

Commonwealth of Virginia
Department of General Services
Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services
BUREAU OF FORENSIC SCIENCE

125

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

June 7, 1985

Northern Laboratory
2714 Dorr Avenue
P. O. Box 486
Merrifield, Virginia 22116
Tel. No. (703) 573-8636

TO: Bedford County Sheriff's Department
ATTN: Inv. Steve Rush and G. J. Brown
P. O. Box 507
Bedford, VA 24523

Your Case # 8536

Victim(s): HAYSOM, W. R. Derek
HAYSOM, Nancy

Suspect(s): - - -

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE

FS Lab # W85-01536

The 14th day of August, 1987

TESTE: Steve Rush, J.C. Rush
Robert W. Black Clk.

Examiner: Rick P. Johnson

11:07 A.M.

Date Received 4-5-85

Reference Submitted By: G. J. Brown

- Item 16K Tan colored linoleum, kitchen flooring, bearing fragmentary shoe impressions and large smears in what appears to be blood.
- Item LR#2 Section of oak flooring, bearing a shoe impression, in what appears to be blood.
- Item LR#5 Section of oak flooring, bearing a foot impression, in what appears to be blood.
- Item LRI through Item LR5 5" x 7" color photographs and accompanying 35mm negatives, of shoe and foot impressions in what appears to be blood.
- Item 5B Nine, 3 3/8" x 4 1/4" black and white Polaroids, each bearing a partial sock impression
- Item 7FE Aluminum threshold strip, bearing two indistinct impressions in what appears to be blood.
- Item 14DR Six 5" x 7" color photographs and accompanying 35mm negatives of shoe impressions on a gray tile or slate floor, in what appears to be blood.
- Item 28K Eight 5" x 7" color photographs and accompanying 35mm negatives, of fragmentary shoe and foot impressions, in what appears to be blood.

373

IN FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REFERENCE THIS MATTER PLEASE REFER TO THE FS LAB # ABOVE

cc: Western

RESULTS OF EXAMINATION:

A full length sock covered, right, foot impression was reproduced in the Item LR#3 photograph and the Item LR#5 flooring, bears a full length sock covered, left, foot impression. It appears that these impressions were made by one individual and are approximately 9 inches to 9 1/2 inches in length, which corresponds to a size 6 1/2 to 7 1/2 woman's shoe or a size 5 to 6 man's shoe. It should be noted that these foot impressions contain sufficient anatomical detail to be of comparison value with the known foot impressions of suspects that may be developed in this case.

The Item LR#2 flooring, bears a full length shoe impression which is approximately 10 1/2 inches to 11 inches in length and appears to be a jogging or athletic shoe. The Item LR#2 shoe impression as well as the remaining partial shoe impressions reproduced in photographs in this case, appear to have been made by a shoe or shoes whose sole design consists of a series of parallel, squared-off wave like elements, approximately 3/16 inch thick, with a 3/8 inch square or round toe piece and a solid "U" or "L" shaped heel plate. A photograph of the Item LR#2 shoe impression is provided your department with this report.


The indistinct impressions on the Item EF7 threshold, do not contain sufficient detail to be of comparison value.

The remaining partial foot impressions, reproduced in the Item LR4, Item 5B and Item 23K photographs, do not contain sufficient anatomical detail to determine whether or not these impressions were made by one individual.

If a further examination is desired, the additional evidence should be submitted the Northern Virginia Regional Laboratory.

The results of the additional examinations requested by your department will be the subjects of separate reports. You will be contacted concerning the disposition of evidence temporarily retained at the Northern Virginia Laboratory. Appropriate photographs have been retained.

STATE OF VIRGINIA Fairfax, to-wit: CITY/COUNTY OF



THIS day personally appeared before me, Barbara P. Money, a notary public, in and for said city/county in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Rick P. Johnson, who signed the foregoing Certificate of Analysis, before me, and after being duly sworn, made oath (1) that he performed the analysis and/or examination the results of which are herein contained, (2) that said analysis and/or examination was performed in a laboratory operated by the Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services of the Commonwealth or authorized by such Division to conduct such analysis and/or examination and (3) that this Certificate of Analysis is true and correct.

Given under my hand this 10th day of June, 19 85 Barbara P. Money Notary Public

My commission expires January 11, 1988

bpm