favor, it may, in like manner, compel a surrender of all. **It is inconceivable that guaranties embedded in the Constitution of the United States may thus be manipulated out of existence.**"*
[Frost v. Railroad Commission, 271 U.S. 583, 46 S.Ct. 605 (1926)]

4. None of the regulations under [22 CFR Part 51](#) indicate the specific information that may be demanded on a passport application, nor do they confirm that any of the information requested on the form is even relevant or necessary. In point of fact, the ONLY thing you technically need in order to lawfully issue a passport is proof of allegiance, according to [22 U.S.C. §212](#). None of the information you request on the [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) proves said allegiance other than a birth certificate, an affidavit or declaration from myself, and possibly an affidavit from family members. Everything else is superfluous and cannot and will not be provided.
5. The [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) asks for my history of residences and/or domicile. Nowhere in [Title 8 of the U.S. Code](#) or [Title 22 of the CFR](#) is domicile made a prerequisite for obtaining a passport. Therefore it is irrelevant. As a Christian, I am not allowed to have a domicile or residence within the jurisdiction of any man-made government on earth. This is exhaustively proven in the following form, which you are demanded to rebut within 30 days or be found in agreement and estoppel of:
[Why Domicile and Income Taxes are Voluntary](#), Form #05.002; <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>
6. The only law and the only government to which I may have a domicile or residence under God's law is God's government and the Kingdom of Heaven. See Phil. 3:20, Heb. 11:13, 1 Peter 2:1, James 4:4, Romans 12:2, 1 John 2:15, John 15:8-25. The Bible says that God owns the heavens and the earth, which leaves nothing left for Caesar to rule or govern. See Psalms 89:11-13, Isaiah 45:12, Deut. 10:14. Would you please explain to me what is left for Caesar to rule or govern if we are to render to Caesar that which is Caesar's and the Bible says that EVERYTHING belongs to God? Christians are commanded to render to Caesar that which GOD says belongs to Caesar, not that which Caesar says belongs to Caesar. The Bible also says it is a sin to have an earthly ruler above me. The only kind of government I can submit to is a government that is below, not above me. This is exhaustively proven in 1 Sam. 8:4-20, 1 Sam. 12:12.
7. The [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) lists sources of information that are exclusively government, and the Bible says I cannot do business with the government or participate in any government franchises. See:

[Delegation of Authority Order from God to Christians](#), Form #10.008; <http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

Therefore, I do not have and cannot provide any public records that relate to any government benefit or franchise without violating my religious beliefs and being compelled in violation of the First Amendment to associate with and do business with government. Such franchises and benefits that I cannot participate in include: social security numbers, taxpayer identification numbers, driver's licenses, marriage licenses, social security benefits, Medicare, welfare card, professional licenses, business licenses, tax returns, etc. By including in your list of acceptable evidence ONLY government information and information relating to government franchises, you are:

- 7.1. Effectively compelling me to engage in government franchises and thereby surrender constitutionally protected rights.
- 7.2. Compelling me to associate commercially and legally with a group of people called a "state" that I do not want to associate with or be compelled to associate with in violation of the First Amendment freedom from compelled association.
8. I remind you that I have a right to privacy, and that you can't turn the exercise of my right to travel into an excuse to destroy my right of privacy by revealing all the details you ask for on the [DOS Form IN-709-01](#) that are basically irrelevant to the application anyway. This is NOT a job application or an application to become a federal "employee" or "taxpayer", but simply an application to be able to travel freely. It is already humiliating enough that the penalty for committing perjury on a passport form could be 20 years in jail. That penalty alone ought to be sufficient to ensure the accuracy of the information I provide to you. If that kind of a penalty for providing false information isn't sufficient to guarantee the accuracy of the basic information that I provide, then the application process isn't really about a passport, but about human sacrifices to a pagan idol in violation of my sincerely held religious beliefs.
9. Passports may be a privilege for aliens, but they aren't a privilege for citizens, and it is an act of discrimination to impose upon me the disabilities of alienage by lumping me and a privileged permanent resident in the same category.
10. The only reason I want or need a passport is simply to have the legal right to return to the place of my birth. That is a natural and inalienable right. Don't abuse your authority to issue passports by withholding the issuance of them to persons who refuse to participate in all government franchises. That is discrimination that you will be held personally liable for. Don't try to convert rights into privileges, because you are violating the constitution to do so.

