

# *Town of Farmington*

1000 County Road 8  
Farmington, New York 14425

**PLANNING BOARD**  
**Wednesday, February 1, 2017, 7:00 p.m.**

## **APPROVED MINUTES**

*The following minutes are written as a summary of the main points that were made and the actions taken at the Town of Farmington Planning Board meeting.*

**Board Members Present:** Adrian Bellis  
Edward Hemminger  
Scott Makin  
Mary Neale  
Douglas Viets

**Staff Present:**  
Lance S. Brabant, CPESC, Town of Farmington Engineer, MRB Group P.C.  
Ronald L. Brand, Town of Farmington Director of Development and Planning  
David Degear, Town of Farmington Water and Sewer Superintendent  
Don Giroux, Town of Farmington Highway Superintendent  
James Morse, Town of Farmington Code Enforcement Officer  
Kurt Rappazzo, P.E. MRB Group P.C.  
John Weidenborner, Assistant Chief, Farmington Volunteer Fire Association

**Applicants Present:**  
Brennan Marks, P.E., Marks Engineering, 42 Beeman Street, Canandaigua, N.Y. 14424  
Michael Pignato, D.D.S., 32 Crest View Drive, Rochester, N.Y. 14625

**Residents Present:**  
Gerald A. Bloss, 81 Gannett Road, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Jessica Brumbaugh, 1403 Creek Pointe, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Carol Degear, 6141 Hanover Road, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Tim DeLucia, 1452 Mertensia Road, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Jonathan G. Potter, 1405 Creek Pointe, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Brian H. Sandore, 6143 Hanover Road, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Allyn H. Wagner, 1431 Tudor Way, Farmington, N.Y. 14425  
Patricia Wirth, 5999 Calm Lake Drive, Farmington, N.Y. 14425

**1. MEETING OPENING**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. After the Pledge of Allegiance was recited, Mr. Makin introduced the Planning Board members and staff, explained the emergency evacuation procedures, and noted that copies of the evening’s agenda were available on the table at the door. He also asked everyone to set his or her call phone on silent mode.

Mr. Makin said the meeting would be conducted according to the Rules of Procedure approved by the Planning Board on March 2, 2016.

**2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JANUARY 18, 2017**

■ A motion was made by MR. BELLIS, seconded by MR. VIETS, that the minutes of the January 18, 2017, meeting be approved.

Motion carried by voice vote. Ms. Neale abstained due to her absence from the meeting on January 18, 2017.

**3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JANUARY 28, 2017**

■ A motion was made by MR. VIETS, seconded by MS. NEALE, that the minutes of the January 28, 2017, Planning Board Organizational Meeting be approved.

Motion carried by voice vote.

**4. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING:  
PRELIMINARY TWO-LOT SUBDIVISION PLAT**

**PB #0103-17 Preliminary Two-Lot Subdivision Application**

**Name:** Michael C. Pagnato, D.D.S., 32 Crest View Drive,  
Rochester, N.Y. 14625

**Location:** Northeast intersection of Hathaway Drive and Perez Drive

**Zoning District:** GB General Business

**Request:** A two-lot subdivision application to create Lot #1 consisting of 0.828 acres (non-approved building lot) for a proposed office building and the remain lands of the parent parcel Lot #2 will consist of 0.712 acres with an existing office building

Mr. Makin reconvened the Public Hearing on this application.

Mr. Marks appeared on behalf of this application. Dr. Pignato also attended.

Mr. Marks summarized this application as discussed when the Public Hearing was opened on January 18, 2017, and explained that the applicant seeks to subdivide his single lot into two lots to provide flexibility for future development. He noted that all utilities are located on the road and that three Area Variances were approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals on January 23, 2017, as follows:

- Lot #1 to contain a total of 36,058 square feet (the Town Code requires a minimum lot size of 40,000 square feet in this zoning district).
- Lot #2 to contain a total of 31,024 square feet (the Town Code requires a minimum lot size of 40,000 square feet in this zoning district).
- A 41.3-foot front setback from State Route 332 on proposed Lot #1 (the Town Code requires a minimum front setback of 100 feet from the right-of-way line of State Route 332).

Mr. Brand said that the Ontario County Planning Board has reviewed this application and issued no adverse comments (Referral #6-2017). He said that draft resolutions have been prepared for Planning Board consideration this evening for the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) determination that the application is an Unlisted Action, for the SEQR determination that the application will not result in any significant environmental impacts, and for approval of the Preliminary Subdivision Plat.

Mr. Giroux asked about a light fixture on a pedestal that is depicted upon the Subdivision Plat within an easement on Perez Drive. He said that a sidewalk is proposed for this area and that placement of this light fixture could interfere with the construction of the sidewalk. He suggested that the applicant consider the relocation of this fixture, and another fixture, 25 feet to 30 feet to the north and outside the right-of-way of Hathaway Drive. He said that these relocations also would provide more light upon the driveway and the intersection. Mr. Marks said that he would consider this request. He noted that the fixtures could encroach upon another property if moved too far.

Mr. Brabant asked that the applicant consider granting a 10-foot-wide easement along Hathaway Drive to assure adequate land area for a future sidewalk installation. He said that the Subdivision Plat does not depict the need for this future sidewalk at the present time but asked that the applicant consider the easement in the event that it is needed in the future. Mr. Marks noted that the existing parking area is only about a foot-and-a-half from the road right-of-way and suggested a five-foot easement as a compromise. He said that he would discuss this request with the applicant. Mr. Makin said that a decision on this is not required this evening but that this issue must be resolved prior to Final Subdivision Plat consideration.

Mr. Makin then asked if anyone in attendance wished to speak for or against the application. There were no further comments or questions from those in attendance.

■ A motion was made by MS. NEALE, seconded by MR. BELLIS, that the Public Hearing on this application be closed.

Motion carried by voice vote. The Public Hearing was closed.

**Board deliberations:**

Ms. Neale asked about the existing structure that now includes a dental office. Mr. Marks said that one of the current tenants in the existing structure seeks more space and that the subdivision of the property into two lots would provide Dr. Pignato with the flexibility of future expansion. He said that the intended use of the proposed structure would be as an office.

Mr. Viets expressed concern about the current drainage on the site and the applicant's consideration of stormwater mitigation. He said that although the site disturbance is proposed to be less than one acre and therefore under the threshold for a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), he would nonetheless like to see measures to prevent direct runoff of stormwater and noted that the property drains into Beaver Creek. Mr. Viets said that this information would be requested at the Site Plan stage. Mr. Marks said that there is enough room on the property for shallow vegetative swales for stormwater runoff. He said that he has attempted to avoid hard structures due to cost and to utilize stormwater treatment from vegetation.

Mr. Hemminger asked Mr. Marks to give serious consideration to the current drainage issues in this area of the Town and to proper stormwater mitigation. He said that he has no objections to the subdivision of the property into two lots on the condition that stormwater mitigation is considered at the Site Plan stage. Mr. Marks said that it is common for planning boards to require a drainage report even though the disturbance would be under one acre and a SWPPP is not required. He said that he would make a good faith effort to deal with stormwater issues on the property. Mr. Makin explained that this area of the Town has drainage issues and that it is the policy of the Planning Board that neighboring properties must not be affected by the stormwater runoff from an adjacent property.

There were no further comments or questions from members of the Planning Board.

■ A motion was made by MR. BELLIS, seconded by MR. HEMMINGER, that the reading of the SEQR Resolution—Unlisted Action be waived.

Motion carried by voice vote. The reading of the SEQR Resolution—Unlisted Action was waived.

■ A motion was made by MS. NEALE, seconded by MR. VIETS, that the following resolution be approved:

**FARMINGTON PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION  
SEQR RESOLUTION—UNLISTED ACTION**

**PB #0103-17**

**APPLICANT: Michael Pignato, D.D.S., 32 Crest View Drive, Rochester, N.Y. 14625**

**ACTION: Preliminary Two-Lot Subdivision Plat**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Farmington Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) based upon its review of Sections 617.4. (b) (6) and 617.5 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations, a part of Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law, does not find the proposed Action listed as either a Type I or Type II Action.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board determines the proposed Action is an Unlisted Action.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board has reviewed the Part 2 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form and finds the analysis of anticipated impacts to be accurate and complete.

Adrian Bellis	Aye
Edward Hemminger	Aye
Scott Makin	Aye
Mary Neale	Aye
Douglas Viets	Aye

Motion carried.

■ A motion was made by MR. HEMMINGER, seconded by MR. VIETS, that the reading of the SEQR Criteria for Determining Significance Resolution be waived.

Motion carried by voice vote. The reading of the SEQR Criteria for Determining Significance Resolution was waived.

■ A motion was made by MR. BELLIS, seconded by MR. VIETS, that the following resolution be approved:

**TOWN OF FARMINGTON PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION  
CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE**

**PB #0103-17**

**APPLICANT: Michael Pignato, D.D.S., 32 Crest View Drive, Rochester, N.Y. 14625**

**ACTION:**                    **Preliminary Two-Lot Subdivision Plat:  
SEQR Determination of Non-Significance upon the above  
referenced Action for Preliminary Subdivision Plat approval to  
create two lots on a parcel of land (Tax Map Account # 029.65-  
01-3.000) located along the east side of Hathaway Drive, west  
of State Route 332, at the northeast corner of Hathaway Drive  
and Perez Drive.**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Farmington Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board), based upon review of the Criteria contained in §617.2 (a) of Part 617 of NYCRR, Article 8, New York State Environmental Conservation Law, has found the above referenced Actions to be Unlisted Actions; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has reviewed the completed Part 2 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF); and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has reviewed the completed Part 3 of the Short EAF; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board is the only involved agency, as defined under the SEQR Regulations and, therefore, is designated the Lead Agency for this action.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Planning Board does hereby accept the findings contained in Parts 2 and 3 of the Short EAF and directs the Planning Board Chairperson to sign and date the Short EAF Part 3.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Planning Board reasonably concludes the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Actions, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 (c):

- (i) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on the site; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on the site;
- (iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed action;

- (iv) the overall density of the sites is consistent with the Town’s Comprehensive Plan land use recommendations;
- (v) there are no known important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources on the site, or will the proposed action impair the existing community or neighborhood character;
- (vi) there will not be a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed action;
- (vii) there will not be any hazard created to human health;
- (viii) there will not be a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (ix) there will not be a large number of persons attracted to the site for more than a few days when compared to the number of persons who would come to such a place absent the action;
- (x) there will not be created a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will not be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and
- (xii) there are not two or more related actions which would have a significant impact upon the environment.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that based upon the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation, the Board determines that the proposed action WILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the Board directs that copies of this determination be filed as provided for under the SEQR Regulations.

Adrian Bellis	Aye
Edward Hemminger	Aye
Scott Makin	Aye
Mary Neale	Aye
Douglas Viets	Aye

Motion carried.

Mr. Makin then asked the clerk to read aloud the following resolution:

**TOWN OF FARMINGTON PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION  
PRELIMINARY PLAT, LOTS #1 AND #2  
MICHAEL PIGNATO SUBDIVISION**

**PB #0103-17.1**

**APPLICANT: Michael Pignato, D.D.S., 32 Crest View Drive,  
Rochester, N.Y. 14625**

**ACTION: Michael Pignato Subdivision—Preliminary Two-Lot  
Subdivision Plat**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Farmington Planning Board (hereinafter referred to as Planning Board), has received an Application from Michael Pignato, for Preliminary Subdivision Plat Approval for Lots #1 and #2 of the Michael Pignato Subdivision (identified as Tax Map Account #029.65-01-3.000) containing a total of 1.54 acres); and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has determined the proposed action is identified as an Unlisted Action under Part 617, of Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law (hereinafter referred to as the SEQR Regulations); and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has completed its review under SEQR making a determination of non-significance upon this Action; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has reviewed and given consideration to the Ontario County Planning Board Referral #6-2017, dated January 11, 2017; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has reviewed and given consideration to the Town of Farmington Zoning Board of Appeals Resolutions #ZB 0103-17, #ZB 0104-17 and #ZB 0105-17, all dated Monday, January 23, 2017; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has reviewed and given consideration to the Town Engineer’s comments contained in the January 18, 2017 letter; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board has received testimony at tonight’s continued public hearing regarding the proposed preliminary subdivision.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Planning Board does hereby move to grant Preliminary Subdivision Plat Approval with the following conditions:

1. Preliminary Subdivision Plat Approval is based upon the drawing prepared by Freeland-Parrinello, Land Surveyors, entitled “Preliminary Subdivision Plat of Lands of Michael C. Pignato,” having a revised date of January 26, 2017.

2. The above referenced Plat drawing is to be further amended to provide a signature line for the Town Highway Superintendent's signing. The revised date of the amendments is to be provided on the new drawing.
3. All comments contained in the Town Engineer's letter, dated January 18, 2017, are to be addressed in writing, with changes made to the Plat drawing as deemed necessary by the Planning Board at tonight's meeting.
4. The drawing is showing a light pole, for the existing parking lot (Lot#2) that is located within the right-of-way of Hathaway Drive. This light pole is to be either re-located outside the highway right-of-way, or an easement would be necessary for the fixture to remain in its current location. This matter will need to be resolved prior to Final Plat Application.
5. The Liber and Page for the filed 30-foot-wide drainage easement shown along the northern portion of proposed Lot #1, is to be shown on the Final Subdivision Plat Map.
6. The Liber and Page for the filed sanitary sewer easement that is identified on the Preliminary Plat Map for Lot #2 as per filed map No. 15011, is to be shown on the Final Subdivision Plat Map.
7. Final Subdivision Plat Approval shall not be granted until all easements have been filed with the Ontario County Clerk's Office and the Liber and Page shown on the Final Plat Map, along with a copy of the Liber and Page filings to be provided to the Town Clerk.
8. Once all conditions of Preliminary Plat Approval have been made on the revised drawing, a total of five (5) paper copies are to be submitted to the Town Code Enforcement Officer, within 180 days, for his review and acceptance.
9. Once all signatures have been affixed to the revised Preliminary Plat drawings then the Planning Board Chairperson may sign the drawings.
10. One copy is to be provided to each of the following: Town Highway Superintendent, Town Water and Sewer Superintendent, Town Engineers, Town Development Office and the Applicant. If additional copies are required by the Applicant, then the number of additional copies are to be provided at the time of submittal.
11. Preliminary Subdivision Plat Approval is valid for a period of 180 days from today. Failure to have the Preliminary Plat drawings signed within this time period will result in the need for a new application for Preliminary Plat Approval.
12. Once the Preliminary Plat drawings have been signed, then the Applicant may submit Final Plat Maps and make application for Final Plat Approval to the Planning Board.

Mr. Makin asked Mr. Marks if he understood the resolution and agreed with the conditions. Mr. Marks said that he understood the resolution and agreed with the conditions.

Mr. Hemminger asked if the question raised by Mr. Brabant by about a prospective sidewalk easement has been reflected in the resolution. Mr. Brand said that this issue is addressed in Condition #3 regarding the Town engineer’s comment letter and regarding changes to the Plat drawing as deemed necessary by the Planning Board at tonight’s meeting.

■ A motion was made by MS. NEALE, seconded by MR. HEMMINGER, that the preceding resolution be approved.

Adrian Bellis	Aye
Edward Hemminger	Aye
Scott Makin	Aye
Mary Neale	Aye
Douglas Viets	Aye

Motion carried.

**5. OPEN DISCUSSION**

***Director of Development and Planning:***

Mr. Brand commented on the following topics:

- The agenda for the Project Review Committee meeting to be held on February 3, 2017, has been distributed to Town staff and applicants. Planning Board members are welcome to attend. The agenda includes discussions of the following projects:

*New Project:*

America’s Best Value Inn Preliminary Site Plan Application

*Existing Projects:*

Home Leasing Farmington Gardens Phase 2  
 Redfield Grove, Section 1  
 Federal Express Distribution Facility As-Built Drawings  
 MiniTec Framing Systems  
 DiMartino Dental Office Building  
 MTOD Map Amendments  
 Cerone Incentive Zoning Project  
 Monarch Manor Section 1 Punch List Updates, Road Dedication and  
 Letter of Credit Renewal  
 Auburn Meadows Subdivision, Sections 6N and 6S

- The Town Board is expected to adopt the revised Major Thoroughfare Overlay District (MTOD) Map at its meeting on February 14, 2017.
- Mr. Brand’s biweekly report to the Town Operations Committee of the Town Board is posted upon the Town website. From the home page, select “Building/Planning/Zoning Dept.”, then select “New Development Information.”
- The Planning Board will receive the first presentation of the America’s Best Value Inn Preliminary Site Plan application at its meeting on February 15, 2017. The application has been submitted to the Ontario County Planning Board for review on February 8, 2017. The inn is proposed for construction on the south side of State Route 96 just west of the Park Place Restaurant.
- The Town Board is expected to consider the conditions of approval of the Cerone Incentive Zoning Project on State Route 332 at its meeting on February 14, 2017.

***Code Enforcement Officer:***

Mr. Morse reported that he spoke with Michael Best who informed him that he intends to withdraw his applications for Preliminary Site Plan and Special Use Permit approval for a Major Home Occupation (Pond’s Edge Venue, an outdoor commercial recreation area) at 6165 Brownsville Road. Mr. Best presented these applications at the Planning Board meeting on December 21, 2016.

***Farmington Representative to the Ontario County Planning Board:***

Ms. Wirth reported that the Ontario County Planning Board (OCPB) would be reviewing the America’s Best Value Inn application at the meeting on February 8, 2017.

Mr. Makin welcomed Ms. Wirth to the meeting, expressed thanks to her for serving as the Farmington representative on the OCPB, and looks forward to her reports to the Planning Board.

***Planning Board Chairperson:***

Mr. Makin asked Planning Board members and Town staff to review the most recent drafts of the 2017 Rules of Procedure and MTOD Site Design Guidelines as distributed electronically and in hard-copy format by the clerk, and to provide further updates to him by the end of next week. He said that adoption of these would be on the agenda for the meeting on February 15, 2017.

## 6. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Sandore asked if the Public Hearing on the Home Leasing Farmington Gardens Phase 2 project was still open. Mr. Brand explained that the Public Hearing remains open and has been continued to the Planning Board meeting on February 15, 2017. He said that the review period for the SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies will end at 12:00 noon on February 15, 2017, that several agencies already have responded regarding the application, and that all letters and photographs that have been submitted by citizens have been included in the record on the application. (See Minutes of the Planning Board Meeting, January 18, 2017, Pages 9 and 10, for the list of SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies.)

Ms. Brumbaugh asked if the Planning Board would consider feedback from the citizens when deliberating upon the Home Leasing application. Mr. Brand said that comments from the SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies, and from the public, would be included in the record and considered by the Planning Board. He said that the board members would begin their deliberations following the close of the Public Hearing.

Ms. Brumbaugh said that representatives from Home Leasing have been reaching out to residents in the vicinity of the proposed project. She asked about the Planning Board's ability to encourage or require Home Leasing to avoid building on land that already floods, or to encourage or require Home Leasing to scale back the project to avoid further flooding in the area. Mr. Makin said that MRB Group—the Town's engineering firm—would be very vigilant in reviewing the application and the company's plan for storm-water mitigation. Mr. Brabant said that the replies from the SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies would be carefully reviewed and compared with the Home Leasing engineering firm's reports for accuracy on topics including drainage, environmental impacts, lighting and traffic. He said that the Town engineering firm would seek to mitigate the issues that have been identified by the public.

Mr. Sandore asked if the reports to be submitted by the SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies would be available to the public. Mr. Brand explained that these reports and the complete file on the Home Leasing application are available for public review at the Town Hall. Mr. Makin noted that the Public Hearing on this application remains open and residents are welcome to continue to submit correspondence that will be entered into the record. Mr. Brand said that three residents have contacted him for electronic copies of the applicant's drawings. Ms. Brumbaugh said that the residents have shared these electronic files with other neighbors.

Ms. Wirth asked about the emphasis that the Planning Board would place upon the input from residents as compared to the emphasis to be placed upon the input from the SEQR Involved and Interested Agencies. Mr. Brand explained that the Planning Board often walks a fine line between public sentiments and factual information as presented by residents or agencies. He said that a judge would give more weight to facts over sentiments or emotions if a Planning Board decision is challenged in court. He emphasized, though, that this does not diminish the facts presented by neighbors with personal knowledge of

conditions, which in some cases is not known by an applicant's engineering firm that would not have direct personal knowledge. Mr. Brand said that all input received from residents and the various agencies would be evaluated by the Planning Board and the Town professional staff, and that emphasis would be given to facts as opposed to expressions of emotion and sentiments.

Mr. Hemminger said that the photographs placed into the record from neighbors represents extremely important factual material for the Planning Board's deliberations. He said that factual information would assist the Planning Board to reach a proper decision.

Mr. Brand explained that although the Public Hearing remains open, a discussion of this project was not included on the agenda for this evening's meeting and the record for public comments tonight is not open. He cautioned the board and the residents about discussing the application when the applicant could contend that he or she did not have the opportunity to participate in the discussion. Mr. Brand said that the public record is open for written correspondence and that the public discussion has been continued to the meeting to be held on February 15, 2017.

Mr. Potter asked about the proposed road connections as depicted upon the amended MTOD Overlay Map. Mr. Brand said that the Town Board plans to consider the adoption of the amended map on February 14, 2017, and that Mr. Potter's questions are more appropriate for the Town Board's consideration. Mr. Brand explained that the MTOD map amendments have been proposed following a number of public discussions at Planning Board meetings and with the support of the New York State Department of Transportation (DOT) Regional Office representative. He said that the proposed road connections would facilitate transportation from commercial areas on State Route 332 to the Tops Supermarket and to other commercial areas on State Route 96. Mr. Brand noted that the amendments reflect the DOT's constraints on placing additional traffic signals on State Route 332 and State Route 96. He explained that the amendments have been proposed with the future commercial development on the southwest corner of State Route 332 and County Road 41 in mind.

## **7. ADJOURNMENT**

■ A motion was made MR. VIETS, seconded by MS. NEALE, that the meeting be adjourned.

Motion carried by voice vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

The next regular meeting of the Planning Board will be held at the Town Hall on Wednesday, February 15, 2017, at 7:00 p.m.

**8. GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE TRAINING**

Following the meeting, Kurt Rappazzo, P.E., of MRB Group, presented a training program on “Green Infrastructure Planning Design Guidelines” for members of the Planning Board, other appointed Town boards, and staff. The session provided training credit for municipal board members as required by the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Program.

Following the meeting and the training session, the clerk secured the building.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. Robortella, L.S.  
Clerk of the Town of Farmington Planning Board