SECTION 7: APPLICATION REJECTION INFORMATION

(To be completed by passport processing center or agency upon rejection of passport application)

Should you, the passport processing center or agency, decide to reject my application, I insist that you provide your full legal name and work address and sign the correspondence under penalties of perjury pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §1746\(2\)](#). Agencies don't reject applications, PEOPLE DO, and these people are violating the Constitution and my rights if they reject my application. This section acts as a form for you to fill out describing all of the reasons and details surrounding any decision you might make to reject this application. A rejection of my application without disclosing the reasons in detail by filling out and signing this section as required shall constitute an actionable tort on your part. Also, if you send me an IN-709-01 form and demand that I fill it out, explain why I shouldn't follow your example by similarly refusing to fill out your forms since you won't fill out mine. It is a denial of the legal requirement for equal protection and equal treatment for you to apply different standards to me than you apply to yourself or to anyone else in this process. If you won't provide your information, then I won't provide mine on an IN-709-01 form either. I'm not going to play legal "peek-a-boo" with you and doing so just proves that you KNOW you are involved in violating my rights and exceeding your lawfully delegated authority as a public servant or agent of the government. Please write LEGIBLY because this document could become legal evidence in a Bivens Action against you for deprivation of rights by an officer or agent of the government.

1. Definition of "United States" within the term "U.S. citizen" upon which I am relying from Section 5 of this form:

(Circle ONLY ONE. Item 3 is the default answer if none provided)

1
2
3**2. Reason for Rejection:**

(check all that apply)

☐ Questions about consistency of information provided in application (please specify in detail): _____☐ Missing following information: _____☐ DS-11 form has been updated. New form enclosed☐ Other (please specify): _____**3. Legal authority for rejection (statute and/or regulation. Rejection is UNLAWFUL if no legal authority provided):**

8 USC § _____

22 CFR § _____

OTHER(s): _____

4. Things I advised the applicant specifically to do against his wishes and better judgment, and for which I assume full liability for the consequences of:☐ Specify a Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number when he/she specified that there is none☐ Indicate a citizenship status or domicile that is in conflict with the information provided by the applicant on this form**5. Full Legal Birthname of rejecting officer:****6. Date and Place of Birth of rejecting officer:****7. Workplace physical address of rejecting officer:**
(NOTE: This is the place you physically work and where you agree to accept service of legal process. NO PO boxes accepted.)

Address: _____

City: _____

State: _____

Zip: _____

Phone number: _____

Email Address: _____

8. Full legal birthname of supervisor of rejecting officer:**9. Workplace physical address of supervisor of rejecting officer:**

(NOTE: This is the place you physically work and where you agree to accept service of legal process. NO PO boxes accepted.)

Address: _____

City: _____

State: _____

Zip: _____

Phone number: _____

Email Address: _____

10. Affirmation of Rejecting Officer:

I, the person directly responsible for denying this passport application as an officer or agent of the United States government, declare under penalties of perjury pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §1746\(2\)](#) that the information provided by me in this section is truthful, accurate, and consistent with prevailing law to the best of my knowledge and ability. I also agree to take complete, personal, and exclusive responsibility for the falsity or accuracy of any information which I advised the applicant to change on this passport, such as his or her citizenship status or the Social Security Number, if any, that was provided, since applicant indicated that he does not lawfully have a Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number.

Signature _____

Printed Name _____

Date: _____

SECTION 8: AFFIRMATION

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, from without the "United States" pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §1746](#)(1), that the foregoing and the entire contents of this form and all those attached to it are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature

Printed Name

Date: