CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT SANITARY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2018

A_G_E_N_D_A

Meeting to be held at 7:00 p.m. in the Stevens Creek Office Center, Suite 100, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, California.

1. ROLL CALL:

2. MINUTES & BILLS:

- A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 07, 2018
- B. APPROVED MINUTES OF JANUARY 17, 2018
- C. PAYMENT OF BILLS AND APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT
- D. DIRECTORS MONTHLY TIMESHEET

3. PERSONAL PRESENTATIONS:

THIS PORTION OF THE MEETING IS RESERVED FOR PERSONS DESIRING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON ANY MATTER NOT ON THE AGENDA. SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES.

ALL STATEMENTS REQUIRING A RESPONSE WILL BE REFERRED TO STAFF FOR FURTHER ACTION. IN MOST CASES, STATE LAW WILL PROHIBIT THE BOARD FROM MAKING ANY DECISIONS WITH RESPECT TO A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA.

4. CORRESPONDENCE:

A. PAYMENT FROM CITY OF SAN JOSE FY16-17 THIRD QUARTER ADJUSTMENTS FOR RWF O&M AND CIP

5. MEETINGS:

- A. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) TO BE HELD MARCH 05, 2018
- B. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TPAC) TO BE HELD MARCH 08, 2018

6. REPORTS:

NONE

7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. RESOLUTION 1302, ADOPTING DISTRICT 10-YEAR CIP MASTER PLAN

8. NEW BUSINESS:

- A. RESOLUTION NO. 1303, SETTING TIME AND PLACE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON CONSIDERATION OF RATE INCREASE
- B. FORM 700 E-FILING
- C. NO-DIG TRENCHLESS CONFERENCE

9. STAFF REPORT:

NONE

10. CLOSED SESSION:

A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL CONSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9: One Case

11. ADJOURNMENT:

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT MEETING/EVENT SCHEDULE

FEBRUARY 2018

02/05: TAC Meeting

02/07: 1st Regular Meeting

02/08: TPAC Meeting

02/21: 2nd Regular Meeting

| | | FEI | BRUARY 20 | 18 | | |
|--------|--------|---------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |]st 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | TAC | | Regular Meeting | TPAC | | |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 2nd 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| | | | Regular Meeting | | | |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | | STATE AND ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P | |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | Meeting | | | |

MARCH 2018

03/05: TAC & SCCSDA Meetings

03/07: 1st Regular Meeting

03/08: TPAC Meeting

03/21: 2nd Regular Meeting

| | | N | ARCH 201 | 8 | | |
|-------|---------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| unday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 1# 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | TAC SCCSDA | | Regular Meeting | TPAC | en a la mente de la companya de la c | |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 2 nd Regular | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | Meeting 28 | 29 | 30 | 3 |

APRIL 2018

04/04: 1st Regular Meeting

04/09: TAC Meeting

04/12: TPAC Meeting

04/18: 2nd Regular Meeting

| | | | APRIL 2018 | | | |
|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 st Regular Meeting | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | TAC | 10 | 11 | TPAC | 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 2 nd Regular Meeting | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 | | | | | |

SANITARY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 07, 2018

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:28 p.m. in the Stevens Creek Office Center, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 100, Cupertino, California.

ROLL CALL:

President Bosworth called the meeting to order and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Directors present: Patrick S. Kwok, William A. Bosworth, Angela S. Chen, Taghi S. Saadati, and John M. Gatto.

Staff present: District Manager Richard K. Tanaka, Deputy District Manager Benjamin Porter, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

MINUTES & BILLS:

- A. On a motion by Director Gatto, seconded by Director Chen, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Minutes of Wednesday, January 17, 2018 were approved.
- B. By consensus, the Approved Minutes of January 03, 2018 were Noted & to be Filed.

PERSONAL PRESENTATIONS:

There were none.

CORRESPONDENCE:

A. The Board reviewed CASSE - General Meeting to be held February 27, 2018 at Union Sanitary District. Managers Tanaka and Porter plan on attending.

MEETINGS:

A. President Bosworth plans to attend the Regular Meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) to be held February 08, 2018.

REPORTS:

- A. Director Saadati reported on the City of Cupertino Junipero Serra Trail Project TAC Meeting #2 held January 31, 2018. There was not much discussion on sanitary.
- B. Manager Tanaka reported on Regular Meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) held February 05, 2018.
- C. Deputy Manager Porter and Director Saadati reported on CSRMA Pre-CASA Conference Risk Management Training Seminar held January 24, 2018, from 7:30am to 12pm in Palm Springs, Ca.

- D. The Board discussed the CASA Winter Conference held January 24-26, 2018 in Palm Springs, Ca.
 - 1. Directors Gatto and Saadati reported on Roundtable Series.
 - 2. President Bosworth reported on CSRMA Board of Directors Meeting.
 - 3. Counsel Hynes reported on Attorney's Committee Meeting.
 - 4. Other Conference Topics: The Board instructed Staff to enter CuSD into Organization Structure Award.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. The Board discussed consideration of change in meeting start time. On a motion by Director Gatto, seconded by Director Kwok, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved changing the Board Meeting time to 7:00PM instead of 7:30PM and approved Ordinance No. 121, Amending Section 3001.A of the Operations Code Relating to Start Time of Regular Board Meetings.

NEW BUSINESS:

- A. Manager Tanaka gave an overview of the Draft Master Plan 10 Year CIP Report. The Board discussed and provided inputs to staff. Board discussed the issue of the lateral rehabilitation, particularly replacements versus other alternatives, i.e. lining, pipe bursting, adding storage to alleviate I/I, and cost-sharing for lateral replacement. This topic will be agendized in the future as part of the District CIP capital project implementation.
- B. Manager Tanaka presented the Board with Smart Cover Annual Renewal. On a motion by Director Gatto, seconded by Director Chen, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved renewal of Smart Cover contract.
- C. Manager Tanaka presented on the Purchase of 3 Pumps Forum 1, Florence Pump and a spare. On a motion by Director Kwok, seconded by Director Saadati, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved a budget of \$27,890.00.
- D. The Board reviewed Sewer Lateral Repair Claim for 11177 Palos Verdes Drive. On a motion by Director Gatto, seconded by Director Kwok, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved the following condition: District will pay the Able invoice in full and allow owner a credit of \$2,726 to offset the total cost of \$10,763.70. Property owner is agreeable to paying \$8,037.70 to Cupertino Sanitary District.

STAFF REPORTS:

There were none.

CLOSED SESSION:

President Bosworth adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 9:08 p.m. in accordance with government code section 54956.9(d)4 to discuss anticipated litigation. President Bosworth adjourned the closed session and the regular meeting was called to order at 9:13 p.m.

| ADJOURNMENT: | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Director Kwok requested to be excused from the Board approved. | e regular meeting to be held on March 7, 2018. The |
| On a motion properly made and seconded, at 9:1 | 5 p.m. the meeting was adjourned. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Secretary of the Sanitary Board | President of the Sanitary Board |

There was no reportable action.

Approved

SANITARY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 2018

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:27 p.m. in the Stevens Creek Office Center, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 100, Cupertino, California.

ROLL CALL:

President Bosworth called the meeting to order and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Directors present: Patrick S. Kwok, William A. Bosworth, Angela S. Chen, Taghi S. Saadati, and John M. Gatto.

Staff present: District Manager Richard K. Tanaka, Deputy District Manager Benjamin Porter, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

MINUTES & BILLS:

On a motion by Director seconded by Director Saadati, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Minutes of Wednesday, January 03, 2018 were approved.

By consensus, the Approved Minutes of December 20, 2017 were Noted & to be Filed.

The Board reviewed the financials. Director Chen compared and requested definition of "emergency" expenditures for Able Underground, Roto-Rooter, and Mark Thomas. Manager Tanaka responded and satisfied her question. The Board discussed Crystal reports and engineering services, and asked if there will be a revised budget. Staff will provide a budget update with next financial packet. On a motion by Director Chen seconded by Director Saadati, by a vote of 5-0-0 the payment of Bills for the month of December were approved as written.

The Directors submitted their January 2018 timesheets to Staff.

PERSONAL PRESENTATIONS:

There were none.

CORRESPONDENCE:

The Board discussed USA North 811 notice of Cost Increases and Upcoming Changes.

The Board discussed the City of San Jose FY16-17 Adjustments for RWF O&M and CIP.

MEETINGS:

Deputy Manager Porter, and Directors Saadati and Kwok plan to attend the CSRMA Pre-CASA Conference Risk Management Seminar to be held January 24, 2018 in Palm Springs, CA.

Board members Bosworth, Kwok, Saadati, and Gatto plan to attend the CASA Winter Conference to be held January 24-26, 2018 in Palm Springs, CA.

Manager Tanaka or Deputy Manager Porter plan to attend the City of Cupertino Junipero Serra Trail Project - TAC Meeting #2 to be held January 31, 2018 at Cupertino City Hall.

REPORTS:

Manager Tanaka reported on the Regular Meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) held January 09, 2018.

Director Gatto reported on the Regular Meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) held January 11, 2018.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

There was none.

NEW BUSINESS:

Manager Tanaka elaborated on Memo to the Board: Calabazas Creek/Tantau Ave Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation CIP. After brief discussion, on a motion by Director Gatto, seconded by Director Kwok, by a vote of 5-0-0, Resolution No. 1301, Accepting Completion of Calabazas Creek / Tantau Avenue Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Project was approved.

The Board reviewed SSMP Annual Audit Report. There was no reportable action.

The Board discussed Board meeting start time. The Board discussed the following: rarely are there visitors at the District meetings, other public agencies start their Board meetings between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. The Board proposed 7:00 p.m. meeting start time. Staff is to review Operations Code to determine steps needed to make change at next regular meeting.

The Board discussed the CWEA California Fog Workshop. on a motion by Director Kwok, seconded by Director Gatto, by a vote of 5-0-0, Board approved attendance for one staff member.

STAFF REPORTS:

Deputy Manager Porter provided the Monthly Maintenance Report.

CLOSED SESSION:

President Bosworth adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 8:33 p.m. in accordance with government code section 54956.9(d)4 to discuss anticipated litigation. President Gatto adjourned the closed session and the regular meeting was called to order at 9:02 p.m.

There was no reportable action.

| ADJOURNMENT: | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|
| On a motion properly made and seconded, | at 9:04 p.m. the meeting was adjourned. | |
| | | |
| Secretary of the Sanitary Board | President of the Sanitary Board | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT WARRANTS PAYABLE - FEBRUARY 21, 2018

| WARRANT | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| NUMBER | <u>FUND</u> | | <u>AMOUNT</u> | PAYEE | <u>DESCRIPTION</u> | |
| N/A | M&O | \$ | 2,624.90 | ADP | Director Salary | |
| 18330 | M&O | • | • | Mark Thomas & Company, Inc. | Office Expense | 400.00 |
| 1000 | 111000 | Ψ | 001,510120 | The state of the s | Management Svcs | 44,663.06 |
| | | | | | Engineering Svcs | 150,513.41 |
| | | | | | Plan Checking & Insp. | 7,612.14 |
| | | | | | Repairs & Maintenance | 141,700.39 |
| | | | | | Emergency | 8,846.88 |
| | | | | | Capital Outlay-Sewer Const. | 8,232.93 |
| | | | | | Utilities | 776.35 |
| | | | | | Travel & Meetings | 2,172.75 |
| | | | | | Operating Supplies | 27.25 |
| | | | | | 1 0 11 | |
| 18331 | M&O | \$ | 239.40 | EZOT, Inc. | Office Expense | |
| 18332 | M&O | \$ | 1,042.78 | Dooley Insurance Services | Insurance - Group Life & Dental | |
| 18333 | M&O | \$ | 100.00 | SCC Special Districts Association | Membership Dues | |
| 18334 | M&O | | 16,068.00 | • | Membership Dues | |
| 18335 | M&O | \$ | • | City of Santa Clara - Utilities | Utilities | |
| 18336 | M&O | \$ | 3,367.85 | • | Utilities | |
| 18337 | M&O | \$ | , | Atkinson Farasyn, LP | Legal (District Counsel) | 2,791.25 |
| | | | • | • • | Travel & Meetings (CASA) | 712.95 |
| 18338 | M&O | \$ | 762.08 | William Bosworth | Travel & Meetings (CASA) | |
| 18339 | M&O | \$ | 737.81 | John Gatto | Travel & Meetings (CASA) | |
| 18340 | M&O | \$ | 1,266.91 | Patrick Kwok | Travel & Meetings (CASA) | |
| 18341 | M&O | | | Taghi Saadati | Travel & Meetings (CASA) | |
| 18342 | M&O | | | Bruce Barton Pump Service | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18343 | M&O | | | Cupertino Supply | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18344 | M&O | | | Jose Silva Gardening Service | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18345 | M&O | | | Mission Communications, LLC | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18346 | M&O | | | TelStar Instruments | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18347 | M&O | | | Home Depot Credit Services | Repairs & Maintenance | |
| 18348 | M&O | \$ | 90,268.16 | Able Underground Construction | Repairs & Maintenance | 74,035.67 |
| 10240 | Meo | dr. | 22 402 00 | Data Dastan | Emergency | 16,232.49 |
| 18349 | M&O | D | 23,482.80 | Roto-Rooter | Repairs & Maintenance | 21,899.79 1,583.01 |
| TOTAL | - | \$ | 513,600.12 | • | Emergency | 1,303.01 |
| 101/11 | = | Ψ | 213,000.12 | : | | |

EMERGENCY DETAILS:

Able - total five emergencies for the month of January

Roto-Rooter - total six emergencies for the month of January

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT

MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT THROUGH JANUARY 2018

(7th Month of Operations - 58% into FY Operations) FISCAL YEAR: July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

EXPENSE SUMMARY REPORT

| | | | | TOT WOOD | EXA EXAMPLE DOMENTAL AND ONLY | TATO TOTAL | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Account Name | Account Number | BUDGET | Prior Expenses | Amount Payable | Total To Date Expenses | Remaining Balance | % Expended | Comments |
| | | | | JAN | | | To Date | |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | | | | |
| Directors Fees | 41030 | \$40,000 | \$13,818.65 | \$2,624.90 | \$16,443.55 | \$23,556.45 | 41.1% | Under budget |
| Gasoline, Oil & Fuel | 41060 | \$3,000 | \$1,387.09 | \$0.00 | \$1,387.09 | \$1,612.91 | 46.2% | None this month: Prior expenses were fuel at flow monitoring station |
| Insurance | 41070 | \$125,000 | \$119,430.42 | \$1,042.78 | \$120,473.20 | \$4,526.80 | 96.4% | Directors' Insurance |
| Memberships | 41080 | \$28,000 | \$4,750.11 | \$16,168.00 | \$20,918.11 | \$7,081.89 | 74.7% | Annual CASA Membership and SCC Special Districts Association |
| Office Expense | 41090 | \$6,000 | \$3,969.79 | \$639.40 | \$4,609.19 | \$1,390.81 | 76.8% | Board Room Rent and Annual Web Hosting |
| Operating Supplies | 41100 | \$3,000 | \$56.84 | \$27.25 | \$84.09 | \$2,915.91 | 2.8% | BOD portrait for Board Room |
| Contractual Services: | | | | | | | | |
| Outfall Maintenance | 41113 | \$190,000 | \$254,484.00 | \$0.00 | \$254,484.00 | (\$64,484.00) | 133.9% | None this month: payment made to Santa Clara for 5 years |
| T.P. Oper. & Maint. | 41114 | \$5,571,000 | \$4,689,677.00 | \$0.00 | \$4,689,677.00 | \$881,323.00 | 84.2% | Paid through three quarters |
| Professional Services: | | | | | | | | |
| Management Services | 41121 | \$500,000 | \$212,698.02 | \$44,663.06 | \$257,361.08 | \$242,638.92 | 51.5% | On Target |
| Engineering Services | 41122 | \$600,000 | \$464,814.73 | \$150,513.41 | \$615,328.14 | (\$15,328.14) | 102.6% | FOG implementation, increased effort on ARC-GIS/Lucity and CIP Master Plan |
| Plan Ckg. & Insp. | 41123 | \$140,000 | \$47,938.34 | \$7,612.14 | \$55,550.48 | \$84,449.52 | 39.7% | On Target |
| Legal District Counsel | 41124 | \$60,000 | \$11,693.99 | \$2,791.25 | \$14,485.24 | \$45,514.76 | 24.1% | Billings through 02/09/18 |
| Legal (Outside Counsel) | 41124 | \$200,000 | \$27,476.66 | • | \$27,476.66 | \$172,523.34 | 13.7% | None this month; Common Interest Group cost sharing |
| Audit | 41125 | \$11,000 | \$10,775.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,775.00 | \$225.00 | %0.86 | Final: Patel & Associates, LLP |
| Printing & Publications | 41130 | \$30,000 | \$7,735.06 | \$0.00 | \$7,735.06 | \$22,264.94 | 25.8% | None this month: Annual Reports Printing & Mailing |
| Repairs & Maintenance | 41150 | \$3,200,000 | \$1,328,666.48 | \$241,814.37 | \$1,570,480.85 | \$1,629,519.15 | 49.1% | On Target |
| Travel & Meetings | 41170 | \$25,000 | \$16,481.91 | \$6,598.65 | \$23,080.56 | \$1,919.44 | 92.3% | CASA winter conference this month |
| Utilities | 41190 | \$60,000 | \$29,899.44 | \$4,209.60 | \$34,109.04 | \$25,890.96 | 26.8% | On Target |
| Refunds & Reimbursements | ts: | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 41201 | \$10,000 | \$8,876.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,876.00 | \$1,124.00 | 88.8% | None this month: Mann Drive and D&B Legacy LLC |
| Connection Fees | 41202 | \$7,000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,000.00 | 0.0% | None to Date |
| Checking & Inspection | 41203 | \$5,000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | %0:0 | } |
| Emergency Funds | 48000 | \$250,000 | \$52,643.54 | \$26,662.38 | \$79,305.92 | \$170,694.08 | 31.7% | Eleven emergencies this month |
| Consolidated Election | 48001 | \$5,000 | 00.0 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000.00 | 0.0% | None to Date |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | NSES | \$11,069,000 | \$7,307,273.07 | \$505,367.19 | \$7,812,640.26 | \$3,251,359.74 | 70.6% | |
| CAPITAL EXPENSES | | | | | | | | |
| Sewer Construction | 46041 | \$1,600,000 | \$1,752,923.40 | \$8,232.93 | \$1,761,156.33 | (\$161,156.33) | 110.1% | Calabazas Construction CIP Project completed |
| T.P. & Outfall Capital Improve | ле 46042 | \$7,220,000 | \$4,713,128.06 | \$0.00 | \$4,713,128.06 | \$2,506,871.94 | 65.3% | Paid through three quarters |
| Equipment | 46043 | \$150,000 | \$17,019.26 | \$0.00 | \$17,019.26 | \$132,980.74 | 11.3% | |
| Replacement Fund | 46044 | \$300,000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$300,000.00 | | Replacement Fund to be recorded in June 2018-Current Balance \$2,400,000 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSES | S | \$9,270,000 | \$6,483,070.72 | \$8,232.93 | \$6,491,303.65 | \$2,778,696.35 | 70.0% | |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | | \$20,339,000 | \$13,790,343.79 | \$513,600.12 | \$14,303,943.91 | \$6,035,056.09 | 70.3% | Three quarters of T.P. costs paid and CIP nearly complete |
| | | | | Commence of the Control of the Contr | | | | |

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT THROUGH JANUARY 2018 (7th Month of Operations - 58% into FY Operations) FISCAL YEAR: July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

REVENUE SUMMARY REPORT

| Account Name | Account | BUDGET | Prior Receipts | Current Month Receipts JAN | Total Amount Received | Remaining Balance to Collect | % Earned To Date | Comments |
|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| OPERATING | | | | | | | | |
| Service Charges | 31010 | | | | | | | |
| Handbilling | | \$391,562.00 | \$0.00 | \$358,570.31 | \$358,570.31 | \$32,991.69 | 91.6% | Six payments received this month |
| Tax Roll | | \$13,831,326.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,058,573.44 | \$8,058,573.44 | \$5,772,752.56 | 58.3% | First payment received |
| Permit Fees | 31020 | \$30,000.00 | \$6,950.00 | \$4,800.00 | \$11,750.00 | \$18,250.00 | 39.2% | 35 Total payments received to date |
| Acreage | 31031 | \$15,000.00 | \$2,856.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,856.00 | \$12,144.00 | 19.0% | None this month: One Payment to date |
| Front Footage | 31032 | \$20,000.00 | \$8,896.40 | \$0.00 | \$8,896.40 | \$11,103.60 | 44.5% | None this month: One Payment to date |
| Addional Dwelling | 31033 | \$80,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$80,000.00 | %0:0 | None to Date |
| Addtional Density | 31034 | \$200,000.00 | \$858.24 | \$0.00 | \$858.24 | \$199,141.76 | 0.4% | None this month: One payment to date |
| Checking & Inspection Fees | 31040 | \$160,000.00 | \$53,101.83 | \$4,700.00 | \$57,801.83 | \$102,198.17 | 36.1% | 119 Total payments received to date |
| Annexation | 32010 | \$2,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,500.00 | %0.0 | None to Date |
| Interest | 32050 | \$160,000.00 | \$93,650.87 | \$0.00 | \$93,650.87 | \$66,349.13 | 58.5% | None this month: FY17 Q4, FY18 Q1 Received |
| SJ Credit and Others | 32091 | \$1,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,105,187.66 | \$2,105,187.66 | (\$1,105,187.66) | 210.5% | Three Reimbursements this month includes City of SJ |
| Lateral Construction | 32093 | \$15,000.00 | \$3,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,200.00 | \$11,800.00 | 21.3% | None this month: One Payment to date |
| Mann Drive | 32094 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | %0.0 | Completed: Close out next fiscal year |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE | | \$15,905,388.00 | \$169,513.34 | \$10,531,831.41 | \$10,701,344.75 | \$5,204,043.25 | 67.28% | |
| Revenue Transfer | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | %00.0 | Reserve Account |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | \$15,905,388.00 | \$169,513.34 | \$10,531,831.41 | \$10,701,344.75 | \$5,204,043.25 | 67.28% | |
| | | | | | | | | |

CASH ACCOUNT SUMMARY

| | | | | œ | Replacement | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----|---------------|----|-------------------------------|----|------------------------------------------------|
| Date | Description | : | Balance | | Fund | | Net Cash |
| June 30, 2017 | Ending Balance | € | 22,464,574.90 | €9 | 2,100,000.00 | ↔ | 22,464,574.90 \$ 2,100,000.00 \$ 20,364,574.90 |
| July 31, 2017 | Ending Balance | 69 | 19,612,816.78 | ↔ | 19,612,816.78 \$ 2,400,000.00 | 69 | \$ 17,212,816.78 |
| August 31, 2017 | Ending Balance | ↔ | 18,915,222.26 | ₩ | 18,915,222.26 \$ 2,400,000.00 | ↔ | 16,515,222.26 |
| September 30, 2017 | Ending Balance | ↔ | 17,659,566.60 | €9 | 2,400,000.00 | 69 | 15,259,566.60 |
| October 31, 2017 | Ending Balance | ₩ | 11,730,749.16 | ↔ | 11,730,749.16 \$ 2,400,000.00 | ₩ | 9,330,749.16 |

Item 4A

Page 1 of 1 CITY OF SAN JOSE VENDOR NO. CHECK DATE CHECK NO CHECK TOTAL 02/02/2018 \$914,529.00 **Net Amount** Invoice Number Invoice Date Invoice Description Invoice Amount \$914,529.00 REIMBURSEMENT OF FY16-17 O&M CREDIT \$914,529.00 CUSD 16-17 O&M CREPOT8/01/03

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRINTED IN TWO COLORS. DO NOT ACCEPT UNLESS BLUE AND BROWN ARE PRESENT.

CITY OF SAN JOSE Finance - Disbursements 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113-1905 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. 115 HOSPITAL DRIVE VAN WERT, OH 45891



CHECK NO.

02/02/2018

PAYSAN JOSE \$914.529.00

*** \$***914,529.00°

To CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT

The 20863 STEVENS CREEK BLVD STE 100

Of

CUPERTINO CA 95014

allie H

Cooper

Finance Direc

Page 1 of 1 CITY OF SAN JOSE CHECK TOTAL VENDOR NO. **CHECK DATE** CHECK NO \$1,174,237.00 02/02/2018 Net Amount Invoice Amount Invoice Number Invoice Date Invoice Description REIMBURSEMENT OF FY16-17 CAPITAL CREDIT \$1,174,237.00 \$1,174,237.00 CUSD 16-17 CAP CRED018/01/03

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRINTED IN TWO COLORS. DO NOT ACCEPT UNLESS BLUE AND BROWN ARE PRESENT.

CITY OF SAN JOSE Finance - Disbursements 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113-1905 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. 115 HOSPITAL DRIVE VAN WERT, OH 45891

Wille.





02/02/2018

PAY SAN JOSE \$ 1.174.237.90

*** \$***1,174,237.00*

To CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT 20863 STEVENS CREEK BLVD

Order STE 100

CUPERTINO CA 95014

Gulia H Cooper

TREATMENT PLANT OPERATING FUND COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| Operating Expenditures (See Schedule 1-a) 86,907,102 Less: San Jose Sewer Service Collection Costs 0 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Operating Expenditures 86,907,102 | |
| Add: O&M Rebudgeted 0 | :*/ |
| Add: Allowance for Bad Debt Expenses 0 | i. |
| Total Operating Expenditures 86,907,102 | |
| Agency Share of Operating Expenditures | |
| (See Actual Cost Sharing Table 2 for Allocation %) | |
| 86,907,102 X 5.500% = | 4,779,891 |
| | |
| Cash Payments/Credits to be Applied | |
| 2016-17 Cash Payments Received (See Schedule 1-b) 4,339,260 2015-16 Operations Credit 699,272 | |
| 2015-16 Operations Credit 699,272 2015-16 O&M Rebudget 89,930 | |
| 2010-10 Odili Nebudget | |
| Total Payments/Credits to be Applied | 5,128,462 |
| Balance Due to Agency | 348,571 |
| Revenue: (See Schedule 1-b) | |
| Investment Income (Loss) 2,988 | |
| Land Rental 6,538 | |
| Land Revenue -LECEF Settlement 0 | |
| Discharge Permits 0 | |
| Discharge Permits (Pr. Yrs.) Reallocation 0 SCVWD Reimbursement | |
| 0 X 5.500% = 0 | |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | |
| 10,116,948 X 5.500% = 556,432 | 565,958 |
| | * |
| Balance Due Agency - To Be Applied | |
| Against 2017-2018 Operating Charges | 914,529 |

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT OPERATING FUND ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17(Period 15)

| | Total | San Jose | Santa e Clara | West Valley | Cupertin | o Milpitas | District 2-3 | Durbani |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Beginning Cash Balance - Fd 514 | 28,90 | | | valley | - Caperun | o milpitas | District 2-3 | Durnam |
| - Fd 513 | 45,695,93 | | | 1 4,975,009 | 9 2,436,50 | 6 3,225,752 | 2 683,576 | 148,90 |
| Total Begnning Cash Balance | 45,724,83 | | | | | | | 148,90 |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | |
| Contributions | 82,906,76 | | 8 12,342,68 | | | | 716,163 | 178,33 |
| Land | 160,49 | | | | * | | - | - |
| Discharge Permits | 51,44 | 6 24,50 | 0 15,05 | 0 560 |) - | 8,120 | 3,216 | - |
| Transfer-In from Fd 537 SCVWD Relmb. | - | - | *** | - | - | • | - | - |
| Connection Fee District 2-3 | - | - | ~ | - | • | - | - | |
| Land Revenue | | | _ | _ | - | - | | - |
| Cash Disbursement | | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Tfr to Fd 512 Capital | _ | _ | | _ | _ | | - | |
| interest Income | 42,270 | 6 19,599 | 9 8,52 [.] | 1 6,206 | 2,988 | 3,966 | 818 | 178 |
| Miscellaneous | 10,116,94 | 6,434,682 | 2 1,503,58 | | | • | | 23,572 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenue | 93,277,928 | 60,096,572 | 2 13,894,90 | 5 8,222,802 | 4,905,218 | 5,141,551 | 814,790 | 202,089 |
| Dec (Inc) Inventory | |) ************************************ | a: | | | | | |
| Dec (Inc) Accrued Interest | (117,076 | | | - | - | - | - | ** |
| Dec (Inc) Accounts Receivable | (220,711 | | | 2) (20,076) | (12,139 |)(12,737) | (2,064) | (514 |
| | 1223 | / Visit Site | , (02,,002 | (2.0,0.0) | (12,100 | (12,101) | (2,004) | [014 |
| Total Cash Revenue | 92,940,141 | 59,839,117 | 13,862,103 | 8,202,726 | 4,893,079 | 5,128,814 | 812,726 | 201,575 |
| O & M Billing Expenditures | 00 040 043 | EE 077 004 | 40 046 600 | 7 005 400 | 4 700 000 | r ost doo | . odo odo | 000 men |
| Materials issued to Fd 446 | 86,910,813 (3,713 | | 12,916,685) (552 | | 4,780,095 (204) | | | 202,502 |
| Allowance for Bad Debt Expenses | (0,710 | / (2,000 | , (552 | , (330) | (204) | (214) | (35) | (9) |
| In-Lieu of Taxes Fee | | - | - | | | | ** | _ |
| | 86,907,102 | 55,275,521 | 12,916,133 | 7,905,070 | 4,779,891 | 5,015,409 | 812,581 | 202,494 |
| GASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. | 80,993 | 80,993 | | | | | | |
| Fd 514 O&M Transfer to Fd 541 | * | H | *** | | * | | * | - |
| Total Expenditures | 86,988,093 | 55,356,514 | 12,916,133 | 7,905,070 | 4,779,891 | 5,015,409 | 812,581 | 202,494 |
| int. | | | | | | | | |
| Decrease (Increase) in | | | | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | (3,145,809) | (2,000,829) | (467,530) | (286,143) | (173,019) | (181,545) | (29,413) | (7,330) |
| Decrease (Increase) in | (0),0,0,0,0 | (=,000,000, | (101,000) | (200,110) | (170,010) | (101,040) | (20,410) | (1,000) |
| Encumbrances | 2,120,334 | 1,348,596 | 315,124 | 192,866 | 116,618 | 122,365 | 19,8,25 | 4,940 |
| Decrease (Increase) in L.T. Liab. | | | | | | | ٠, | |
| for Workers' Comp. Claims | 248,910 | 158,314 | 36,993 | 22,641 | 13,690 | 14,365 | 2,327 | 580 |
| Decrease (Increase) in L.T. Liab. | | | | | | | | |
| for OPEB Obligation | 392,687 | 249,761 | 58,361 | 35,719 | 21,598 | 22,662 | 3,672 | 915 |
| Total Cash Expenditures | 86,604,215 | 55,112,356 | 12.859.081 | 7,870,153 | 4,758,778 | 4,993,255 | 808,992 | 201,599 |
| | 00,007,210 | 3 | 12,000,001 | 1,010,100 | 4,100,110 | 4,000,200 | 000,332 | 201,000 |
| (Dansana) in Cook | 6 225 225 | 4 700 700 | 4 000 004 | 000 570 | 404 000 | 40.5 550 | | 4- 11 |
| crease (Decrease) in Cash ounding Adjustment | 6,335,925 | 4,726,760 | 1,003,021 | 332,573 | 134,302 | 135,559 | 3,734 | (24) |
| ounding Adjustment | | (2) | | of Williams | (1) | 1 | 1 | |
| nding Cash Balance | 52,060,761 | 32,382,582 | 7,602,282 | 5,307,582 | 2,570,807 | 3,361,312 | 687,311 | 148,885 |
| istribution of Ending Cash: | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Fund 514 | 118,234 | 118,234 | - ' | - | - | ₩. | - | - |
| Fund 513 | 51,942,527 | 32,264,348 | 7,602,282 | 5,307,582 | 2,570,807 | 3,361,312 | | 148,885 |
| nding Cash Distributed | 52,060,761 | 32,382,582 | 7,602,282 | 5,307,582 | 2,570,807 | 3,361,312 | 687,311 | 148,885 |
| onCash Assourts | | | * | | | | | 1 |
| onCash Accounts: Accrued Interest | 229,616 | 229,616 | - | _ | | | | |
| Accounts Receivable | 1,503,123 | 968,744 | 210,169 | 136,279 | 78,382 | 89,787 | 15 525 | 4 227 |
| Current Liabilities | (9,755,982) | (6,267,147) | | (918,192) | 70,302 (471,045) | and the second second | 15,525 (137,958) | 4,237 (28,087) |
| Reserve for Encumbrances | (5,619,730) | (3,387,364) | (897,790) | (592,240) | (250,692) | | | (19,903) |
| lability for OPEB | The second second | | | (1,469,993) | | | | (47,811) |
| iability for Workers' Comp. Claims | (3,010,342) | (1,979,008) | (389,282) | (260,855) | (140,751) | | (42,308) | (9,552) |
| -12 | 40.402.22 | 40.007.175 | 0.000 | 0 000 PG0 | 044.555 | 4.000 / 1- | | |
| ding Credits | 18,498,805 | 10,887,455 | 2,906,935 | 2,202,580 | 914,529 | 1,336,487 | 203,050 | 47,769 |

Operations and Maintenance Fund Calculation of Agency Interest Earnings For Fiscal Year 2016-17 (Period 15)

| * | | | | West | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|
| Description | Total | San Jose | Santa Clara | Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | Dist 2-3 | Burbank |
| Actual Share of O & M | 100.000% | 63.603% | 14.862% | | 5.500% | 5.771% | 0.935% | 0.233% |
| Assessed Valuation Beginning Cash Balances | 100.000% 45,724,836 | 81.059% 27,655,824 | 18.941% 6,599,261 | 4,975,009 | 2,436,506 | 3,225,752 | 683,576 | 148,908 |
| First Quarter: | 40,7 24,000 | 21,000,027 | 0,000,201 | .,, | _,,, | -,,- | | |
| Contributions | 8,716,736 | | 3,506,006 | 2,254,886 | 1,259,633 | 1,415,050 | 225,464 | 55,697 |
| SCVWD Reimb. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 |
| Land Revenue - LECEF Settlement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In-Lieu of Tax Fee NPO Adjustment | , 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures | (21,471,335) | (13,656,413) | (3,191,070) | (1.953.033) | (1,180,924) | (1,239,111) | (200,757) | (50,028 |
| Sub Total | 32,970,237 | | 6,914,197 | 5,276,862 | 2,515,216 | 3,401,691 | 708,283 | 154,577 |
| Interest Earned | 9,616 | 4,083 | 2,016 | 1,539 | 734 | 992 | 207 | 45 |
| Balance - First Quarter | 32,979,853 | 14,003,494 | 6,916,213 | 5,278,401 | 2,515,950 | 3,402,683 | 708,490 | 154,622 |
| Second Quarter: | | | | | | | | |
| Contributions | 8,716,736 | . 0 | 3,506,006 | 2,254,886 | 1,259,633 | 1,415,050 | 225,464 | 55,697 |
| SCVWD Reimb. | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In-Lieu of Tax Fee Transfer-out to Fd 541 | 0 | 0 | * | | | | | |
| Debt Syc-TransOut to Fd 537& 538 | ő | | | | | | 2 | |
| Expenditures | (21,471,335) | (13,656,413) | (3,191,070) | (1,953,033) | (1,180,924) | (1,239,111) | (200,757) | (50,028) |
| Sub Total | - 20,225,255 | 347,081 | 7,231,150 | 5,580,255 | 2,594,659 | 3,578,623 | 733,197 | 160,291 |
| Interest Earned | 5,899 | 101 | 2,109 | 1,627 | 757 | 1,044 | 214 | 47 |
| Balance - Second Quarter | 20,231,154 | 347,182 | 7,233,259 | 5,581,882 | 2,595,416 | 3,579,667 | 733,411 | 160,338 |
| Third Quarter: | | • | | | | | | 77 |
| Contributions | , , <u>ja</u> | 20,730,000 | 1,824,665 | 520,045 | :560,361 | 300,466 | 39,771 | 11,248 |
| SCVWD Reimb. | 0 | 0 | 25,070 | 11,095 | 6,538 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Revenue Discharge Permits | 160,496 51,446 | 117,793 24,500 | 15,050 | 560 | 0,000 | 8,120 | 3,216 | 0 |
| Transfer-in from Fd 537 | 01,440 | 24,000 | 10,000 | - 000 | FF 12 17 37 7 | | | |
| Removal in rights a day | 0 | 0 | 0 | <u></u> | | | | |
| Miscellaneous (See Cell H18) | 9,896,237 | 6,294,303 | 1,470,779 | 900,162 | 544,293 | 571,112 | 92,530 | 23,058 |
| In-Lieu of Tax Fee | 0 | 0 | | | | | 4 | |
| Expenditures Debt Syc-Contributions | (21,471,335) | (13,656,413) 0 | (3,191,070) | (1,953,033) | (1,180,924) | (1,239,111) | (200,757) | (50,028) |
| | <u> </u> | | 7 977 759 | 5,060,711 | 2,525,685 | 3,220,254 | 668,171 | 144,616 |
| Sub Total | 38,874,554 | 19,877,365 | 7,377,753 | | | | • | |
| Interest Earned | 11,338 | 5,797 | 2,152 | 1,476 | 737_ | 939 | 195 | 42 |
| Balance - Third Quarter | 38,885,892 | 19,883,162 | 7,379,905 | 5,062,187 | 2,526,422 | 3,221,193 | 668,366 | 144,658 |
| Fourth Quarter: | 0F 40C 770 | : 00.750,000 I | 2 500 000 | 2,254,886 | 1,259,633 | 1,415,050 | 225,464 | 55,697 |
| Contributions SCVWD Reimb. | 35,466,736 | 26,750,000 | 3,506,006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SRFL Repayment Reimb. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Disbursement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tfr to Fd 512 Capital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures | (21,471,335) | (13,656,413) | (3,191,070) | (1,953,033) | (1,180,924) | (1,239,111) | (200,757) | (50,028) |
| Sub Total | 52,881,293 | 32,976,749 | 7,694,841 | 5,364,040 | 2,605,131 | 3,397,132 | 693,073 | 150,327 |
| Interest Earned | 15,423 | 9,618 | 2,244 | 1,564 | . 760 · | 991 | 202 | 44 |
| Balance - Fourth Quarter | 52,896,716 | 32,986,367 | 7,697,085 | 5,365,604 | 2,605,891 | 3,398,123 | 693,275 | 150,371 |
| Total Interest Calculated | 42,276 | 19,599 | 8,521 | 6,206 | 2,988 | 3,966 | 818 | 178 |
| Interest Adjustment | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Interest Earned | 42,276 | 19,599 | 8,521 | 6,206 | 2,988 | 3,966 | 818 | 178 |
| Accrued Interest Dec(Inc) | (117,076) | (117,076) | | | | | | |
| Contribution | * * * | | /00 00 | 100 0 11 | 140.000 | (44.00=) | (C 0000 | JOAN |
| Dec(Inc) in Liab. for Workers' Comp. | (248,910) | (158,314) | (36,993) | (22,641) | (13,690) (21,598) | (14,365) | (2,327) | (580) (915) |
| Dec(Inc) in Liab. For OPEB Rounding Adjustment | (392,687) | (249,761) 0 | (58,361) 0 | (35,719) 0 | (21,598) | (22,662) 0 | (3,672) | (915) 0 |
| GASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. | (80,993) | (80,993) | • | • | | · · • | • | • |
| Tir in -Material issued to fd 446 | 3,713 | 2,363 | 552 | 338 | 204 | 214 | 35 | 9 |
| Transfer-In from Fd 512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Balances 6/30/17 | 52,060,761 | 32,382,582 | 7,602,282 | 5,307,582 | 2,570,807 | 3,361,312 | 687,311 | 148,885 |

Schedule 1-a Calculation of Actual O & M for Agency billings For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Period 15)

Total Expenditures per Fund 513 Appropriation Balance Report Cost of Materials Issued from GL Trial Bal. 513-42000009826

89,031,149

Add: Current Year Encumbrances Fund 513 ABR

Less: Prior Year Encumbrances

(2,120,336)

Add: Current Year Inventory per Financial Statements

Less: Prior Year Inventory

697,248 (660, 106)

37,142

Less: Inventory Purchases from Fd. 513 ABR/APPN. 0074

In-Lieu of Tax Fee 513-769000104063 Collection and Other Costs (513-83-000350) (390.878)

(390,878)

Add: Adjustment for Allowance for Bad Debt Exp. (F514

Total O & M for Agency Billings

86,907,102

Reconciliation to Financial Statements:

`Actual O & M above

86,907,102

Add: Depreciation Expense from Fd 513 Financial Statements

630,417

Decrease in Encumbrances

2,120,336

Decrease in Inventory

Less: Fixed Assets Capitalized from Fd 513 Fixed Asset Sch.

Increase in Encumbrances

Increase in Inventory

(37,142)

GASB 68 Non-Budgeted Adjustment

In-Lieu of Tax Fee (513-769000104063)

11,165,940

Expenditure Classified as Operating Transfer

(1,184,561)

Operating Expenditures per Financial Statements

97,427,140

FY16-17 3rd Quarter Total Credit / (Debit) Summary for CIP Projects

Fund 512

| | | | ı | 32 | | | The state of the s | | ı | | |
|------------------------|-----|----------------|----------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|
| | | | | RWI | RWF Projects | tts | | | | | |
| | 8 | Over-all WA | | Digester | | Iron Salt | Total Credit / (Debit) | bit) | <u> </u> | SBWR MP | MP |
| San Jose | s | (4,969,275) \$ | <> | 624,929 \$ | ٠, | 169,634 | \$ (4,17 | (4,174,712) | | 45 | 903,2 |
| Santa Clara | s | 1,089,077 | 103 | 46,855 | ₹V} | (38,126) | \$ | 708,760,1 | 07 | 10 | 198,2 |
| WVSD | \$ | 14,091 | €0- | 18,289 | 1/5 | (18,436) \$ | | 13,945 | | 10 | 93,0 |
| Cupertino | \$ | 22,698 | ₹¢. | 10,696 | ₹S. | (12,376) \$ | | 21,019 | | 10 | 65,4 |
| Mipitas | <> | 635,216 | 1/7 | 17,255 | v, | (22,469) | | 630,002 | | 10 | 126,9 |
| CSD 2-3 | ‹∧ | (27,890) | ₩. | 1,299 | ₹ | (1,538) | ₩ | (28,129) | | 10 | 10,9 |
| Burbank | 1/1 | 8,264 | s. | 497 | ₩. | (641) | 10 | 8,120 | | 10 | ຕິ |
| Total Credit / (Debit) | φ. | \$ (8,227,819) | W | 719,820 \$ | s, | 76,050 \$ | \$ (2,431,950) | 950) | | 45. | 1,401,7 |

| | | SBI | SBWR Projects | | | | Gran | Grand Total |
|------------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------|------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | SBWR MP | SBI | SBWR Phase D | | SBAP | | Total Cred | Total Credit / (Debit) |
| ↔ | 903,213 | 4> | 1,957,612 | <∧ | 4,491,687 | | </td <td>3,177,800</td> | 3,177,800 |
| ŧ/s | 198,237 | \$ | 425,669 | €5- | 10,963 | | vs. | 1,732,676 |
| vs. | 93,056 | \$ | 207,642 | v, | 1,450,477 | 5.43 | ψ. | 1,765,120 |
| 45 | 65,408 | <>> | 133,623 | ₩. | 954,186 | | 45 | 1,174,236 |
| s. | 126,970 | ٠, | 237,604 | S. | 1,450,511 | | 45 | 2,445,087 |
| 45 | 10,963 | ** | 18,944 | ₩. | 185,780 | | vs. | 187,558 |
| \$5 | 3,903 | \$ | 7,134 | \$ | 45,165 | | 45 | 64,322 |
| V + | 1,401,750 \$ | w | 2,988,228 \$ | 45 | 8,588,769 | | \$ | 10,546,798 |

TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| Capital Costs (See Schedule 1-a) Capital Projects - Rebudgeted 2016 Total Capital Costs | 6-17 | | _ | 63,143,808 36,738,000 99,881,808 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Agency Share of Capital Costs Capital Costs Caital Costs - Rebudgeted Equipment Replacement | 63,143,808 36,738,000 | X X | 4.356% 4.356% | - | 2,750,544 1,600,307 |
| Total Agency Share of Capi | ital Costs | | × | | 4,350,851 |
| Debt Service (2005A) | 5,226,188 | Х | 6.734% | | 351,931 |
| SRFL Payments | 4,420,509 | X | 5.081% | 9 | 224,606 |
| Cash Payments/Credits to be Applie 2016-17 Cash Payments Receiv 2015-16 Capital Credits (Debits) 2015-16 Capital Re-Budgeted SRFL Repayment Reimburseme Reverse Cap Reappropriation in | ved) ents | | | 3,184,218 262,074 1,034,027 226,810 204,384 | ti . |
| Total Payments/Credits to be | e Applied | | | удама | 4,911,513 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency | w. | | | | (15,875) |
| Revenue: (See Schedule 1-b) Investment Income (Loss) Calpine/MEC Reimbursement USBWR Grant Misc. Income | | | | _ | 20,984 17,591 0 0. |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To B Against 2017-2018 Capital Cost | | | | No. | 22,699 |

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17 (Period 15)

| | Total | San Jose | Santa Clara | West Valley | Cupertin | o Milpitas | District 2- | 3 Burbank |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Beginning Cash Balance - Fd 514 | - | - | - | - | • | - | • | - |
| Beginning Cash Balance - Fd 512 | 82,199,11 | | | | | | | |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance Revenue: | 82,199,11 | 1 56,534,81 | 1 11,299,69 | 7 5,243,41 | 9 3,283,22 | 5 5,122,640 | 538,282 | 177,037 |
| Contributions | | | | | 6) | | | |
| 1st Qtr | 9,766,39 | 4 3,090,000 | 2,888,80 | B 1,287,53 | 9 850,93 | 0 1,496,545 | 105,880 | 46,692 |
| 2st Qtr | 2,317,98 | | 1,002,96 | | | | | |
| 3rd Qtr | 27,656,59 | | | | | | | |
| 4th Qtr | 19,715,86 | | | | | | | 45,699 177,692 |
| Total Contributions | 59,456,83 | 34,129,300 | 11,042,730 | 4,031,03 | 2 3,150,53 | 4 3,7 (3,302 | 304,473 | (17,002 |
| Debt Service Contribution | 42,86 | 2 - | - | (20,00 | 4) (12,71) | 5) 20,986 | 46,854 | 7,742 |
| Misc Revenue | - | - | - | - | - | - | 400 | |
| Reverse Cap Reapprop. In FY15-10 | | | | | | | | 11,214 |
| Interest income | 422,870 | 256,229 | 73,647 | 7 33,00 | 3 20,984 | 34,750 | 3,107 | 1,150 |
| Total Revenue | 64,614,571 | 37,509,800 | 11,774,477 | 5,153,94° | 1 3,409,586 | 6,129,152 | 439,817 | 197,798 |
| Total Kevende | 04,014,07 | 37,505,660 | | 0,100,04 | . 0,440,000 | 0,120,102 | 100,011 | 101,100 |
| Calpine/MEC Reimbursement | 341.578 | 218,632 | 48,818 | 26,698 | 3 17,591 | 25,567 | 3,450 | 820 |
| SRFL Repayments Reimb. | 1,373,760 | | 687,840 | , | | | | 11,562 |
| USBWR Grants | | - | - | - | | • | - | ** |
| Tfr in fm Fd 826 | 188,826 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Dec(Inc) Accrued Interest | (59,731 | (59,731 |) - 14 | 50 | • | - | | |
| Total Cash Revenue | 66,459,001 | 37 857 537 | 12,511,135 | 5,557,746 | 3,653,987 | 6,176,413 | 492,013 | 210,180 |
| Total Casii Revenue | 00,400,001 | 31,031,321 | 12,511,133 | 3,337,740 | 3,000,001 | 0,170,410 | 732,010 | 2.10,100 |
| Expenditures: | 1 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Capital Projects - Schedule 1-a | 63,143,805 | 41,563,147 | 9,337,706 | 4,161,808 | 2,750,544 | 4,837,447 | 342,239 | 150,914 |
| Tfr to Bond 2009A Reserve | 1,292,000 | 1 ' ' | •• | * | * | 10,052 | 22,442 | 3,708 |
| Tfr to Fd 001 | 6,000 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Tfr to Fd 210 Adj for FY 15-16 tfr to Digester | 172,000 8,109,819 | | 1,144,052 | 534,518 | 353,264 | 621,293 | 46,064 | 19,382 |
| Debt Svc Tfr | 1,561,812 | | 1,144,052 | 553,662 | | | 102,171 | 16,868 |
| SRFL Payments | 4,420,509 | | 681,156 | 373,445 | | - | 48,272 | 11,449 |
| Capital Replacement Allowance | - | | • | ** | - | - | | - |
| | | | | | , | | | |
| Total Expenditures | 78,705,945 | 51,939,715 | 11,162,914 | 5,623,433 | 3,680,346 | 5,536,028 | 561,188 | 202,321 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Inc (Dec) Accounts Receivable | 22,442 | 14,772 | 3,319 | 1,479 | 978 | 1,719 | 122 | 54 |
| Dec (inc) in Beg. Encumbrances | 6,529,442 | 4,347,694 | 915,820 | 2 X 75 TABLE 104 ATTENTION | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 41 CE 514 # 1 /4 | and the same and are | 15,605 |
| Dec (Inc) in Encumbrances | (26,866,585) | (17,684,392) | (3,973,031) | (1,770,777) | (1,170,308) | | (145,617) | (64,211) |
| Dec (inc) in Accts. Payable | (6,724,309) | | (994,391) | | | | (36,446) | (16,071) |
| Dec (inc) in Accrued Int. Payable | 43,373 | 30,025 | 6,683 | 3,664 | 2,204 | 211 | 474 | 112 |
| Dec (Inc) in Accrued Vac. Dec (Inc) in OPEB Oblig | (47) 28,816 | (47) 28,816 | | | • | - | - | - |
| GASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. | (100,318) | | | | | | | |
| onos oo soonou umono nagi | (100,010) | (100,010) | | | | | | |
| Total Cash Expenditures | 51,638,759 | 34,150,123 | 7,121,314 | 3,844,956 | 2,504,730 | 3,464,781 | 415,045 | 137,810 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Cash | 14,820,242 | 3,707,403 | 5,389,821 | 1,712,790 | 1,149,257 | 2,711,632 | 76,969 | 72,370 |
| Rounding Adjustment | (5) | (5) | | | | | | |
| Ending Cash Balance | 97,019,348 | 60,242,209 | 16,689,518 | 6,956,209 | 4,432,482 | 7,834,272 | 615,251 | 249,407 |
| Distribution of Ending Cash: | | | 350 | | | | | |
| Fund 514 | - | -, | | - | AN | ** | et | - |
| Fund 512 | 97,019,348 | | 16,689,518 | 6,956,209 | 4,432,482 | 7,834,272 | 615,251 | 249,407 |
| Ending Cash Distributed | 97,019,348 | 60,242,209 | 16,689,518 | 6,956,209 | 4,432,482 | 7,834,272 | 615,251 | 249,407 |
| Non Cash Accounts: | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts Receivable | 22,612 | 43,835 | (16,440) | (4,361) | 435 | (1,019) | 148 | 15 |
| Accrued Interest Receivable | 359,859 | 359,859 | | * | - | - | - | - |
| Accrued SRFL Int. Payable | (68,039) | (47,100) | (10,484) | (5,748) | (3,457) | (331) | (743) | (176) |
| Accounts Payable | (13,685,259) | | (2,018,154) | (916,473) | (599,513) | (1,044,872) | (94,759) | (32,710) |
| Accrued Vac, Sick, Comp Reserve for Encumbrances | (1,859) (50,136,481) | (1,859) (32,405,387) | (8,122,548) | (3 594 138) | (2,206,940) | (3 338 338) | (348,666) (| 120,466) |
| Capital Projects - Rebudgeted | (36,738,000) | | (5,432,815) | (2,421,402) | | * | | (87,804) |
| | (,- 30,000) | () m o o . | (-110-0) | / | .,,, | | \ | (31,004) |
| Ending Credits/(Debits) | (3,227,819) | (4,969,275) | 1,089,077 | 14,090 | 22,699 | 635,215 | (27,890) | 8,265 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| Net Oth Pen Ben Obig (3700) | (28,816 |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Owner Contrild Insurance Prog (401B) | 2,831,324 |
| Plant Master Plan (4120) | 0 |
| Plant Electrical Reliability (4341) | 31,196 |
| Plant Infrastructure Impyt (5690) | 346,671 |
| Public Art (6957) | 20,051 |
| City-Wide & PW CAP Supprt Cost (6000) | 507,043 |
| Lagoon & Drying Bed Retirment (6285) | 52,609 |
| Construction-Enabling Impyts (6313) | 2,700,013 |
| SBWR Resevoir Facility (6508) | (83,213 |
| Payment for CWFA (6584) | 5,000 |
| Headworks Enhancement (7073) | 0 |
| Nitrification Clarifler Rehab (7074) | 1,376,348 |
| Adv Facility Ctrl & Meter Rep (7224) | 827,822 |
| DAF Dissolutn Rehab & Odor (7225) | 0 |
| E Primary Rehab-Seismic & Odor (7225) | 5,785 |
| Filter Rehabilitation (7227) | 1,126,827 |
| Plant Backup Water Supply (7362) | 0 |
| T.P. Distributed Control System (7394) | 436,344 |
| Urgent & Unscheduled T.P. Rehab (7395) | 442 |
| Yard Piping & Road Improvement (7396) | 314,187 |
| T.P. Fire Main Replacement (7397) | 0 |
| Headworks Improvements (7448) | 860,422 |
| New Headworks (7449) | 1,737,485 |
| New Filter Complex (7451) | 0 |
| Digested Studge Dewatering Fa (7452) | 1,049,985 |
| Com Heat & Pwr Equip Repr & Rhab (7453) | 2,773,683 |
| Energy Generation Improvements (7454) | 8,096,065 |
| Preliminary Engineering (7456) | 515,513 |
| Program Management (7481) | 6,878,848 |
| Areation Tanks & Blower Rehab (7677) | 2,177,598 |
| Outfall Bridge & Levee Impvts (7678) | 65,363 |
| Facility Wide Water Sys Impyt (7679) | 474,601 |
| Plant insturment Air Sys Upg (7680) | 796,380 |
| Support Building Impyts (7681) | 336,312 |
| Tunnel Rehabilitation (7698) | 45,434 |
| | |

Total Expenditures

36,277,222

| Equipment Replacement (4332) | 1,806 |
|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Plant Electrical Reliability (4341) | 53,122 |
| Plant Infrastructure improvements (5690) | 1,956,485 |
| Public Art (5957) | 108,000 |
| Lagoon & Drying Bed Retirment (6285) | 8,032 |
| Construction-Enabling Impyts (6313) | 1,082,138 |
| Advance Water Treatment Facility (6508) | 0 |
| Nitrification Clarifler Rehab (7074) | 26,620 |
| Adv Facility Ctrl & Meter Rep (7224) | 1,188,292 |
| E Primary Rehab-Seismic & Odor (7226) | 39,882 |
| Filter Rehabilitation (7227) | 46,150 |
| T.P. Engine Rebuild (7393) | 0 |
| T.P. Distributed Control System (7394) | 101,095 |
| Yard Piping & Road Improvement (7396) | 134,930 |
| Headworks Improvements (7448) | 2,136,807 |
| New Headworks (7449) | 936,191 |
| Digested Sludge Dewatering Fa (7452) | 1,877,154 |
| Com Heat & Pwr Equip Repr & Rhab (7453) | 329,583 |
| Energy Generation Improvements (7454) | 28,574,759 |
| Preliminary Engineering (7456) | 104,862 |
| Program Management (7481) | 1,823,432 |
| Areation Tanks & Blower Rehab (7677) | 5,742,808 |
| Outfall Bridge & Levee Impvts (7678) | 82,622 |
| Facility Wide Water Sys Impvt (7679) | 621,184 |
| Plant Insturment Air Sys Upg (7680) | 2,933,180 |
| Support Building Impvts (7681) | 218,445 |
| Tunnel Rehabilitation (7698) | 8,902 |
| Total Engindrances | 50.136.481 |

Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 Net Change in Encumbrances

(23,269,896) 26,866,585

Total Capital Costs for Fiscal Year 2016-17

63,143,808

Capital Fund Calculation of Agency Interest Earnings For Fiscal Year 2016-17 (Period 15)

| C. A. M. Percentrage 100,0001% 62,000% 14,000% 14,000% 17,710 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% 16,000% | D. A. M. Parcentings | Description | Total | San Jose | Santa Clara | West Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | Dist 2-3 | Burban |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Comparison of the Comparison | Capital Parcentage (**) | Description | 10.41 | anima di minima di m | | | | | | |
| - Telling Presentage (PT-18-16) - 100,000% 68,889% 1-40,028% 8,189% 3,289% 7,689% 0,589% 0,589% (Pt-18-16) - 100,000% 68,289% 1-40,028% 8,189% 3,289% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 1,689% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% 0,589% | Capital Presentage Fr 18-16 100,009% 68,889% 16,0229% 1,5391% 1,3396% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% 1,049% | O & M Percentage | | | | | | | | |
| Capital principal Capital Principal Capital | SEMPLE Proceedings 100,000% 84,007% 14,225% 7,319% 5,159% 7,459% 1,019% 100,000% 81,000% 81,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15, | | | | | | | | | |
| 100,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15,000% 15, | 100,0097 | | | | | | | | | 0,240 |
| Ser L. Ferchistering Beginning Cash Balance Beginning Cash Balance Contributions Enginemed Replacement (100,000)/6, 81,6895/1, 18,9821/5. Beginning Cash Balance Spr. 4,984 11 18,98,987 6,243,419 3,218,3225 6,122,440 538,882 177,000 Contributions (100,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,000) (7,00 | 100,000% 51,889% 18,941% 3,233,222 5,122,860 533,222 | | | | | | | | | 0.259 |
| Segment Segm | 1,28,200 2,88,800 3,27,531 350,335 1,405,461 103,800 103,900 2,88,800 3,27,531 350,335 1,405,461 103,800 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,900 103,9 | | | | | | 0,00170 | 0.40076 | | |
| Contribution Co | Confeibutions Equipment Policy Expolitions Equipment policy Expolitions (100,325) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170,265) (170 | Beginning Cash Balance | 82,199,111 | 56,534,811 | 11,299,697 | 5,243,419 | 3,283,225 | 5,122,640 | 538,282 | 177,03 |
| Equipment Replacement Excellegue, 1902, 1907, 1903, 159,243 (9,221) (9,221) (9,22) (17,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170,200) (170 | Equipment Replacement 190,3699 (78,224) (17,789) (15,434) (9,221) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) (82) | | | 3 090 000 | 2.688.808 | 1.287.539 | 850.930 | 1,498,546 | 105,880 | 46,69 |
| Excess Equip. Repl. ExplAitors. Reverset Cap Reappropriation in FY15-18 Reverset Cap Reappropriation in FY15-19 Reverset Cap Reappropriation in FY15-18 Reverset Cap Reappropriation in FY15-19 Reverset Cap Representation in FY15-19 Reverset Cap Reappropriation in FY15-19 Rever | Excess Equip. Repl. Expl. Rep. Expl. Rep | | | | | | | (9,221) | | (28 |
| SISSIVING Classifies | SISSWYC Clarists Contributions Friend Contributions Friend Contributions Contrib | | } | - | 0 | 0 | | - | | |
| Reverse Cap Respurporpiation in PT15-16 (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,000) (177,0 | Reverse Cap Responsylation in FY15-16 (172,000) 3703,221 20,93,94 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 20,934 | | _ | | | _ | 0 | _ | _ | |
| All Other Expenditures | All Other Expanditures | Reverse Cap Reappropriation in FY15-16 Transfer to Fd 210 | | | | 309,250 | 204,384 | 359,454 | 25,384 | 11,21 |
| Second Countributions | Balance - First Quarter | | 1 | (4 002 154) | (4 002 571) | (486 958) | (324 834) | (566 012) | (40.044) | (17.65 |
| Selection | Sherotal Elemed 104,024 | | | | | | | | | 216,99 |
| Contributions | Contributions | | | | | | | | | 25 |
| Francher D. SEWN Mile. PY 15-16 SEWN M | Transfer to SBUNR Misc. FY 15-16 SRPIL Rypayment Replicaement (120,369) (76,224) (17,799) (7,933) (8,243) (8,221) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (652) (6 | Balance - First Quarter | 89,080,941 | 57,702,030 | 13,752,294 | 6,352,735 | 4,016,155 | 6,410,892 | 629,585 | 217,25 |
| Transfer to SBVR Misc. FY 16-16 SREV Repyment Reprizement Reprizem | Transfer to SBWR Misc. F7 15-16 68,880 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Contributions | 2.317.988 | | 1,002,968 | 447,021 | 295,438 | 519,592 | | 16,20 |
| SRPL Repayment Relmb. Ecoses Equip. Repl. Exp/Blance. Equip. Repl. Exp/B | SREL Repsyment ReImb. Excess Equip. Repl. Expl. Allow. Expl. Expl. Expl. Expl. Allow. Expl. Exp | | | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Real-panel Replacement (120,369) (79,224) (17,789) (7,933) (5,244) (62,241) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (625) (6 | | | 686,880 | | | | | | | 5,78 |
| Excesse Equip. Repl. Exp/Activo. [2,716,285] [1,530,049] (340,579] (185,722] (112,303] (10,742) [24,138] (6,77 [6,374,973] [6,316,057] (6,366,057] (6,55,682) (351,931) (42,541) [95,001] (15,88 [7,786,780] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141] [7,380,141 | Excess Equip, Repl. Exp/Allow). RSR-R Payment (6,378,878) (1,530,049) (340,878) (185,722) (112,203) (10,742) (24,138) (104,378) (104,378) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) (105,378,078) | Equipment Replacement | | | | | (5,243) | | | (28 |
| Contributions Contribution Con | Date Save Trie to Fet 637 (6,374,875 (5,316,057) 0 (693,682) (351,931) (42,541) (65,001) | Excess Equip. Repl. Exp/Allow. | 1 | | - | _ | (442 000 | _ | | |
| Common C | Commons Comm | | | | | | | | | |
| Fransfer for F | Fransfer to Fd 091 | | | (5,316,057) | 0 | (553,552) | (301,831) | (44,041) | (20,001) | (, 0, 00. |
| Tifn in from Fd 637 0 | Tiff in from FG 631 Japine/MEC Reimbursement Jebs Svc Contribution Joseph Svc | | | (0.000) | | | | | | |
| 117 in 170 in 12 S-1 12,410 12,410 12,410 12,410 13,648 32,1315 12,420 12,410 13,648 32,1315 12,1310 12,410 14,648 36,001 16,68 32,1315 12,410 12,410 14,648 36,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 45,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 45,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 45,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 16,68 32,1315 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 35,001 34,1331 34,244 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 34,1341 3 | 117 in 170 in 12 Ast 12,315 12,780 8,401 12,210 1,048 2,040 2,041 2,041 1,048 2,040 2,041 2,041 1,048 2,040 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2,041 2, | | | (8,000) | n | 0 | n | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,088,418 1,08 | 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,058,415 1,05 | | [| 10 <i>4</i> 448 | | - | - | - | _ | 39 |
| Mode Company Mode Company Mode Company Mode Company Mode Company Mode Mode Mode Company Mode | Michies Contributions Co | | | 107,419 | | | | | | 15,68 |
| Sub Total interest Earned | Sub Total | | | (4,863.154) | _ | | (321,831) | | | (17,65 |
| ### 15.984 | 15,984 | | | | | | | 6,367,567 | 627,533 | 215,96 |
| 17,856,500 | Contributions Frin From Fd 228 Remaining 2005A Fd RT. Repsyment Reimb. eht Sve Contributions Frin From Fd 228 Remaining 2005A Fd Rt. Repsyment Remisses Equip. Repl. Exp/Allow. 27,686,800 27,886,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,500 17,836,50 | | | | | 7,388 | 4,669 | 7,444 | 734 | 25 |
| 10 | 178,455 14,217 25,503 13,947 9,190 13,357 1,802 | Balance - Second Quarter | 77,298,305 | 46,065,758 | 13,687,532 | 6,326,835 | 3,998,691 | 6,375,011 | 628,267_ | 216,214 |
| (120,386) (79,224) (17,799) (7,933) (5,243) (9,221) (652) (28 (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28,287) (28, | (120,386) (79,224) (17,799) (7,933) (5,243) (9,221) (652) | | | | | | | | | 69,092 |
| 178,445 | Comparison Com | | | | | | | | | (288 |
| TabplackMEC Reimbursement 178,445 114,417 25,503 13,947 9,190 13,357 1,802 42 | Tabpha/MEC Reimbursement 178,445 114,217 25,003 13,947 9,190 13,357 1,802 | xcess Equip. Repl. Exp/Allow. | | | | o o | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Canada C | 122,442 | Calpine/MEC Reimbursement Debt Svc Contibution | 0 | | | g. T | | | | 428 |
| Sub Total 14,109 59,150,396 16,922,675 7,681,274 4,897,460 8,044,375 707,449 267,73 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 14,109 | Sub Total niterest Earned 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 66,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 114,109 67,789,129 114,109 67,109 19,785 10,847 113,405 10,847 10,847 10,847 113,405 10,847 10,847 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 10,848 | | | | | | | | | (54 |
| Sub Total Signature Sign | 114,109 60,073 19,785 8,980 6,726 9,405 827 | | | | | | | | | 267,734 |
| Contributions Co | Contributions Contribution Contributions Contribution Contribut | | | | | | | | | 313 |
| 188,826 | 188,826 686,880 0 343,920 188,564 113,405 10,847 24,373 14,306 24,813,063 4,824,630 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Jalance - Third Quarter | 97,716,419 | 59,150,396 | 16,942,460 | 7,690,254 | 4,903,206 | 8,053,780 | 708,276 | 268,047 |
| 188,826 688,890 0 343,920 188,654 113,405 10,847 24,373 5,78 | 188,826 68,880 0 343,920 188,564 113,405 10,847 24,373 343,020 343,920 188,564 113,405 10,847 24,373 343,020 343,920 188,564 113,405 10,847 24,373 343,020 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 343,920 | Contributions | 6,535,011 | | 2,827,626 | 1,260,270 | 832,915 | 1,464,865 | 103,636 | 45,699 |
| Het Svc Tr to Fd 5x7 quipment Replacement (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120,368) (120 | AB13,063 | fr in from Fd 826 -Remaining 2005A Fd | . 188,826 | | 0.60.000.1 | 400 554 | 442 405 | 40 947 | 24 373 | 5 7R1 |
| left Styc (1r to 1-537 (quipment Replacement (120,358) (79,222) (17,789) (7,933) (5,243) (9,221) (652) (288 (289 (298 (298 (298 (298 (298 (298 | (120,358) (79,222) (17,799) (7,933) (5,243) (9,221) (652) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) (1525) | | | | A | 100,004 | 113/402 | | | (1.185 |
| Name | Name | | | | | (7.933) | (5,243) | | | (288 |
| RFL Payment (2,210,255) (1,530,049) (340,578) (185,722) (112,303) (10,742) (24,135) (5,722) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2,135) (1) (1,25) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | RFL Payment (2,210,265) (1,530,049) (340,578) (185,722) (112,303) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,138) (10,742) (24,147) (24,138) (10,742) (24,147) (24,138) (10,742) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) (24,147) | xcess Equip. Repl. Exp/Allow. | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 1 | Size Revenue | | (2,210,255) | (1,530.049) | (340.578) | (186,722) | (112,303) | (10,742) | (24,136) | (5,725 |
| Alpine/MEC Reimbursement 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| (1,015,556) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) (1,025,798) | Ent Syc Contribution | alpine/MEC Reimbursement | | 0 | | | | | | 0 |
| 10 Other Expenditures (7,388,227) (4,863,153) (1,092,571) (486,958) (321,831) (566,012) (40,044) (47,658) | 10 Other Expenditures 17,388,227 14,863,153 (1,092,571) (486,958) (321,831) (566,012) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (10,000) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,044) (40,04 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Total sterest Earned 97,913,403 56,435,530 16,663,058 7,883,798 5,045,502 8,908,699 693,693 283,023 114,472 65,980 21,819 9,217 5,899 10,415 811 331 alance - Fourth Quarter 98,027,875 56,501,610 18,684,877 7,893,015 5,051,401 8,919,114 694,504 283,354 otal Interest Calculated 422,870 256,229 73,647 33,003 20,984 34,750 3,107 1,150 atal Interest Earned 422,870 256,229 73,647 33,003 20,984 34,750 3,107 1,150 atal Interest Earned 422,870 256,229 73,647 33,003 20,984 34,750 3,107 1,150 atal Interest Earned 422,870 256,229 73,647 33,003 20,984 34,750 3,107 1,150 atal Interest Earned 481,438 316,894 71,196 31,732 20,972 36,884 2,608 1,152 atal Interest Dec(Inc) (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) (112) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) (112) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,99,819) (5,391,246) (1,144,052) (534,518) (353,264) (621,293) (46,064) (19,382) (6,529,442) (4,347,694) (915,882,0) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,378,89,00) (4,347,694) (915,882,0) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (915,882,00) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) atal Interest Dec(Inc) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) (4,347,694) | Sub Total terest Earned | | | | | | | | | |
| 114,472 65,980 21,819 9,217 5,899 10,415 811 331 | 114,472 65,980 21,819 9,217 5,899 10,415 811 | | | | | | | | | |
| Section Sect | alance - Fourth Quarter 98,027,875 | | | | | | | | | 331 |
| terest Adjustment 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | terest Adjustment 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 98,027,875 | 56,501,610 | 18,684,877 | 7,893,015 | 5,051,401 | 8,919,114 | 694,504 | 283,354 |
| Again Agai | otal Interest Earned 422,870 256,229 73,647 33,003 20,984 34,750 3,107 quip. Replace Dec (Inc) 481,438 316,894 71,196 31,732 20,972 36,884 2,608 (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (474) (47 | otal Interest Calculated | 422,870 | 256,229 | 73,647 | 33,003 | 20,984 | 34,750 | 3,107 | 1,150 |
| Quip. Replace Dec (Inc) | quip. Replace Dec (Inc) | terest Adjustment | 0 | O O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Control SRFL Interest Dec(Inc) (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) (112) | Agricology Agr | otal Interest Earned | . 422,870 | 256,229 | 73,647 | 33,003 | 20,984 | 34,750 | 3,107 | 1,150 |
| Control SRFL Interest Dec(Inc) (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) (112) | Corused SRFL Interest Dec(Inc) (43,373) (30,025) (6,683) (3,664) (2,204) (211) (474) | | ini in | 240 004 | 74 400 | 24 720 | 20 072 | 36 884 | 2 608 | 1 152 |
| orkers' Comp (Dec)Inc ASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. curued Interest Dec(Inc) (59,731) (59,731) (28,816) (28,816) r to Digester Project (SJ Contribution) r to Iron Saft Project (SJ Contribution) (179,745) (279,745) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (279,745) (279,745) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (279,745) (279,745) (279,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (379,745) (| ASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100,318 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| ASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. Crued Interest Dec(Inc) EB Obligation (Dec)Inc r to Digester Project (SJ Contribution) If for FY 15-16 ffr to Digester (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,8 | ASB 68 Deferred Inflows Adj. Crued Interest Dec(Inc) EB Obligation (Dec)Inc r to Digester Project (SJ Contribution) r to Iron Salt Project (SJ Contribution) If for FY 15-16 ffr to Digester (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (8,109,819) (91,820) (11,44,052) (234,421) (350,221) (350,324) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (15) (16) (16) (17) (17) (18) (18) (18) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) | | | | (0,000) | (-130-1) | 1-11 | | | |
| (59,731) (59,731) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28,16) (28, | (59,731) (59,731) (59,731) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) | | | | | | | | | |
| (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28,1816) (28, | PEB Obligation (Dec)Inc (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,816) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,916) (28,91 | | | | | | | | | |
| (4,397,899) | To Digester Project (SJ Contribution) (4,397,899) (4,397,899) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| r to Iron Salt Project (SJ Contribution) dj for FY 15-16 ffr to Digester (8,109,819) (6,529,442) (6,529,442) (6,529,442) (7) (7) (7) (3) (2) (1) (4347,684) (915,820) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) (17,858,500) (17,858,500) (17,858,500) (17,858,500) (17,858,500) | r to Iron Salf Project (SJ Contribution) (279,745) (279,745) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | r to Digester Project (SJ Contribution) | | (4,397,899) | | | | | | |
| (8,109,819) (5,391,246) (1,144,052) (534,518) (353,264) (621,293) (46,064) (19,382) (10 for Saft Project (6,529,442) (4,347,684) (915,820) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,605) (17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17 | (8,109,819) (5,391,246) (1,144,052) (534,518) (353,264) (621,293) (46,064) (18 | r to Iron Salt Project (SJ Contribution) | (279,745) | (279,745) | | | | | | |
| r to Iron Salt Project (6,529,442) (4,347,694) (915,820) (430,356) (284,422) (600,221) (35,324) (15,695) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (15,695) (7) (3) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1 | r to Iron Salt Project (6,529,442) (4,347,694) (915,820) (430,356) (284,422) (500,221) (35,324) (13 yanding Adjustment (7) (3) (2) (1) (1) (1) SBWR Grants 0 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 | lj for FY 15-16 tfr to Digester | | | | | | | | |
| SBWR Grants 0 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858, | SBWR Grants 0 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,500 17,858,5 | r to Iron Salt Project | | | | (430,356) | | | [35,324] | (10,605) |
| not used in int calculation 17,858,500 17,858,500 4300 4400 470 470 7,934,073 645,354 340,407 | not used in int calculation 17,858,500 17,858,500 7,858,500 4400 400 7,854,072 645,354 346 | | | (3) | (2) | | (1) | (1) | | 1 |
| 240 AD TOTAL AND THE STATE OF T | 245 254 245 | | | 47 000 000 | | | | | | |
| | ding Cash Balance at 0.12/240 and 24/4/202 10/002/210 algobing alg | | | | E 680 E40 | 6 95g 200 | 1.432.482 | 7.834.272 | 615,251 | 249,407 |

TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Digester Project COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| Digester Project Costs (See Sched Capital Digester Projects - Rebudg Total Capital Costs | ule 1-a) eted 2016-17 | | | 19,048,491 912,000 19,960,491 | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Agency Share of Capital Costs Capital Costs Caital Costs - Rebudgeted Equipment Replacement | 19,048,491 912,000 | X X | 3.226% 3.226% | | 614,504 29,421 |
| Total Agency Share of Cap | oital Costs | | | | 643,925 |
| Cash Payments/Credits to be Appli 2016-17 Cash Payments Received 2015-16 Capital Credits (Debits 2015-16 Capital Re-Budgeted Total Payments/Credits to | ived s) | | | 386,707 239,885 11,162 | 637,754_ |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency | | 9 | 12 | | (0,111) |
| Revenue: (See Schedule 1-b) Investment Income (Loss) Calpine/MEC Reimbursement USBWR Grant Misc. Income | | | | - | 16,867 0 0 0 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To Against 2017-2018 Capital Cos | | | | = | 10,696 |

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Digester Project ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17 (Period 15)

| | | | Santa | West | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Total | San Jose | Clara | Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | District 2-3 | |
| Beginning Cash Balance - Fd 512 | 115,122,802 | 83,345,451 | 15,575,857 | 5,978,833 | 3,616,748 | 5,972,868 | 444,092 | 188,953 |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance | 115,122,802 | 83,345,451 | 15,575,857 | 5,978,833 | 3,616,748 | 5,972,868 | 444,092 | 188,953 |
| Revenue: Contributions 1st Qtr | 4,663,719 | 3,256,680 | 731,622 | 255,105 718,100 | | 243,627 685.600 | 18,439 52,000 | |
| 1st Qtr Contigency (Other Trib. Only) 2st Qtr | 3,961,100 378,094 | 264,023 | | 20,682 | 12,197 | 19,752 | 1,497 | 628 |
| 3rd Qtr | (165,202) | 613,173 | 137,755 | (204,999) | The second second | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | | |
| 4th Qtr | 378,094 | 264,023 | | | | | 1,497 | 628 |
| Total Contributions | 9,215,805 | 4,397,899 | 3,048,307 | 809,570 | 386,707 | 510,033 | 46,290 | 16,999 |
| Debt Service Contribution Misc Revenue | * | - | * | - | | ** | | ** |
| Interest Income | 523,846 | 369,667 | 78,465 ⁻ | 28,600 | 16,867 | 27,313 | 2,066 | 868 |
| Total Revenue | 9,739,651 | 4,767,566 | 3,126,772 | 838,170 | 403,574 | 537,346 | 48,356 | 17,867 |
| SRFL Repayments Reimb. Dec(Inc) Accrued Interest | (297,475) | (297,475) | | | *** | • | | |
| Total Cash Revenue | 9,442,176 | 4,470,091 | 3,126,772 | 838,170 | 403,574 | 537,346 | 48,356 | 17,867 |
| Expenditures: Capital Projects - Schedule 1-a Contigency -San Jose only | 19,048,491 (9,551,363) | 13,301,563 (9,551,363) | 2,988,327 | 1,041,952 | 614,504 | 995,093 | 75,432 | 31,620 |
| Debt Svc Tfr | _ | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| SRFL Payments | | * | | ine | | ** | * | |
| Total Expenditures | 9,497,128 | 3,750,200 | 2,988,327 | 1,041,952 | 614,504 | 995,093 | 75,432 | 31,620 |
| Adj for FY 15-16 (inc) in Encumb Dec (inc) in Encumbrances Dec (inc) in Encumbrances (SJ Only) | (8,109,819) 9,902,203 9,551,363 | (5,391,246) 6,914,707 9,551,363 | (1,144,052) 1,553,458 | (534,518) 541,651 | (353,264) 319,445 | (621,293) 517,291 | (46,064) 39,213 | (19,382) 16,438 |
| Dec (Inc) in Accrued Int. Payable | | = | | * | = | = | in | - |
| Total Cash Expenditures | 20,840,875 | 14,825,024 | 3,397,733 | 1,049,085 | 580,685 | 891,091 | 68,581 | 28,676 |
| ncrease/(Decrease) in Cash Rounding Adjustment | (11,398,699) | (10,354,933) | (270,961) | (210,915) | (177,111) | (353,745) | (20,225) | (10,809) |
| Inding Cash Balance | 103,724,103 | 72,990,518 | 15,304,896 | 5,767,918 | 3,439,637 | 5,619,123 | 423,867 | 178,144 |
| Non Cash Accounts: | | | | | | | | |
| Accrued Interest Receivable | 445,789 | 445,789 | ж | - | - | | | ********* |
| Reserve for Encumbrances | (102,538,072) | (72,174,529) | | (5,699,743) | (3,399,520) | (5,554,225) | | (176,133) |
| Digester Projects - Rebudgeted | (912,000) | (636,849) | (143,075) | (49,886) | (29,421) | (47,643) | (3,612) | (1,514) |
| Inding Credits/(Debits) | 719,820 | 624,929 | 46,855 | 18,289 | 10,696 | 17,255 | 1,299 | 497 |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Digester Project FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| | SJ Only | All |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Digester and Thickener Facility Upgrade (4127) | | 28,950,694 |
| Encumbrances | | 102,538,072 |
| Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 (121,991,63 | 38) (9,551,363) | (112,440,275) |
| Net Change in Encumbrances | (9,551,363) | (9,902,203) |
| Total Digester Project Costs for Fiscal Year 2016-17 | (9,551,363) | 19,048,491 |

Updated: 11/28/17

TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Iron Salt COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| Iron Salt Project Costs (See Schedule Capital Iron Salt Projects - Rebudgeted Total Capital Costs | | | _ | 409,163 296,000 705,163 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Agency Share of Capital Costs Capital Costs Caital Costs - Rebudgeted Equipment Replacement | 409,163 296,000 | X X | 4.701% 4.701% | | 19,235 13,915 |
| Total Agency Share of Capital | Costs | | | | 33,150 |
| * . | | | · | | s & |
| Cash Payments/Credits to be Applied 2016-17 Cash Payments Received 2015-16 Capital Credits (Debits) 2015-16 Capital Re-Budgeted | | | | 20,401 0 0 | ŷ. |
| Total Payments/Credits to be A | pplied | | | | 20,401 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency | | | | | (12,749) |
| Revenue: (See Schedule 1-b) Investment Income (Loss) Calpine/MEC Reimbursement ## Misc. Income | | | | _ | 373 0 0 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To Be A Against 2017-2018 Capital Costs | pplied | | | į. . | (12,376) |

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Iron Salt ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17 (Period 15)

| | | 1 | Santa | West | *** | - | (| |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| | Total | San Jose | Clara | Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | District 2-3 | Burban |
| Beginning Cash Balance - Fd 512 | - | - | * | | - | - | ma . | - |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance | | | - | w | * | | | |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Contributions | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Qtr | 107,455 | 69,275 | 15,549 | 7,527 | 5,051 | 9,161 | 644 | 24 |
| 2st Qtr | 107,455 | 69,259 | 15,559 | 7,526 | 5,051 | 9,169 | 633 | 25 |
| 3rd Qtr | 107,455 | 69,259 | 15,559 | 7,526 | 5,051 | 9,169 | 633 | 258 |
| 4th Qtr | 111,636 | 71,952 | 16,165 | 7,819 | 5,248 | 9,526 | 658 | 268 |
| Total Contributions | 434,001 | 279,745 | 62,832 | 30,398 | 20,401 | 37,025 | 2,568 | 1,032 |
| Debt Service Contribution | | м. | - | - | - | | - | * |
| Misc Revenue | - 1 | - | - | * | _ | - | - | - |
| Interest Income | 7,943 | 5,120 | 1,150 | 556 | 373 | 678 | . 47 | 19 |
| marage mount | , | -, | 5 | | | | | |
| Total Revenue | 441,944 | 284,865 | 63,982 | 30,954 | 20,774 | 37,703 | 2,615 | 1,051 |
| SRFL Repayments Reimb. | | | | - | - | - | - | |
| Dec(Inc) Accrued Interest | (6,759) | (6,759) | * | | | | | |
| Total Cash Revenue | 435,185 | 278,106 | 63,982 | 30,954 | 20,774 | 37,703 | 2,615 | 1,051 |
| Expenditures: | | | 6 | | | | | |
| Expenditures: Capital Projects - Schedule 1-a | 409,163 | 263,717 | 59,247 | 28,658 | 19,235 | 34,914 | 2,410 | 982 |
| Total Expenditures | 409,163 | 263,717 | 59,247 | 28,658 | 19,235 | 34,914 | 2,410 | 982 |
| Des due la Flor Francisco | (6 520 442) | (4,347,694) | (915,820) | (430,356) | (284,422) | (500,221) | (35,324) | (15,605) |
| Dec (Inc) in Beg. Encumbrances | (6,529,442) | | 469,085 | 226,897 | 152,291 | 276,430 | 19,081 | 7,775 |
| Dec (Inc) in Encumbrances Dec (Inc) in Accrued Int. Payable | 3,239,536 | 2,087,978 | - | 220,037 | 102,231 | 210,300 | 10,001 | |
| Total Cash Expenditures | (2,880,744) | (1,996,000) | (387,488) | (174,801) | (112,897) | (188,877) | (13,833) | (6,848) |
| ncrease/(Decrease) in Cash | 3,315,929 | 2,274,106 | 451,470 | 205,755 | 133,671 | 226,580 | 16,448 | 7,899 |
| Rounding Adjustment | (1) | (1) | | | | | • | • |
| Inding Cash Balance | 3,315,928 | 2,274,105 | 451,470 | 205,755 | 133,671 | 226,580 | 16,448 | 7,899 |
| lon Cash Accounts: | | | | | | | | |
| Accrued Interest Receivable | 6,759 | 6,759 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Accrued SRFL Int. Payable | - 1 | - | - 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Reserve for Encumbrances | (3,289,905) | (2,259,716) | (446,735) | (203,458) | (132,132) | (223,791) | (16,243) | (7,830) |
| Iron Salt Projects - Rebudgeted | (296,000) | (190,781) | (42,861) | (20,732) | (13,915) | (25,258) | (1,743) | (710) |
| | 1 1 | | | | | | | |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL FUND -Iron Salt Feed Station FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| * | AII |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Iron Salt Feed Station (7230) | 3,648,700 |
| Encumbrances Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 | 3,289,905 (6,529,442) |
| Net Change in Encumbrances | (3,239,537) |
| Total Digester Project Costs for Fiscal Year 2016-17 | 409,163 |

Updated: 11/28/17

SBWR MASTER PLAN CAPITAL FUND COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| SBWR Master Plan Expenditures: SBWR Master Plan Project Expenditures (See Schedule 1-a) Projects - Rebudgeted 2016-17 | (20,000) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------|
| Total SBAP Expenditures | (20,000) | |
| Agency Share of SBWR Master Plan Expenditures | X 4.701% | (940) |
| Revenue and Credits(Debits): (See Schedule 1-b) | | |
| 2016-17 Cash Payments Received | MAG | |
| Trnasfer from Plant Capital (FY 11-12) | ee . | |
| 2015-16 Ending Credits (Debits) | 64,235 | |
| 2015-16 Capital Re-budget | | |
| AWT SCVWD Contributions | = | |
| USBWR Grant | * | |
| Investment Income (Loss) | 233 | |
| | | 64,468 |
| * 8 | *************************************** | |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To be applied | ser | * |
| Against 2017-2018 SBWR Master Plan Project Costs | | 65,408 |

Scheduel 1-b

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING MASTER PLAN ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17(Period 15)

| | | | | | (*) | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| and the second s | | | Santa | West | 0 | 8875-24 | District 0 0 | Duckani |
| | Total | San Jose | Clará | Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | District 2-3 | |
| Beginning Cash Balance Fund 512 | 1,398,934 | 907,348 | 190,286 | 94,346 | 65,280 | 126,704 | 11,077 | 3,89 3 |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance | 1,398,934 | 907,348 | 190,286 | 94,346 | 65,280 | 126,704 | 11,077 | 3,893 |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | ** | | - | to: | • |
| Contributions -Tfr fm Plant Capital | - | | * | - | - | - | ** | - |
| AWT SCVWD Contributions | - | - | • | 500 | • | - | * | - |
| USBWR Grant | - 1 | | - | - | - | | * | |
| Investment Income (Loss) | 5,002 | 3,245 | 680 | 337 | 233 | 453 | 40 | 14 |
| Total Revenue | 5,002 | 3,245 | 680 | 337 | 233 | 453 | 40 | 14 |
| Cash Tfr fm Fd 512 | _ | | | | | | | |
| (Inc) Dec in Accrued Interest | (672) | (1,926) | 492 | 257 | 167 | 306 | 23 | 9 |
| (Inc) Dec in Grant Receivable | (710,263) | (457,786) | (102,846) | (49,747) | (33,389) | (60,607) | (4,183) | (1,705) |
| Total Cash Revenue | (705,933) | (456,467) | (101,674) | (49,153) | (32,989) | (59,848) | (4,120) | (1,682) |
| | | | | | | | 4/ | |
| Expenditures: | | | | | 9 | | | |
| Expenditures (Attachment 1-a) | (20,000) | (12,890) | (2,896) | (1,401) | (940) | (1,707) | (118) | (48) |
| Dec (Inc) in Current Liability | - | in | 196 | - | - | - | - | • |
| Dec (Inc) in Encumbrances | 20,000 | 12,890 | 2,896 | 1,401 | 940 | 1,707 | 118 | 48 |
| Cash Tfr to Fd 512 | _ | | lad . | = | - | - | 4 | - ' |
| Total Cash Expenditures | - | | 45 | - | 44 | | - | - |
| ncrease/(Decrease) in Cash | (705,934) | (456,467) | (101,674) | (49,153) | (32,989) | (59,848) | (4,120) | (1,682) |
| Rounding adjustment | - | | | | | | | |
| Ending Cash Balance | 693,001 | 450,881 | 88,612 | 45,193 | 32,291 | 66,856 | 6,957 | 2,211 |
| | | | | 2 | | | | |
| lonCash Accounts: | • | | | | | | | |
| Accrued Interest Receivable | 4,257 | 4,257 | 844 | . • | *** | - | - | - |
| Accrued Grant Receivable | 710,263 | 458,694 | 101,838 | 49,848 | 33,388 | 60,606 | 4,184 | 1,705 |
| Reserve for Encumbrances | (5,771) | (10,619) | 7,787 | (1,985) | (271) | (492) | (178) | (13) |
| Capital Projects - Rebudget | | M | · · | • | - | - | | = |
| inding Credits/(Debits) | 1,401,750 | 903,213 | 198,237 | 93,056 | 65,408 | 126,970 | 10,963 | 3,903 |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SBWR MASTER PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| Expenditures: Fund 512: | n ₀ | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | SBWR Master Plan (APPN 7364) | | 0 |
| | SBWR Mst Pln Reimb (APPN 7626) | | 0. |
| | Total Fund 512 | | 0 |
| | Total Expenditures | | 0 |
| Ending Encum Fund 512: | brances @ 6/30/17 | я. | |
| | SBWR Master Plan (APPN 7364) | 5,771 | 8 |
| | SBWR Mst Pln Reimb (APPN 7626) | 0 | |
| | Total Fund 512 | 5,771 | |
| | Total Encumbrances | 5,771 | × |
| | Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 | (25,771) | |
| | Net Change in Encumbrances | | (20,000) |
| | | | • |
| • | Total SBWR Master Plan Costs for Fiscal Year | 2016-17 | (20,000) |

SBWR PHASE D CAPITAL FUND COST ALLOCATION CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| SBWR Miscellaneous Project Expenditures: SBWR Miscellaneous Project Expenditures (See Schedule 1-a) Projects - Rebudgeted 2016-17 | <u>-</u> | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Total SBAP Expenditures | | |
| Agency Share of SBWR Miscellaneous Projects Expenditures | X 4.701% | |
| Revenue and Credits(Debits): (See Schedule 1-b) | | |
| 2016-17 Cash Payments Received | 56,721 | |
| 2015-16 Ending Credits (Debits) | 280,294 | |
| 2015-16 Capital Re-budget | | |
| Cap Reappropriation Adj. for FY 15-16 | (204,384) | |
| Investment Income (Loss) | 992~ | |
| | | 133,623 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To be applied | namanani nama | |
| Against 2017-2018 SBWR Miscellaneous Project Costs | | 133,623 |

Scheduel 1-b

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PHASE D ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17(Period 15)

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| | | | Santa | West | | | | |
| | Total | San Jose | Clara | Valley | Cupertino | Milpitas | District 2-3 | Burbank |
| Beginning Cash Balance Fund 512 | 6,436,584 | 4,274,643 | 905,924 | 430,867 | 280,294 | 492,350 | 37,108 | 15,398 |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance | 6,436,584 | 4,274,643 | 905,924 | 430,867 | 280,294 | 492,350 | 37,108 | 15,398 |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| SJW SBWR Sys Capacity Impr Fee | 1,206,566 | 777,667 | 174,711 | 84,508 | 56,721 | 102,956 | 7,107 | 2,896 |
| Interest Income | 22,494 | 14,869 | 3,180 | 1,517 | 992 | 1,752 | 130 | 54 |
| Total Revenue | 1,229,060 | 792,536 | 177,891 | 86,025 | 57,713 | 104,708 | 7,237 | 2,950 |
| Cash Tfr fm Fd 512 | | | | | | | | |
| Dec (Inc) in Accrued Interest | (4,557) | (4,557) | ** | ** | * | • | ** | - |
| Total Cash Revenue | 1,224,503 | 787,979 | 177,891 | 86,025 | 57,713 | 104,708 | 7,237 | 2,950 |
| Expenditures: | | | | | | :5 | | |
| Expenditures (Attachment 1-a) | - 1 | - | | - | | = | _ | |
| Dec (Inc) in Current Liability | - 1 | 10 | | - | - | ** | * | ** |
| Dec (Inc) in Encumbrances | - | - | w | 940 | - | - | - | ė |
| Cap Reapprop. Adj for FY 15-16 | 4,692,000 | 3,124,215 | 658,100 | 309,250 | 204,384 | 359,454 | 25,384 | 11,214 |
| Cash Tfr to Fd 512 | - | | _ | - | - | | | • |
| Total Cash Expenditures | 4,692,000 | 3,124,215 | 658,100 | 309,250 | 204,384 | 359,454 | 25,384 | 11,214 |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Cash Rounding adjustment | (3,467,497) | (2,336,236) | (480,209) | (223,225) | (146,671) | (254,746) | (18,147) | (8,264) |
| Ending Cash Balance | 2,969,087 | 1,938,407 | 425,715 | 207,642 | 133,623 | 237,604 | 18,961 | 7,134 |
| NonCash Accounts: | , | | | | | | | |
| Accrued Interest Receivable | 19,142 | 19,142 | M* | | | - | | |
| Reserve for Encumbrances | - | 63 | (46) | - | ** | - | (17) | - |
| Inding Credits/(Debits) | 2,988,229 | 1,957,612 | 425,669 | 207,642 | 133,623 | 237,604 | . 18,944 | 7,134 |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SBWR PHASE D FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| | Į. | 0 |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Fund 512 | ` <u>l</u> .: | 0 |
| Total Expenditures | | 0 |
| mbrances @ 6/30/17 | 4 | |
| SBWR System Reliability & Infra Replcment (APPN 7455) | 0 | |
| Total Fund 512 | 0 | |
| Total Encumbrances | 0 | €) (10) |
| Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 | 0 | ÷ |
| Net Change in Encumbrances | phidoson | 0 |
| er e | | |
| Total SBWR Miscellaneous Costs for Fiscal Year 2016-17 | * | 0 |
| | Total Fund 512 Total Expenditures mbrances @ 6/30/17 SBWR System Reliability & Infra Replement (APPN 7455) Total Fund 512 Total Encumbrances Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 | SBWR System Reliability & Infra Replcment (APPN 7455) Total Fund 512 Total Expenditures Inbrances @ 6/30/17 SBWR System Reliability & Infra Replcment (APPN 7455) Total Fund 512 Total Encumbrances 0 Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 Net Change in Encumbrances |

SOUTH BAY ACTION PLAN CAPITAL FUND COST ALLOCATION GUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

| SBAP Expenditures: (See Schedule 1-a) South Bay Action Plan Project Expenditures Project Re-budget FY 14-15 Total SBAP Expenditures | 3,691,000 3,691,000 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Agency Share of SBAP Expenditures | X 5.150% | 190,087 |
| Revenue and Credits(Debits): (See Schedule 1-b) 2015-16 Ending Credits (Debits) 2015-16 Rebudget AWT SCVWD Contribution USBWR Grant Equity Tfr from Closing Fd 530 & 534 Investment Income (Loss) | 951,163 190,087 - - - 3,022 | 1,144,272 |
| Balance Due to (from) Agency - To be applied Against 2017-2018 SBAP Project Costs | _ | 954,186 |

Scheduel 1-b

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SOUTH BAY ACTION PLAN CAPITAL FUND ANNUAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-17(Period 15)

| | | | Santa | West | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | Total | San Jose | Clara | Valley | Cupertine | | District 2-3 | Burbank |
| Beginning Cash Balance Fund 512 Beginning Cash Balance Fund 514 | 12,289,638 | 9,778,112 | 1,880,794 - | 90,723 | 138,372 | 2 457,021 | (43,258) - | (12,126 |
| Total Beginning Cash Balance | 12,289,638 | 9,778,112 | 1,880,794 | 90,723 | 138,372 | 457,021 | (43,258) | (12,126 |
| Revenue: | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Contributions AWT SCVWD Contributions Miscellaneous Revenue USBWR Grant Investment Income (Loss) | 58,683 | 37,561 | 8,387 | - - - - 4,587 | 3,022 | - - - 4,392 | - - - - 593 | - - - 141 |
| invosancia moonie (2005) | 00,000 | 01,001 | 0,001 | 4,507 | 0,022 | 4,002 | 555 | 1491 |
| Equip. Replacement Transfers | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenue | 58,683 | 37,561 | 8,387 | 4,587 | 3,022 | 4,392 | 593 | 141 |
| Fund 539 SRFL Debt Svc Transfer Transfer in Fd 531 | | - | м. | - | * | := | - | da |
| Decrease (Increase) in Accrued Interest Receivable Accrued Grant Receivable | (29,652) - | (29,652) | • | : | - | * | - | • |
| Fotal Cash Revenue | 29,031 | 7,909 | 8,387 | 4,587 | 3,022 | 4,392 | 593 | 141 |
| Expenditures: Expenditures (Attachment 1-a) Dec (Inc) in Encumbrances Transfer out Fd 514 Plant Cap. | - 88,839 - | - 56,863 - | 12,697 | 6,944 | - 4,575 | 6,650 | - 897 | - 213 |
| Total Cash Expenditures | . 88,839 | 56,863 | 12,697 | 6,944 | 4,575 | 6,650 | 897 | 213 |
| ncrease/(Decrease) in Cash nc/(Dec) in Cash FY15-16 SBWR Rounding adjustment | (59,808) | (48,954) (2,922,625) | (4,310) (1,340,290) | (2,357) 1,650,600 | (1,553) 1,007,453 | (2,258) 1,272,019 | (304) 266,621 | (72) 66,222 |
| nding Cash Balance | 12,229,830 | 6,806,533 | 536,194 | 1,738,966 | 1,144,272 | 1,726,782 | 223,059 | 54,024 |
| Distribution of Ending Cash: Fund 512 Fund 514 | 12,229,830 | 6,806,533 | 536,194 | 1,738,966 | 1,144,272 | 1,726,782 | 223,059 | 54,024 |
| nding Cash Distributed | 12,229,830 | 6,806,533 | 536,194 | 1,738,966 | 1,144,272 | 1,726,782 | 223,059 | 54,024 |
| onCash Accounts: Accrued Interest Receivable Accrued Grant Receivable Reserve for Encumbrances | 49,939 | 49,939 - (2,287) | 2,287 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Projects - Rebudget | (3,691,000) | (2,362,498) | (527,518) | (288,489) | (190,087) | (276,271) | (37,279) | (8,858) |
| nding Credits/(Debits) | 8,588,769 | 4,491,687 | 10,963 | 1,450,477 | 954,186 | 1,450,511 | 185,780 | 45,165 |

Schedule 1-a

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT SOUTH BAY ACTION PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 (Period 15)

| Expenditures: | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Fund 512: | Revised SBAP - SBWR Extension (APP | N - 6589) | 88,839 |
| | Total Fund 512 | | 88,839 |
| | Total Expenditures | * | 88,839 |
| Ending Encumbrand | ces @ 6/30/17 | | |
| Fund 512: | Revised SBAP (APPN - 6589) | 0 | |
| | Total Fund 512 | 0 | ' |
| | Total Encumbrances | 0 | |
| 8 | Less Encumbrances billed @ 6/30/16 | (88,839) | |
| | Net Change in Encumbrances | 4 | (88,839) |
| | | | |
| | Total SBAP Costs for Fiscal Ye | ear 2016-17 | 0 |

RESOLUTION NO. 1302

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT ACCEPTING AND APPROVING 10-YEAR DISTRICT-WIDE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT MASTER PLAN

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Cupertino Sanitary District ("the District") staff has completed and updated the 1964 District Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, District desires to adopt a 10-Year District-Wide Capital Improvement Project Master Plan Report ("CIP Report").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District, Santa Clara County, California, hereby accepts and approves the CIP Report, dated February 21, 2018, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof.

resolution duly and regularly passed and adopted by the Sanitary Board of the

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a

Cupertino Sanitary District, at a meeting thereof held on the 21st day of February 2018, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Secretary, Cupertino Sanitary District

APPROVED:

President

RESOLUTION NO. 1303

A RESOLUTION OF THE SANITARY BOARD OF THE CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT OF INTENTION TO CONSIDER AN INCREASE IN SEWER SERVICE CHARGES; ESTABLISHING THE TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED INCREASE; AND PROVIDING FOR THE GIVING OF NOTICE OF SUCH PUBLIC HEARING AS REQUIRED BY LAW

WHEREAS, the Cupertino Sanitary District ("the District") last increased the sewer rates charged to its customers in 2017; and

WHEREAS, the District has been informed by the City of San Jose that equipment replacements, upgrades, and other improvements to the sewerage treatment facilities utilized by the District will require significant capital expenditures as part of the Treatment Plant Master Plan Implementation and that the District's share of the cost to install these upgrades and improvements will total approximately 35.8 million dollars over the next five years; and

WHEREAS, to date, the City of San Jose has not yet finalized the financing program for these capital costs and the District staff has to make assumptions to develop various options for the financial modelling of the District's cash flow over the next five years; and

WHEREAS, the cost the District pays to City of San Jose is 60% of the total District's expenses; and

WHEREAS, District has adopted a 10-Year Capital Improvement Plan and will require District's infrastructures to be rehabilitated; and

WHEREAS, the current sewer service rates charged by the District are inadequate to cover the District's operating budget and capital expenditures and the share of costs that will be allocated to the District for upgrades and improvements to the sewerage treatment facilities will further increase the budget shortfall; and

WHEREAS, based on District Staff analysis, a proposed schedule of increased sewer service charges, a maximum amount not to exceed eight (8) percent, will be presented to the Board at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, it is the intention of the Sanitary Board to consider adoption of an ordinance to implement such increased sewer service charges, subject to compliance with the requirements of Proposition 218; and

WHEREAS, prior to the adoption of an ordinance increasing the sewer service charges, the District must conduct a public hearing, with notice thereof being given to all persons subject to the increase, and

WHEREAS a proposed form of Notice of Public Hearing has been presented to the Sanitary Board, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District as follows:

- 1. The District Counsel is instructed to prepare an Ordinance providing for an increase in the District's sewer service charges.
- 2. A public hearing to consider such Ordinance is hereby scheduled for April 04, 2018, commencing at 7:00 p.m., in the Meeting Chambers of the Sanitary Board located at 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 100, Cupertino, California.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted by the Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District, at a meeting thereof held on the 21st day of February, 2018, by the following vote:

| AYES, and in favor thereof, Members: | |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| NOES, Members: | |
| ABSENT, Members: | |
| | |
| | |
| | Secretary, Cupertino Sanitary District |
| APPROVED: | |
| | |
| President, Cupertino Sanitary District | |

Exhibit "A"

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Cupertino Sanitary District Board of Directors will hold a public hearing on report on rates and charges and consideration to collect sewer service charges on the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Tax Roll. The hearing will be held at the District Office, located at Stevens Creek Office Center, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 100, Cupertino, California on **Wednesday**, **April 04**, **2018 at 7:00 p.m.** For more information contact the District Office at (408) 253-7071.

Richard Tanaka

From:

form700@cob.sccqov.org

Sent:

Monday, February 12, 2018 4:26 PM

To:

Richard Tanaka

Subject:

Letter Sent to Form 700 Filer

Dear Richard Tanaka,

This is an automatic notification to inform you that our office has sent a "Annual Filing Notification Email 1 - To eDisclosure Filers" letter or email to the following filers in your agency:

Angela Chen, Member of the Board of Directors

John Gatto, Member of the Board of Directors

Ken Colson, Member of the Board of Directors

Marc Hynes, District Counsel

Michelle Kaelker-Boor. Member of the Board of Directors

Patrick Kwok, Member of the Board of Directors

Rebecca Yoder, Member of the Board of Directors

Rene Prupes, Member of the Board of Directors

Richard Tanaka, District Manager

Richard Tanaka, District Engineer

Richard Tanaka, Consultant

Richard Tanaka, Consultant

Taghi Saadati, Member of the Board of Directors

William Bosworth, Member of the Board of Directors

You can see most letters associated with each filer in your eDisclosure filer management screen by selecting a filer and clicking on "View Forms."

https://www.southtechhosting.com/SantaClara/eDisclosure/

If you have any questions about this email, please contact Form 700 Personnel at form700@cob.sccgov.org or (408)299-6441.

Sincerely, Form 700 Personnel Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Santa Clara County







Agenda

Registration

Auction

Sponsors & Exhibitors

Speakers

Awards

Contact

March 25-29, 2018 | Palm Springs, California

What is No-Dig?

Home / What is No Die

NASTI's No-Dig Show is the largest trenchiess technology conference in North America, where professionals attend to learn new techniques that will save money and improve infrastructure. This show offers six tracks and 160 peer-reviewed, non-commercial presentations, including case studies detailing environmentally friendly trenchless solutions and cost-saving opportunities for municipalities and utilities. With over 190 exhibiting companies and multiple networking events, spend quality time with current colleagues/customers and grow your connections. Whether you're a newcomer or a show veteran, NASTI's No-Dig Show is the must-attend conference for underground infrastructure professionals.

2015 2,361

ATTENDEESS

2016

2,069

2017

Who Should Attend?

The overall No-Dig program is focused on one objective: helping you maximize your investment in trenchless technologies, services and applications. The following professionals will benefit from this conference:

- · Municipalities: public works officials, construction and rehabilitation personnel, engineers, senior city staff and elected officials
- . Contractors: sewer, water, gas utility, industrial, pipeline, damage prevention and safety
- . Consulting Engineers: firms serving the underground infrastructure and industrial markets
- · Gas/Electric Utilities: officers, managers, construction, maintenance and rehabilitation personnel
- Pipelines and Energy, officers, managers, construction and maintenance personnel for transmission pipeline construction, rehabilitation and maintenance
- Industrial Facilities: construction and maintenance personnel, engineers and environmental assessment personnel
- . Damage Prevention: personnel involved in managing damage prevention and safety issues

View testimonials from past attendees here.

Learn about tips to get management approval to attend the conference here.





MONDAY, MARCH 26 - AM SESSIONS

| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Monday | Morning | | Track 1: Condition Assessr | nent | Session Leader: Edward Alan Ambler |
| 9.30 AM | мм-ті-ої | & Wastewater | Masters of the Interceptors: Leveraging Trenchless Technology and Corrosion Modeling for Sewer Asset Management | Daniel Buonadonna, CH2M: Elaine Huber, City of Vancouver; Kenny Moffat, CH2M | This paper includes a case study on the condition assessment and risk analysis for 40-miles of sewer interceptor. The project included use of an advanced hydrogen-sulfide corrosion modelling to predict remaining useful life and calculate where corrosion protection or trenchless rehabilitation was more cost-effective to extend the assets service life. |
| 9:55 AM | ММ-ТТ-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Assessment of the 99 Year Old Sunrise Highway Aqueduct In Nassau County, New York | Christopher Macey, AECOM; Jordon Thompson, AECOM; Brian Gee, AECOM | The project involved the assessment of a 99 year old, 72-in, diameter steel water main that has been out of service for over 50 years to ascertain whether it could be rehabilitated by relining technologies and re-purposed to serve as an effluent force main for a major wastewater treatment plant. |
| IO:20 AM | мм-тт-оз | ♦ Wastewater | 40 year old RCP: What to Do? | Swirvine Nyirenda, City of Aurora; Andrea Long, City of Aurora; Steve Simons, City of Aurora | This paper will discuss a series of projects the City of Aurora has undertaken to formulate an asset management strategy for rehabilitation of large diameter Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP) interceptors. |
| IO:45 AM | ММ-ТТ-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Nothing Rosy about a Failed Sewer: Rehabilitation of the Rose Canyon Trunk Sewer | Casey Raines, GHD; Greg Watanabe, GHD | The Rose Carryon Trunk Sewer, a 54-in and 60-in, plastic-lined reinforced concrete pipe, required rehabilitation due to falled weld strips at the pipe joints. Considering the potential environmental encroachment and traffic impacts, internal mechanical pipe seals and new plastic liner strips were selected to repair the pipe via manned entry. |
| 1:10 AM | мм-тт-05 | ♦ Wastewater | No Dig Pipe Rehabilitation Saves Cost and Keeps Airport in Operation | Xiangquan Li, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants; Tom Kapushinski, City of Palo Alto | This paper discusses an innovative method to inspect and locate an existing large diameter pipe used to corwey effluent from a wastewater treatment plant while maintaining the pipe in service. The paper subsequently discussed the considerations to select pipe rehabilitation method based on the pipe condition assessment data. |
| Monday | Morning | | Track 2: HDD | | Session Leader: Maureen Carlin |
| 930 AM | MM-T2-01 | ♦ Wastewater | Telegraph Franklin Sewer Raplacement Project Using HDD Technolody | Abdulnasser Almadhoun, NTH Consultants, Ltd: Hosam Yaldo, NTH Consultants, Ltd: Joel Brown, Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner; Daniel Dilegge, DVM utilities | Project involves replacement of a 48-inch diameter collapsed sewer under a busy state highway, revealed during investigation of a sinkhole. Several rehabilitation alternatives were considered. Based on site conditions a new 24-inch HDPE pipe was installed using HDD technology combined with jack and bore techniques to replace the collapsed sewer. |
| 9:55 AM | MM-T2-02 | ♦ Wastewater | King County Embarks on Large Conveyance System Upgrade that includes Challenging HDD | Sibel Yildiz, Wastewater Treatment Division, King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks, Kimberlie, Staheli, Staheli Trenchless Consultants; Kevin, Dour, Tetra Tech | King County is constructing a conveyance upgrade from Mercer Island to Bellevue, including a 36-inch HDD. Geotechnical conditions include large gravel; however, permitting was more challenging due to the HDD proximity to the interstate 90 bridge. Specialty analysis to determine impacts on I-90 bridge piles and permitting challenges will be presented. |
| 10:20 AM | MM-T2-03 | ♣ Wastewater | City Solves a Failing Sewer Problem with HDD and Drill Drop Methods | Rory Ball, Mott MacDonald; Chris Petta. Mott MacDonald; Don Ramm, City of Independence, Ohio, Clark Merdes, City of Independence, Ohio | A recently completed gravity sewer involved an uphill HDD-crossing through an S-curve to reroute a sewer in jeopardy of faillure. At the downstream end, the project installed a drill drop tapping into an existing interceptor sewer. This paper provides insight into how the design and construction challenges were overcome. |
| 10:45 AM | MM-T2-04 | & Wastewater | The Art of the Deal: Negotiating a High-Risk, Contractor-Proposed HDD on the Fly! | William Gibson, AECOM; Tim Marsh, HRSD; Geoffrey Burdick, Aegion; Daniel Rickmond, Tidewater Utility Construction, Inc. | This paper will detail cost/risk negotiations and lessons learned from a contractor-proposed alternative to the engineer's open-cut design, to install 1000-LF of 30-inch pipe via HDD. In a downtown environment. Critical considerations included HDD construction next to high-rise buildings and a colonial-rea, historical church dating back to the 1730's. |
| 11:10 AM | MM-T2-05 | ♦ Wastewater | HDD Used to Install New Force Main under Lake Meade | Tim Marsh, Hampton Roads Sanitation District: Brandon Beamon, Michael Baker International; Rachel Maupin, Underground Solutions, Inc. | Hampton Roads Sanitation District undertook a two-phase, 26,000-foot force main replacement project to revitalize an undersized sewer system in Suffolk, Virginia, A 3,200-foot horizontal directional drill was designed to cross Lake Meade. Complications during installation required the driller's ingenuity to recover the installation. |
| Monday | Morning | | Track 3: CIPP | | Session Leader: Kaleel Rahaim |
| 9:30 AM | MM-T3-01 | ♦ Wastewater | Improvements to City of Baltimore High Level Sewershed (West Baltimore Region) | Reza Emtiaznoori, Dewberry Consultnats LLC; Robert Stier, SAK Construction LLC; Mathew Rhoads, SAK Construction LLC; Wazir Qadri, City of Baltimore | A consent decree project for City of Baltimore which included approximately 60,000 LF of CIPP rehabilitation of 8-52 inch pipes, manholes and laterals rehabilitation, point repairs, Among one of the few City projects that its design and construction was completed in time and within budget. |
| 9:55 AM | MM-T3-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Sectional CIPP of Sanitary Force Main Preserves Historic Hull Waterfront | Charles Tripp, Kleinfelder, John, Struzziery, Director of Wastewater Operations/Assistant Director of Public Works, Town of Hull, MA | This paper/presentation will describe the planning, logistics and construction involved to improve the reliability of the subject sewer infrastructure. The technique and lessons learned from this project will be applicable to other communities knowing that force main renewal is one of the growing areas of interest in our industry. |
| 8:50 AM | MM-T3-03 | & Wastewater | 16th Avenue Sanitary Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation - Use of Sprayed Geopolymer in a Challenging Environment | Paul Headland, Aldea Services LLC; Grant, Robinson, Regional Municipality of York Darrel Johnston, Michels Pipe Services; Joe Royer, Milliken Infrastructure Solutions, LLC | Rehabilitation of the 16th Avenue Sanitary Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation located at depths of 50m below ground surface, and 45m below the groundwater table. Use of crysalline grout to seal water leaks and sprayed geopolymer to rehabilitate tunnel due to lining deterioration and structural defects. |
| 10:55 AM | MM-T3-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Tying Up Loose Ends: Rehabilitating the Downstream End of an Egg-Shaped Brick Interceptor Near Boston | Nicholas Rystrom, City of Revere, Jonathan, Kunay, CDM Smith | This paper will detail the challenges faced during the rehabilitation of an egg-shaped brick interceptor including access issues, bypass pumping discharge coordination at the downstream manhole located in a tidally influenced waterbody, and traffic management within a state-owner parkway critical for commuters heading into the City of Boston. |
| 11:10 AM | MM-T3-05 | Wastewater | Is it Round, Square or Oval: Repair of a Culvert with Multiple Cross Sections | Mo Ehsani, PipeMedic by QuakeWrap; Alex Christensen, Salt Lake City Corporation; Marvin Murphy; FRP Construction, LLC | This paper desribes a uncommon case where a culvert consisting of three different cross sections was repaired with field applied FRP products. |
| Monday | Morning | | Track 4: Pipe Bursting | | Session Leader Babs Marquis |
| 9:30 AM | MM-T4-01 | Wastewater | Record up-sizing Using Static Pipe Bursting technology (from 15 inches to 34 inches) | Velimir Stetin. City of Maple Ridge | This paper describes a successful, record upstzing (IS to 34) of an undersized gravity sanitary sewer in the City of Maple Ridge, British Columbia, Canada using Static Pipe Bursting technology |
| 9:55 AM | MM-T4-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Pipe Bursting Challenges in the City of St. Catherines Canada | Dave Holcomb, TT Technologies, Inc. | This paper will focus on how the owner, engineer and contractor overcame the challenges of pipe bursting a 250' section of 18 PVC gravity feed sanitary sewer pipe. 32' deep, located between two houses, with the pipe collapsed down to 4 with very high flow, utilizing multiple trenchless technologies. |
| 10:20 AM | MM-T4-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Combined Trenchless Technologies Prove Successful | Michael Woodcock, Portland Utilities Construction Co., LLC; Keith Dunn, Dunn & Associates Engineering, Inc. | The combined trenchless technologies of Pipe Bursting, UV CIPP and Close Tolerance Horizontal Directional Drilling (CTHDD) were used to repair an aging and undersized sewer in Greenville, SC. Originally designed as pipe bursting, it was necessary to incorporate the UV CIPP and CTHDD trenchless technologies to successfully complete the project. |
| 10:20 AM | MM-T4-04 | ♦ Wastewater | The City of Redding, California Implements Pipe Bursting for Existing Asbestos Cement Gravity Sewer Pipe | Edward Alan Ambler, AM Trenchless; Josh Vandiver, City of Redding, California; Corri, Vandiver, City of Redding, California | The City of Redding in Northern California has teamed with AM Trenchless to help design and permit an asbestos cement gravity sewer pipe bursting project. City staff were committed to pipe bursting and worked to overcome the misconceptions of bursting asbestos cement pipe and obtain the required permits. |





| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|----------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11:10 AM | MM-T4-05 | ♦ Wastewater | Solon, Ohio- Protecting Natural Resources Via Pipe Bursting | Jared Abell, Solon, OH: Aaron Smith, H.R. Gray (Haskell) | The Miles Rd Sanitary Sewer Replacement Project is part of the Solon. OH City-Wide Sanitary Sewer Improvement Program. In order to protect a cluster of nearby ponds and avoid the advanced shoring and devastering costs associated with open-cut trench excavation, the city engineer opted to utilize the pipe bursting method. |
| Monday | Morning | | Track 5: Large Diameter 1 | Tunneling | Session Leader: Anil Dean |
| 930 AM | мм-т5-01 | å Wastewater | Implementing Major CSO Solutions via Deep Rock Tunneling - Ohio River Tunnel (ORT) | Jonathan Steflik, Black & Veatch; Mark Bradford, Black & Veatch; Creg Powell, Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District: Jacob Mathis, Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District: John Loechile, Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District: Adam Westermann, Black & Veatch | Louisville Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) is in the process of completing an \$850 M. 20-year integrated Overflow Abatement Plan (IGAP) by 2020 to reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs). Originally scoped as three separate CSO basin projects, the Ohio River Tunnel (ORT) was developed in response to challenges encountered throughout design. |
| 9:55 AM | MM-T5-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Microtunneling of Twin 100-in. (2,250-mm) Diameter Storm Culverts | Jack Graziosi, City of Vaughan | The City of Vaughan carried out the new installation of twin 100 in (2550mm) dia. storm culverts in order to accomodate the construction of a new hospital. Microtunneling was selected as the preferred method of installation given the various co-ordination issues associated with the project and other jurisdictional authorities. |
| 10:20 AM | MM-T5-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Urban Hard Rock Tunneling & Blasting in Baltimore City | Todd Brown, Bradshaw Construction Corporation: Jordan Bradshaw, Bradshaw Construction Corporation | Bradshaw Construction completed 2,500' of tunnel for a 36 sanitary sewer under Baltimore through geology consisting of very hard rock with decrnoposed veins using a 72 Double Shielded TBM. Access shafts, up to 57' deep, were set in urban environments, requiring utility support and resident coordination. |
| 10:45 AM | MM-T5-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Seven Years, Five Projects, and Over Forty Kilometers of Hard Rock Tunnel Design Improvements | Alston Noronha, Black & Veatch: Mark Bradford, Black & Veatch | This paper discusses design enhancements for five hard rock tunnel projects, over forty kilometers in length, in Indiana and Kentucky. Parameters include tunnels, underground bifurcations, and drop shafts. |
| 11:10 AM | MM-T5-05 | Wastewater | Overcoming Challenging Conditions with Engineering and Construction Flexibility | Brendan Hedel, CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.; Josh Livermore, BT Construction, Inc.; Jon Wicke, Metro Wastewater Reclamation District; Liv Haugen, CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc. | Approximately 2 miles of large diameter sewer interceptor was designed and constructed with open-cut and trenchless technologies in challenging conditions included open-cut river crossing, trenchless irrigation ditch crossing, deep excavations, and groundwater. |
| Monday | Morning | | Track 6: Large Diameter \ | Vater | Session Leader Peter Oram |
| 930 AM | MM-T6-01 | ♦ Water | Bellvue Transmission Line Tunnels - Challenging Construction in Dipping Bedrock | Robin Domfest., Lithos Engineering, Nate Soule, Lithos Engineering, Dylan Fawaz, Lithos Engineering | The two tunnels which are 567- and 1.814 feet-long and were constructed utilizing tunnel boring machines. The Overland Tunnel was constructed with an 88-inch gripper style TBM, while the East/West Tunnel was constructed with an 86-inch TBM with ribs and lagging. |
| 9:55 AM | MM-T6-02 | ♦ Water | San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Completes Hand Mined Tunnel for Seismic Resiliency Project | James Bowland, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants | 140 LF of 72-inch diameter liner plate tunnel was installed using hand mining down a 28-degree slope. The tunnel was constructed using bolted liner plate. An auger bored pipe in the center of the tunnel was used for soil removal along the alignment of the tunnel to the receiving shaft. |
| 10:20 AM | MM-T6-03 | ♦ Water | Partnering Solutions When Below Ground is not as Expected | Liv Haugen, CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.; Rebecca Tejada, Parker Water & Sanitation District; Kevin Strott, Reynolds Construction, LLC | Several unexpected geotechnical conditions were encountered during the construction of the Ridgegate Line for the Parker Water 7 Sanitation District. This paper discusses the design process, conditions encountered, and how the team of owner, designer, and contactor partnered to develop resolutions to address the changes. |
| 10:45 AM | MM-T6-04 | ♦ Water | Halton Zone 4 Feedermain - Tunnelling A Confined Aquifer Beneath Major Railways and Highways | Cian McDermott, Associated Engineering; Chris Ewen, Halton Region; Gary Lukez Dibco, Underground Ltd. | The Halton Zone 4 Feedermain Project involves the Installation of 13km of trunk watermain: 4080m within tunnels ranging from 1800mm to 5500mm in diameter. This presentation discusses the challenges with planning and designing a 3500mm diameter tunnel crossing Union Cas transmission lines. Highway 401. CP Rail Tracks, and 16-mile Creek |
| 11:10 AM | MM-T6-05 | ♦ Water | Trenchless Watermain Installation Under Tight Timelines and Spatial Constraints | Chad Schwartzentruber, Stantec; Joe Linseman, Stantec; Ayman Khedr, Stantec; Lauren Young, Stantec | This paper demonstrates a case study of an effective methodology for designing and installing a trenchless large diameter watermain under tight timelines and tight spatial site constraints. |

MONDAY, MARCH 26 - PM SESSIONS

| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Monda | y Afternoor | | Track 1: Asset Manageme | nt | Session Leader: Adam McKnight |
| 3:45 PM | МА-П-01 | ♦ Wastewater | Executing a Trenchless Rehabilitation Program through a Risk Based Asset Management Program | Ryan Eisele, HDR; Jeff Stacy Johnson, County Wastewater | JCW's condition assessment and renewal programs are executed through the Collection System Asset Management Program. A rehab decision and prioritization model was developed through the program. This presentation will describe how JCW uses the decision model to assess risk and plan, execute, and manage the utility's trenchless renewal program. |
| 4:10 PM | МА-П-02 | & Wastewater | Region of York Storm Sewer CCTV and Renewal Assessment | Lauren Young, Stantec Consulting; John Zhu, Reigon of York, Joe Linseman, Stantec Consulting; Erez Allouche, Stantec Consulting; Joe Herman, Stantec Consulting | In 2015, the Region of York initiated their first Storm Sewer CCTV program to assess the condition of the identified critical storm sewers and determine the need for rehabilitation and develop a prioritized storm sewer rehabilitation program. |
| 4:35 PM | МА-П-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Overcoming the Challenges of Gravity Pipeline Inspections with New Technologies and Data Management | John Schroeder, CDM Smith: Nicholas Domenick, City of Columbus Sewers and Drainage | There are many advanced and simple technologies /techniques to consider before selecting and performing gravity sewer inspections. This paper will provide a vast understanding of selecting the right tool for a wide variety of challenging pipeline inspection needs. |
| 5:00 PM | MA-TI-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Improving an Island: Tampa Uses Tyfo® to Rehabilitate Pressure Pipeline | Andrew Costa, Insituform Technologies, LLC; Amber Wagner, Fyfe Co. | Fibrwrap Construction used its FRP system to rehabilitate almost 400 feet of 48- and 54-inch pipeline on an island in Tampa's downtown area. This paper will discuss project specifications as well how diameter loss was kept to a minimum while providing a structural solution. |
| Monda | y Afternoor | 1 | Track 2: HDD | | Session Leader: Rachel Maupin |
| 3:45 PM | MA-T2-01 | Other | Practical Criteria for Borehole Instability in Sand during Horizontal Directional Drilling | Haitao Lan, Queen's University: Ian Moore, Queen's University | The paper addresses the calculations of maximum allowable mud pressure in sand during Horizontal Directional Drilling. The tests conducted in GeoEngineering Center at Queen's University are summarized and the calculation is improved from Queen's Equation which accounts for anisotropic condition. |
| 4:10 PM | MA-T2-02 | Other | FRAC-LESS: A New Generation of HDD Drilling Tools | Martin Chemington, HDD/HDB Consultant | Features and exemplary description of small diameter HDD in-hole drilling tools, that will directionally drill and remove bore-hole cuttings, without risk of drill-mud frac-outs. |
| 4:35 PM | MA-T2-03 | Other | Comparison of Different Inadvertent Return Prediction Methods and an Approach to Integrate Thern | David Landing, Jacobs Engineering; Michelle Macauley, Jacobs Engineering | This paper compares different inadvertent Return (IR) prediction methodologies and proposes an adaptation to the Delft equation to address multiple soil layers in proximity to the HDD bore. Additionally, we will propose a holistic approach that combines various soil-specific IR calculations into one methodology. |

,-5





| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5:00 PM | MA-T2-04 | Other | A Bore-head Radar for Safe Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) Operations | Enrico Boi, IDS GeoRadar North America: Guido Manacorda, IDS GeoRadar Srl | During an European cooperative research, a bore-head ground penetrating radar system has been developed; it will offer the operator information directly from the drill, in real time, allowing objects to be avoided, thus improving the safety of the operation. |
| Monday | Afternoor | 1 | Track 3: CIPP | | Session Leader: Jennifer Glynn & Shaurav Alam |
| 5:45 PM | MA-T3- 01&02 | | Water Main CIPP Forum | | |
| 4:35 PM | MA-T3-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Philadelphia Finds A New Solution to Rehab Sewers Efficiently through a Service Contract | Jeff Twardzik, Philadelphia Water Department: Paul Mourt, Mott MacDonald | The Philadelphia Water Department has embarked on an indefinite quantity contract for CIPP sever rehabilitation in order to increase the annual rehabilitation footage performed. This paper will review the procedures developed to improve the efficiency of indefinite quantity contracts to the benefit of both the City and the construction contractor. |
| 5:00 PM | MA-T3-04 | & Wastewater | Leveraging infrastructure investment with trenchless technologies: The City of Calgary's Sanitary Lateral Lining Journey | Sclater Paterson, City of Calgary: Kevin Bainbridge, Robinson Consultants Inc. | The City of Calgary created a Sanitary Lateral Lining Program using CIPP and this paper will discuss the research, development, implementation and lessons learned. Discussions will focus on creating the program, development of QAQC protocol, site inspection, customer notifications and lessons learned. |
| Monday | Afternoor | 1 | Track 4: Pipe Ramming | | Session Leader: Michelle Macauley |
| 3:45 PM | MA-T4-01 | ♦ Water | Twin 120-inch Pipe Rams in Northern California | Kathryn Wallin, Bennett Trenchless Engineers, LLP, David Bennett, Bennett Trenchless Engineers, LLP, Ken Sorensen, Kleinfelder | Case study describing twin 120-inch pipe rams, each approximately 170 feet long, under US 101 in Willits, CA. Challenges included very large diameter pipe, long drive lengths, highly restricted wor areas, and a short construction window. |
| 4:10 PM | MA-T4-02 | Water/Wastewater | Pipe Ramming - Understanding the Forces that Drive the Industry | Kimberlie Staheli, Staheli Trenchless Consultants; Armin Steudlein, Associate Professor of Civil Engineering, Oregon State University; Paul Richart, Alderwood Water and Wastewater District; Bert Minor, RMDT | Pipe ramming is advancing while engineers strive to develop models of ramming behavior. Three ramming projects (diameters from 36-84 inches) were instrumented with strain gages and accelerometers to compare design models to field behavior. Results, including hammer efficiency casing resistance, advance rates, etc. are compared to predictions of ramming behavior. |
| 4:35 PM | MA-T4-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Pipe Ramming Methos Used To Swallow 250 Feet Of 48-inch Casing | Brian Harris, Drill Tech Drilling & Shoring, Inc. Alan Goodman, HammerHead Trenchless; Raguparan (Ragu) Thangavelautham. California Department of Transportation (Caltrans); Cameron Zoucha, Ditch Witch Southern California | This case study features the use of a 34-inch pipe ramming tool to replace 250 feet of 48-inch CMP with 72-inch-diameter steel wall casing beneath an interstate highway. The project represents the pipe availowing technique is effectiveness in a notably long and difficult application. Complications included misaligned and corroded joints. |
| 5:00 PM | MA-T4-04 | & Wastewater | From Pipe Ram to Microtunnel - How Owner and Contractor Worked Together | Erik Waligorski, Carollo Engineers; Ron Speer, Soos Creek Water & Sewer District; Ken Van Den Bergh, Soos Creek Water and Sewer District: Creeg Hill, Stantec Consulting Engineers Inc. | This paper looks at the construction of eight trenchless gravity sewer installations that started being constructed using pipe ramming technology and was completed using microtunneling. The paper reviews the reasons behind the initial design of pipe ramming, why the construction method was changed and how the change was contracted. |
| Monday | Afternoor | | Track 5: Microtunneling | | Session Leader: Jack Burnam |
| 3:45 PM | MA-T5-01 | ♦ Wastewater | Urban Microtunneling Par Excellence: 5 Multiple Curved Microtunnels Below Road and Railways in Switzerland | Cyrill Althuser, Jackcontrol AG | People often think of microtunneling with the predicate short and straight. The paper focuses on the immense flexibility gained in design and by allowing the highly engineered technology of microtunneling to acutally do what it can do, going further and going curved. |
| 4:10 PM | MA-T5-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Design of the City of St. Albert's North Interceptor Sanitary Trunk | Kate Polkovsky, City of St. Albert; Jason Lueke, Associated Engineering; Cian McDermott, Associated Engineering, Paul Dedeluk, Associated Engineering | This paper will discuss the design of the North Interceptor Sanitary Trunk consisting of 3000m of 1,500-mm diameter sewer by microtrunnelling, horizontal directional drilling and open trench methods; outlining key design features and mitigation strategies to deliver the largest capital project in the history of the City of St. Albert. |
| 4:35 PM | MA-T5-03 | & Wastewater | Six Microtunnel Drives Successfully Completed in Difficult Ground on the Broad Creek Augmentation Project | David Watson, Mott MacDonald | Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission's Broad Creek Augmentation Project consists of 4.8 miles of sewer conveyance pipeline with pump station and wastewater treatment plant upgraded Microtunneling and jack and bore methods were employed to cross various obstacles including highways, National Park Service environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs), streams, wetlands, and hills. |
| 5:00 PM | MA-T5-04 | & Wastewater | Superior Avenue Force Main Curved Microtunnel: Collaboration to Mitigate Project Risk | James Jones, P.E. Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District Justin Kolster, Super Excavators, Inc.: Richard Kelth, Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, Brandon Meyer, Independence Excavating, Inc.: Vito Cimino, P.E., Stantec: Barry Doyle, P.E. Stantec | in order to eliminate potential damage to a historic building and two (2) large diameter water transmission lines, worked with the Contractor and Design Engineer to increase a 48-inch microtunnel to 60-inch to mittigate glacial solls/obstruction risks and curved the alignment to avoid settlement damage. |
| Monday | y Afternoor | 1 | Track 6: Sliplining | | Session Leader: George Ragula |
| 3:45 PM | MA-T6-01 | Wastewater | Little Cuyahoga Interceptor Hard Pipe Segmental Slip Line Replacement | James Shelton, ARCADIS; Dave Frank, ARCADIS; Chris Ryman, ARCADIS | Case study of an expedited design and construction of an 87-in, and 75-in, diameter brick interceptor using hard pipe segmental slipline. Project paralleled the Little Cuyahoga River with several tributary crossings. Paper focuses on construction phase aspects of the project. |
| 4:10 PM | MA-T6-02 | Wastewater | XXXL Slipline Rehabilitates 60 Year Old 144 RCP Pipe in LA County | Bijan Khamanian, Hobas Pipe USA; Abdou Seydi, Spiniello Companies; Anthony Howard, P.E., Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts | This is the largest pipeline rehab undertaken in Southern California and from the tunnel/pipe size flows, and curved alignments, provided a great learning experience for the designer, contractor and manufacturer of the liner that would be beneficial for future projects. |
| 4:35 PM | MA-T6-03 | & Wastewater | 60-inch Sanitary Sewer Interceptor Rehabilitation under Submerged Groundwater Conditions - Lessons Learned | David Laughlin, Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Melanie Sikes, Carollo Engineers; Michael Rocco, AUI, Inc. | The construction techniques used to slip-line the pipe and rehabilitate manholes in submerged conditions, including the challenges with grouting the annular space, the methods used to maintain the access pits during slip-lining, the sealant techniques used for the manhole inserts, and the odor control equipment that was installed. |
| 5:00 PM | MA-T6-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Urban Tunnelling in the City of Ottawa - A Case Study | Philip Reeve, J.L. Richards & Associates Limited: Jonathan Knoyle, City of Ottawa | Replacement of a composite culvert section under an arterial roadway designated as a scenic entry route into Canada's capital city, outletting to a World Heritage waterway, included a new tunnel driven using a Tunnel Digging Machine (TDM) and sliplining of the existing structure to achieve the hydralic reculrements. |

TUESDAY, MARCH 27 - AM SESSIONS

| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|-----------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tuesday | y Morning | | Track 1: Manhole Rehab a | and I&I | Session Leader: George Kurz |
| 8:00 AM | TM1-T1-01 | Wastewater | Menomonee Falls and the Case of the Leaky Laterals: A Case Study in PPII Reduction | Julie McMullin, Brown and Caldwell; Jeff Nettesheim, Village of Menomonee Falls; Andrew Lukas, Brown and Caldwell | Investigations including flow monitoring, storm ditch flooding with dyed-water, and lateral televising identified leaky laterals and a recurring defect in many of the laterals in a Menomonee Falls neighborhood. Consequently. 47 laterals were lined with cured-in-place pipe to reduce the private property infiltration and inflow (PPII) in the laterals. |





| 77,3 | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description The Control of the Contr |
| 8:25 AM | ТМ1-Т1-02 | Wastewater | The Logic and Economics of Lateral Grouting—Decision Support Matrix Compares Trenchless Rehabilitation Technologies | Don Rigby, Avanti International: Marc Anctil, Logiball: Jeff Maier, C&L Water Solutions | The EPA makes a clear and compelling statement, Nationwide, nearly 50% of flow to the WWTPs is clean ground water from I&I sources. Small municipal utilities and large sewer districts attack this problem with different methodologies, however the ROI for taking ownership of the problem is immediate and sustainable. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-TI-03 | ♦ Wastewater | I&I Abatement: Using the Trenchless Toolbox for Project Success | Brendan O'Sullivan, Murraysmith | The City of St. Helens. Oregon recently completed a multiyear I&I Abatement Program to reduce sanitary sewer overflows and reducing treatment plant costs. With a holistic approach to reduce I&I, the program rehabilitated 12-miles of sanitary sewer and installed 2-miles of storm sewer using open cut and trenchless installation techniques. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T1-04 | | Improve Acid Resistance of Shotcrete for Sewer Tunnel Rehabilitation in Edmonton | Linping Wu, University of Alberta; W. Victor Liu, University of Alberta; Chaoshi Hu, The City of Edmonton | This paper is an update on the research progress of the acid resistant shotcrete project under NSERC Engage Grant in collaboration with the City of Edmonton. This project aims to investigate the use of pozzolans for improving the acid resistance of shotcrete for the sewer system. |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T1-05 | Wastewater | CIPP Rehab for the Hespeler Trunk Sanitary Sewer | Bradley Marin, GHD ltd. | The paper will discuss the process by which GHD Ltd. evaluated, designed and worked with the City and contractor to rehabilitate approximately 5,600ft (1,400m) of 27-24(675-600mm) diameter vitrified clay pipe and eighteen manholes varying in depth of up to 15ft |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 2: HDD | | Session Leader Jim Murphy |
| 8:00 AM | TM1-T2-01 | ♦ Water | Post-Disaster Trenchless Installation Of Water Pipelines In Napa. California | Michael Hether, City of Napa; Jon Marshall, Carollo Engineers | On August 24, 2014 the South Napa Earthquake caused extensive damage to Napa's water distribution system. Four leaking pipelines beneath Highway 29 were abandoned and replaced with directionally drilled pipelines. This paper describes the geotechnical findings, design development, and administrative challenges to implementing a FEMA funded project. |
| 8:25 AM | TM1-T2-02 | ♦ Water | HDD Enables Florida Utility to Serve Popular Tourist Location Without Interruption | Clifford Wilson, Dewberry Engineers; Dina Bautista, Dewberry Engineering; Benjamin Blitch, Bay County Utility; Sara Maloney, Underground Solutions | After the failure of a crucial potable water transmission main to the city of Panama City Beach two months before peak water demand, Bay County Utility Services of Florida utilized subaqueous horizontal directional drilling to replace the failed line and a similarly aged one with new fusible polyvinylchloride pipe. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-T2-03 | & Water | San Joaquin River HDD Crossing, Modesto, California | Dru R. Nielson, McMillen Jacobs Associates: Rachel Martin, McMillen Jacobs Associates: Ryan Sellman, Carollo Engineers; Janet Atkinson, MWH/Stantec; Ted Foltz, Michels Directional Crossings | The San Joaquin River HDD crossing will be 2,800 feet of 42-inch welded-steel recycled water pipeline installed at depths greater than 50 feet and within micaceous sands derived from the granitic Sierra Nevada. The pipeline is part of a regional program to increase water supply in central California. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T2-04 | ♦ Water | Application of HDD for Subsea Installation of Microporous Pipe for Intake for Ocean Desalination | Anthony Jones, Intake Works LLC | Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) from shore out under the seafloor is proposed for under the sea intake for salt water desalination in California. Boring is anticipated in October at Camp Pendleton and a 12- month water quality sampling program follows. California policy suggests a preference for subsea intakes for desalting. |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T2-05 | ♦ Water | Separating Fire Flow from the Potable System and Adding Recirculation Pipes At Naval Air Station | Karen Lowe, CDM Smith: Clay Tappan, CDM Smith | The Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, New Orleans (NAS JRB NOLA) experiences water quality issues due to periods of low demand and oversized distribution pipelines. This paper presents a case study for pipeline design and HDD construction of multiple services (fire protection, potable and recirculation) within crowded utility corridors. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 3: CIPP | | Session Leader: Annalee Collins |
| 8:00 AM | TM1-T3-01 | ♦ Wastewater | Utizling UV Cure for CIPP Lining of a Small City Sewer System | Dave Hutton, SEH, Inc | This paper will present the results of the City of Medicine Lakes evaluation and selection of utilizing UV curing methods for their CIPP santlary sewer rehabilation project, and becoming the first City in Minnesota to use this method. |
| 8:25 AM | TM1-T3-02 | +Other | A 10-in, 1.86-mi inversion lining undersea and a follow-up study after 30+ years | Aya Nakagawa, Ashimori Industry CO., LTD.; Takashi Ashimori, Ashimori Industry Co., Ltd. | A challenging project of approximately 1.86-mi lining project installed more than 30 years ago will be given. A follow-up study to assess the integrity of the installed liner will be also shown. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-T3-03 | + Other | Tumers Falls Main Drain and Siphon Rehabilitation | Ryan Graham, CDM Smith: Tom Bergeron, Town of Montague; Jonathan Kunay, CDM Smith | This paper will detail the challenges faced during the rehabilitation design of a heavily deteriorated \$2-inch by 48-inch double brick wall drain line constructed in the late 1800's, as well as a double barrel concrete siphon, which were built beneath a canal located in the town of Turners Falls, Massachusetts. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T3-04 | Water/Wastewater | Upgrading Akron's Sewers - CIPP Lining Up to 72-inch Diameter | Aaron J. (A.J.). Smith, P.E., CCM; H.R. Gray - A Haskell Company | Akron, Ohio like other municipalities, has infrastructure approaching its useful life. To minimize the cost, time, and public disturbance of open-cut reconstruction. Akron is using trenchless CIPP Lining to upgrade many sewers within the city. The Tallmadge-Firestone Sewer Lining Project is an outstanding example, lining sewers up to 72-inch diameter. |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T3-05 | Wastewater | A Cured In Place Pipe Rehabilation Project Turned Bypass Pumping Project | Reace Fisher, Carollo Engineers, Inc. | The Dublin San Ramon Services District's Dublin Trunk Rehabilitation Project was implemented to rehabilitate approximately 8,000 linear feet of deteriorating 33,56,39, and 42-inch reinforced concrete trunk sewer that conveys approximately 50 percent of the District's wastewater flows, which involved creative bypass pumping techniques for successful project implementation. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 4: Auger Boring | | Session Leader: Mark Wade |
| 8.00 AM | TM1-T4-01 | & Wastewater | 72/66 Jack and Bore Challenges encountered under CA 91-freeway and Carbon Creek Flood Channel | Raul Cuellar, Orange County Sanitation Dsitrict: Brad Moore, Orange County Sanitation District: John Waggoner, McMillen Jacobs Associates | This paper discusses the major challenges encountered during construction of two jack and bore operations under California 91-freeway and Carbon Creek Flood Channel. The first jack and bore consisted of installation of a 66-inch casing for approx. 46-feet and the second of a 72-inch casing for approx. 600-feet. |
| 8:25 AM | TM1-T4-02 | & Wastewater | Nevada County Sanitation District - Penn Valley Dual Force Main Project | Brad Torres, Nevada County Sanitation District No.1: Cindy Preuss, HydroScience Engineers, Inc. | This paper reviews the background, design, and construction of a new dual sewer force main to convey sewage from a new lift station in Penn Valley to the Lake Wildwood Wastewater Treatment Plant. Horizontal directional drilling, boring and jacking pipeline suspension, and traditional open-cut trenching techniques were utilized for construction. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-T4-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Groundwater Impacts on Sewer Relocation Using Trenchless Technologies Near and Under I-405 in Renton, Washington | Erik Waligorski, Carollo Engineer: Dave Christensen, City of Renton, WA; Mike Benoit, City of Renton, WA | This paper looks at the alternative construction methods used to relocate existing sewer lines to make way for a new freeway interchange in Renton. Washington and how the trenchless construction was impacted by localized groundwater conditions. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T4-04 | Wastewater | Navigating a Difficult Crossing in New York Using a Remotely Operated Boring Machine Setup | Joe Lechner, The Robbins Company: Mark Case, Case Boring Corporation | A unique, remotely operated auger boring solution was utilized at New York's North Aurora Pump Station Elimination Project on a particularly difficult crossing. The technology allowed for remote steering on line and grade at rates of 25 to 30 ft per day in shale rock. |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T4-05 | +Other | Guided Slip Bore: Settlement and Sinkhole Development on a 5 m High Railway Embankment | Mustafa Yulek CCI Inc. | The paper will analyze the root causes of settlement and sinkhole development experienced on a 5 m high railway embankment, resulting from a guided slip bore installation of a 42 inch casing pipe. Geotechnical conditions will be summarized and lessons learned for future projects will be presented. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 5: Microtunneling | | Session Leader: Brenden Tippets |
| 8:00 AM | TM1-T5-01 | Water/Wastewater | Trenchless Crossings Play Critcial Role in Large Infrastructure Design Project | Darren Baune, Carollo Engineers; Matthew Wallin, Bennett Trenchless Engineers | The City of Modesto Initiated the River Trunk Realignment Project to relocate and replace the River Trunk Pipeline(s) to increase resiliency of critical infrastructure. The Project is the largest infrastructure project in the City's history. |



| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 8:25 AM | TM1-T5-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Analysis of Trenchless and Conventional Technologies Utilized for Installation of 5.550LF of Relief Sewer | John Ososkie, CH2M Hill; Eric Muir, CH2M Hill; Jason Waterbury, Metropolitan District Commission | The Metropolitan District Commission and CH2M have prepared this paper to discuss the tunneling and open cut excavation design alternatives evaluation and philosophy for rating construction options, factors that affect selection of trenchless technologies, risks and contingencies related to trenchless installation and minimization of risks by proper contract document preparation. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-T5-03 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Microtunneling with Water Only Can Cause Over Excavation | Glenn Boyce, McMillen Jacobs Associates: Norm Joyal, McMillen Jacobs Associates | Slurry microtunneling counterbalances earth and groundwater pressures by using a bentonite drilling fluid. This drilling fluid stabilizes the ground. When no bentonite is used, over-excavation and settlement can occur. This paper discusses using bentonite and how the new Microtunneling Standard should be modified to provide further clarification on the matter. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T5-04 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Analysis of Jacking Loads for Microtunneling Projects in Western Canada - A Case Study | Erez Allouche, Stantec | Estimate of the maximum jacking load anticipated to develop during a given microtunneling drive impact several design and construction aspects of such a project. The accuracy and generality of commonly used predictive models are evaluated by comparing their predictions with jacking load measured on eighteen recently completed microtunneling drives. |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T5-O5 | å Water/Wastewater | Assessing Abrasivity and Wear Risks for Microtunneling in Cround with Cobbles and Boulders | Steven Hunt, CH2M | An assessment of tunnel zone ground abrasivity to estimate wear of cutters, cutterhead, rock crusher and mucking system is a very important component of risk management for microtunnelling in ground with gravel, cobbles and boulders. Abrasion and wear including breakage of cutters and rock crusher components is due to the combined effects of soil matrix abrasivity and the effects of cobbles and boulders. This paper explains how to determine soil matrix abrasivity and combine it with cobble and boulder characterization data from subsurface inxestigation to estimate total ground abrasivity to help select the right microtunneling equipment and payment methods. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 6: Water Main Reha | ab- CIPP | Session Leader: Jason Schiro |
| 8:00 AM | TM1-T6-01 | ♦ Water | San Jose Water Company - Orion CIPP Project | Thanh Nguyen, San Jose Water Company: Sandie Dudley, HydroScience Engineers; John-Carlo Guevara, San Jose Water Company | This paper reviews CIPP rehabilitation of an existing 12-inch water main. San Jose Water Company included the option for utilizing this technology with an aging pipeline located beneath railroad tracks and within limited easements as part of their Orion Project to assess the merits of CIPP for future rehabilitation opportunities. |
| 8:25 AM | TM1-T6-02 | ♦ Water | The City of Miami Beach Utilizes CIPP to Structurally Renew 20 Water Main Crossings | David P. Kozman, P.E., HammerHead Trenchless: Fred Tingberg, Jr., Lanzo Companies: Bruce Mowry, Ph.D. P.E., City of Miami Beach | This paper describes the Class IV, fully structural cured-in-place pipe (CIPP) lining of 20 cast iron potable water main crossings for the City of Miami Beach, FL in July 2016. |
| 8:50 AM | TM1-T6-03 | ♣ Water | Highlighting Innovation and Sustainability by Renewing AC Pipelines with Cured-In-Place Pipe | Tara Sweet, East Bay Municipal Utility District: David Katzev. East Bay Municipal Utility District: Tim Harris, East Bay Municipal Utility District | In 2016-17 East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) completed a 2.5-mile pilot program to evaluate the structural rehabilitation of asbestos cement pipelines with cured in place structural liner. This paper reviews the methods, results, challenges, and recommendations of the pilot. |
| 9:15 AM | TM1-T6-04 | Water/Wastewater | Comparison of resin systems for CIPP in pressure pipe applications | Gerhard Bohme, ANDARA IIc | A comparison of resin systems for the CIPP rehabilitation of pressure pipes. Epoxy and vinyl ester systems including a new non-styrene containing option will be compared for mechanical properties, processing characteristics and finished liner performance |
| 9:40 AM | TM1-T6-05 | ♦ Water | Learning about UV CIPP versus steam and water cure CIPP in Portland Oregon | Mark Hutchinson, City of Portland | This paper will explain how the steps the City of Portland went through to gain experience with UV CIPP on three projects, and what we learned about how UV CIPP compared with steam and water cure CIPP. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 1: Emerging Techno | ologies | Session Leader Will Craven |
| 10:20 AM | тм2-п-оі | ♦ Wastewater | Competitive Tendering of Alternative Sewer Rehabilitation Technologies for Large Diameter and Non-Circular Applications | Adam Braun, AECOM Canada Ltd.; Chris Macey, AECOM Canada Ltd.; Stacy Cournoyer, AECOM Canada Ltd. | This paper discusses efforts undertaken by AECOM to tender alternative large diameter and non- circular sewer rehabilitation technologies in a competitive manner, including Cured in Place Pipe (CIPP), Glass Reinforced Polymer (GRP) sliplining, Centrifugaily Cast Concrete Pipe (CCCP), spiral wound PVC strip lining, and bonded FRP liners |
| 10:45 AM | TM2-TI-02 | Water/Wastewater | Evaluating Arrow Bore TM - a case study of a patented technology from the Engineers perspective. | Michelle Macauley, Jacobs Engineering; David Landing, Jacobs Engineering | As part of a large diameter water main rehabilitation project, three parallel bypass pipelines were installed under roactways in West Palm Beach, Florida. Post-award, the Contractor proposed the parented ArrowBore™ process in lieu of HDD. This paper discusses ArrowBore technology, outlines our concerns and discusses how construction progressed. |
| 11:10 AM | TM2-TI-03 | ≜ Water | How RehabPipe Rehabis Renewing the Infrastructure of Las Vegas | Ryan Benner, Las Vegas Valley Water District: Mike Ambroziak, CPM, LLC | Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) has been proactively managing its infrastructure utilizing innovative technologies to minimize social economic, and environmental impacts. This presentation will summarize the process taken by LVVWD for the rehab of two water pipelines (8 and 16 inch) critical to the operation of their system. |
| 11:35 AM | TM2-TI-04 | + Other | Lengthy Crossings Shortened by Direct Pipe Technology | Matt Smith, Michels Corporation; Tucker Toelke, Michels Corporation | Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) often requires deep installations to facilitate construction in subsurface conditions that can withstand anticipated annular pressures. The Direct Pipe® installation method is capable of operating within various types of geotechnical formations at much shallower depths with little risk of an inadvertent fluid release |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 2: HDD | | Session Leader: Diana Worthen |
| 10:20 AM | TM2-T2-01 | + Other | Initial Experimental Investigation Into Clogging Potential During Tunnelling/Drilling | Chao Kang, University of Alberta; Yichen Wu, University of Alberta; Alireza Bayat, University of Alberta | Development of a new apparatus to assess the clogging potential and the evaluation of the new apparatus through comparing the results with that from conventional assessment methods. |
| 10:45 AM | TM2-T2-02 | +Other | HDD Damages to Other Utilities: Problems and Solutions | James Anspach, Cardno, Inc. | HDD methods are a cost effective way of emplacing new facilities. However, when proper site engineering is not performed, or performed inadequately, terrible things can and do happen. This paper will review recent cases, causes, and issues surrounding cases that went to litigation from the perspective of the expert. |
| 11:10 AM | TM2-T2-03 | + Other | Study of the Cleaning Capacity of Drilling Fluid in Horizontal Direction Drilling | Sai Deng, University of Alberta: Nero Gao, University of Alberta: Alireza Bayat, University of Alberta: Manley Osbak, The Crossing Company Inc.; Kristin Barr, Evolution Energy Services | Hole cleaning is always one of the major concerns in HDD project. The conventional rheological model cannot meet the needs in HDD. Based on Herschel-Bulkley (H-B) model, an experimental procedure, together with a calculation method are recommended to evaluate the cleaning capacity of drilling fluid. |
| 11:35 AM | TM2-T2-04 | + Other | Strategies for Combating Rock | Tod Michael, Vermeer Corporation: Curt Dubbins, Mincon, Inc. | This presentation will supply you with guidelines for selecting the best available horizontal directional drilling technology and tools for the rock conditions you may face as a utility installation contractor, project planner or civil engineer. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 3: Geotechnical Issu | ies | Session Leader: Robin Dornfest & Don Del Nero |
| 10:20 AM | TM2- | | Claims Forum | | |
| 11:10 AM | T3-01&02 TM2-T3-03 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Understanding Ceologic History When Selecting Trenchless Installation Methods | Bradford Miller, Haley & Aldrich, Inc.; Dennis Doherty, Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Bedford, NH | This presentation uses two case studies to demonstrate the controlling effects geology has on trenchless crossings, and emphasizes that understanding the geologic history of an area is imperative for the trenchless design engineer. Brief guidance on locating geologic references and reources will also be discussed. |

8





| Time | Paper ID | industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|----------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11:35 AM | TM2-T3-04 | Water/Wastewater | Frisco Extends Reuse System along | Clayton Barnard, Freese and Nichols, Inc.; Art | The rapid population growth, recent drought conditions, and limited overall water resource |
| 7.55 | 11.12.10.01 | - water/wasewater | Busy Commercial Corridor using 2,500-foot Horizontal Directional Drill | Hartle, City of Frisco; Marvin Lee, Underground Solutions | options triggered the City to extend its existing reuse system to use treated wastewater for irrigation 10,000 feet of 12-inch reuse pipeline was installed, including 2,500 feet of HDD installations under busy traffic. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 4: Pipe Jacking | | Session Leader: Mohammad Najafi |
| 10:20 AM | TM2-T4-01 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Using Open Face Shields and Pipe Jacking to Handle Ground with Cobbles and Boulders | Clenn Boyce, McMillen Jacobs Assoicates; Rick Smith, McMillen Jacobs Associates: Mark Havekost, McMillen Jacobs Associates: John Waggoner, McMillen Jacobs Associates | Open face shields and pipe jacking can be an effective method especially when cobbles, boulder and buried objects may be in the pipe horizon. This paper describes recently completed projects where an open face shield was successfully used to mine through ground containing cobbles and boulders. |
| 10:45 AM | TM2-T4-02 | + Other | New Jet Pump Technology for long-distance pipe jacking and HDD crossings in highly permeable soil | Dr. Gerhard Lang, Herrenknecht AG | Originally developed and successfully tested for HDD crossings in highly permeable soil, the innovative Jet Pump system has now been implemented into Slurry Microtunnelling technology to make long-distance drives of more than 1,000m possible, also for diameters smaller than 30. |
| 11:10 AM | TM2-T4-03 | Water/Wastewater | Successful Open Shield Pipe Jacking through Reservoir Embankment | Marshall McLeod, East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD); Evan Wheeler, Pipe Jacking Trenchless, Inc. | Two nominal 48-inch casing pipelines (total length 600 feet) have been successfully installed by open shield pipe jacking through a former open-cut reservoir embankment, which consisted of mainly weathered rock for the tunnel horizon. HDPE carrier pipes have been installed for storm water and reservoir overflow service. |
| 11:35 AM | TM2-T4-04 | & Wastewater | Redwood City, CA completes soft Bay Mud trenchless crossing of US Highway 101 | James Bowland, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants; Brad Moore, Stahlie Trenchless | The Redwood City Walnut Street Interceptor Project increases capacity of the Redwood City's trunk sewer to move raw wastewater under US Highway 101 to the Redwood City Pump Station. This project used open shield pipe jacking to directly install 360 LF of RCP sewer pipe in soft bay mud. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 5: Project Planning | & Delivery | Session Leader: Matt Wallin |
| 10:20 AM | TM2-T5-01 | +Other Gas | ТВА | Robert Hotz, Laney Directional Drilling: Alan Snider, Laney Directional Drilling: Maureen Carlin, Laney Directional Drilling: Brian Carpenter, Laney Directional Drilling | Deciding which trenchless construction method is most appropriate can be a difficult decision for project owners and trenchless engineers. This paper examines the selection criteria for both Direct Pipe® Method and Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) and discusses how to achieve the greatest overall value for trenchless crossing projects. |
| 10.45 AM | TM2-T5-02 | ≜ Water/Wastewater | Difficult Situations Require Ext-REAM Measures: How Pipe Reaming Was Selected to Rehabilitate Easement Sewer Mains | Jonathan Tristao, Mott MacDonald: Donald Chang, City of Burlingame | This paper describes the design process and reasoning that lead to the specification of pipe reaming and open cut as rehabilitation methods for sewer mains in difficult to access, overgrown easements in the city of Burlingame, California. |
| 11:10 AM | TM2-T5-03 | & Wastewater | Eliminating Sewer Infiltration within the Region of Halton | Patrick Moskwa, Robinson Consultants; Jasna Filipovic, Region of Halton; Kevin Bainbridge, Robinson Consultants | The Silver Creek Sanitary Trunk Sewer was constructed in 1968. Located in the Silver Creek Valley, the sanitary trunk sewer conveys the majority of the sanitary sewage flow from Georgetown to the Georgetown wastewater treatment plant. The sewer has exhibited large amounts of infiltration which require rehabilitation. |
| 11:35 AM | TM2-T5-04 | Water/Wastewater | Bringing the 120-year-old Historic Sault Ste, Marie Canal Powerhouse into the future. | Gerald Bauer, Stantec Consulting Ltd.; Dhruba Subedi, Parks Canada; Pierre Wilder, Stantec Consulting Ltd. | The Sault Ste, Marie Canal - a Canadian National Historic Site commemorates an outstanding example of Canadian engineering achievement. This paper focuses on the condition assessment of the steel penstock and discharge pipes. The challenges, approach, condition assessment technologies, and findings will be described in this paper. |
| Tuesday | Morning | | Track 6: Wastewater Reha | ab | Session Leader: Brenda Kingsmill |
| 10:20 AM | TM2-T6-01 | & Wastewater | Rehabilitating a Critical Large Diameter Sewer Under a Levee and a Historic Park | Mathew Roder, Greeley and Hansen: Aaron Hughes, Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission: Glen Diaz, Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission | At 102 inches, the Anacostia Titunk Sewer is the largest, most critical pipe in WSSCs collection system. The trenchless rehabilitation of this pipe was supported by a bypass system that maximized the use of existing facilities and by separating the work into multiple contracts to maximize bidder interest. |
| 10:45 AM | TM2-T6-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Holistic Trenchless Rehabilitation for Wastewater Collection Systems | Jeff Maier, C&L Water Solutions, Inc. | Hollstic rehabilitation, defined as the strategic utilization of multiple, complimentary types of trenchless technologies applied in combination to achieve highly effective, best value solutions fo sewer system infiltration and corrosion rehabilitation projects is introduced. Advantages and technical considerations of using this approach, including project case study examples, will be discussed. |
| 11:10 AM | TM2-T6-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Guaranteed Outcome Delivery for Sewer Rehabilitation - The HRSD Collaborative Design/Build Sewer Rehabilitation Pilot | James Shelton, Arcadis; Emily Sadowsky, Arcadis | A case study in the implementation of a \$12M Guaranteed Outcome Design-Build sewer rehabilitation where payment basis included a time and materials cost-only reimbursable amount to an agreed upset and a lump sum success fee amount only paid upon achieving 100% of the project flow reduction goal. |
| 11:35 AM | TM2-T6-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Regional Wastewater System to Providing Environmental Stewardship While Allowing Development of Fast Growing Communities | Matt Goudy, North Red Deer Regional Wastewater Services Commission: Cody Gillrie, Stantec Consulting: Joel Sawatzky, Stantec Consulting | The North Red Deer Regional Wastewater Services Commission and Stantec Consulting undertook the construction of a 29km wastewater transmission system to serve the needs of the rapidly growing member communities. The construction required an expedited schedule and had many challenging trenchless crossings across highways, railways, and a river, among others. |
| Tuesday | Afternoor | To a little of the | Track 1: Condition Assessr | ment | Session Leader: Marc Lehmann |
| 3:30 PM | ТА-ТІ-ОІ | ♦ Water | How to Use Multiple Condition Assessment tools to make watermain rehabilitation decisions. | Paul Pasko, SEH, Inc; Greg Kottsik, City of Fridley, Minnesota | The City of Fridley, Mnnesota needed to determine exactly where and how their watermain crossed 1-694 prior to issuing bid documents for a CIPP watermain lining project in order to accurately convey to prospective bidders. Using both a push camera and ROV they were successful in achieving their goals. |
| 3:55 PM | TA-TI-O2 | ♦ Water | Higher Education - University of Ottawa Investigates to Identify Underground Infrastructure Academic Grade | Piero Salvo, GAME Trenchless Consultants; Michael Sparling, University of Ottawa | The University of Ottawa decided to investigate all campus underground infrastructure, both water and sever with the intention of prioritizing future maintenance and capital investments. The water main inspection was to be done without any interruptions. This paper will present challenges and findings of these live inspections |
| 4:20 PM | TA-TI-O3 | ♦ Water | Del-Co Raw Water Pump Station River Tap | Jim Mantes, Michels Corporation | The Del-Co Raw Water Pump Station project was constructed north west of Columbus, OH. The project included a microtunneled water intake structure. The microtunnel drive was launched from an excavation on the bank of the Sioto River and terminated in the river bottom with a wet retrieval. |
| 4:45 PM | ТА-ТІ-О4 | ♦ Water | Tiered Approach for the Condition Assessment of 50-year old Steel Waterline with History of Breaks | Steve Simon, City of Aurora; Craig Vanhorn, CHZM: Liv Haugen, CHZM: Annalee Collins, CHZM | A tiered approach is used to evaluate pipe condition and estimate remaining useful life to select condition assessment methods, technologies, and rehabilitation strategies. By applying cost effective strategies, this approach minimizes fisk and maximizes the value of information, allowing the City to make informed decisions regarding improvement of aging infrastructure. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T1-05 | ♦ Water | Recent Advances on Condition Assessment Technologies for Metallic Water Transmission Mains | Ahmad Habibian, CDM Smith | The objective of this presentation is to offer a realistic account of the capabilities and limitation of condition assessment and inspection technologies for metallic water transmission mains. Case histories will be included in the presentation to illustrate the practical applications of such tools and the importance of planning and coordination. |



Time Paper ID industry Segment Paper Title



| Tuesday | Afternoor | 1 | Track 2: HDD | | Session Leader: Jon Robison |
|---------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3:30 PM | TA-T2-01 | Water/Wastewater | Billings Heights Uses HDD and Jack-and-Bore to Install New 24- inch and 12-inch Lines | Bill Enright, Interstate Engineering: Duke Nieskens, County Water District of Billings Heights, Peyton Brookshire, County Water District of Billings Heights, Marvin Lee, Underground Solutions | With the need for additional storage and rapid growth through the last decade in Billings, 12 and 24-inch transmission lines were installed to convey water from Ox Bow Reservoir and eliminate the need of three existing booster pump stations. HDD and Jack-and-Bore methods were required to cross multiple sensitive surfaces. |
| 3:55 PM | TA-T2-02 | ■ Gas | Gravels in HDD: Impact, Mitigation and Lessons Learned | Carrie Murray, Stantec Consulting Ltd.; Erez Allouche, Stantec Consulting Ltd. | Gravels in horizontal directional drilling (HDD) projects continue to be a challenge across North America. Their negative impacts are many and varied, which contribute to cost overruns, schedule delays and in the worst cases, an incomplete installation. Though case histories, this paper provides significant lessons learned and mitigation strategies. |
| 4:20 PM | TA-T2-03 | ■ Gas | Formational Fluid Loss and Inadvertent Returns Risk in Sedimentary Rock HDD Construction | Jonathan Robison, GeoEngineers, Inc.; Mark Miller, GeoEngineers, Inc. | This paper will discuss formational fluid loss and inadvertent surface fluid returns risk for HDDs constructed in sedimentary bedrock. |
| 4:45 PM | TA-T2-04 | ■ Gas | Abandoned Coal Mines Make for Challenging HDD Design and Installation | Jason Lueke, Associated Engineering: Amber McQuarrie, ATCO Pipelines: Patrick Bain, ATCO Pipelines: Renato Clementino, Thurber Engineering, Niels Rasmussen, Thurber Engineering, Tamer Elshimi, Thurber Engineering | This paper discusses the geotechnical, design and construction methodologies utilized to successfully complete a 700 m long HDD crossing of the Whitemud Creek through abandoned coal mine workings in the City of Edmonton, as part ATCO Pipelines Southwest Edmonton Connector (SWEC) program. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T2-05 | & Wastewater | Construction Induced Vibration Case Histories for HDD and Direct Pipe Installations | Anil Dean, Stantec; Jon Pearson, Stantec | DirectPipe® and HDD installations are used for increasingly challenging utility crossings near sensitive structures and utilities. Associated vibration and ground movement is a common stakeholder concern when construction occurs near homes, businesses, and utilities. This paper details two case histories to help inform the development of vibration specifications. |
| Tuesday | Afternoor | | Track 3: CIPP | | Session Leader: Bill Moore |
| 3:30 PM | TA-T3-01 | ♦ Water, Wastewater | Curing Method - Heat or UV! Potential effect on CIPP resin propertiles | Shaurav Alam, Trenchless Technology Center, Louisiana Tech University, John Matthews, Trenchless Technology Center, Louisiana Tech University, William Jhonston, Louisiana Tech University; Sven Eklund, Louisiana Tech University | This paper focuses on ASTM standard Tests and Raman Spectroscopy Study on Heat and UV Cured CIPP Resin Samples. Study includes ASTM D688, D790, and D2240 tests performed on coupon samples. Raman spectroscopy studied using an HR3000. Study showed minor deviation. Further work recommended for conclusive results. |
| 3:55 PM | TA-T3-02 | Water/Wastewater | Emergency Pipeline Rehabilitation Ensures Raw Water Supply to Domtar Paper Mill | Robert Cullwell, Carollo Engineers: Mike Fleury, Carollo Engineers: Jonathan Herrboldt. Carollo Engineers, Inc. | The Southwest Arkansas Water District contracted Carollo Engineers for site evaluation, development of project alternatives, and design/build services for emergency installation of cured-in-place pipes to repair twin inverted siphons while maintaining continuous raw water flow of up to 70 million gallons per day to the Domtar Paper Mill. |
| 4:20 PM | TA-T3-03 | Water/Wastewater | Making The CIPP Process Easier And More Reliable | Benjamin Hazen. Interplastic Corporation | A significant need for the CIPP process was to develop resin systems that are available in all geographic locations, markedly easier and safer to use without sacrificing any of the performance attributes of traditional systems. This paper describes such systems |
| 4:45 PM | TA-T3-04 | Water/Wastewater | Best Value Engineered Design for a Sealed CIPP Collection System | Bevin Beaudet, Bevin A. Beaudet, P.E., LLC; Norman 'Ed' Kampbell, Rehabilitation Solutions LLC Tim Back, Back Municipal Consulting, Gerhard 'Gerry' Muenchmeyer, Muenchmeyer Associates, LLC | Successful trenchless rehabilitation projects require watertightness, whole system rehabilitation and sustainability which should result in a system as good as new. This paper is focused on trenchless sewer lateral lining and covers technical discussion, test data and case studies comparing ASTM-2561-compliant hydrophilic gasket seals with adhesive-based seals. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T3-05 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Engineering the Empire | lan Lancaster, Aegion Corporation: Rick Baxter, Insituform Technologies, LLC | After a brine spill, cured-in-place pressure pipe was used to rehabilitate a 24-inch PVC line carrying salty wastewater. This paper will discuss the reinforced glass tube product design criteria and installation. |
| Tuesday | Afternoor | | Track 4: Water Main Reha | b | Session Leader, Kalyan Piratla |
| 3.30 PM | TA-T4-01 | ♦ Water | Tight Fit - Manned Internal Repair of a 38S LF 30-inch Pipeline | Jan Chwiedosiuk, Middlesex Water Company, David Tanzi, CDM Smith; Anna Pridmore, Structural Technologies | Middlesex Water Company encountered a challenging pipeline leak involving a 30-inch diameter PCCP main under a major roadway. Carbon fiber-reinforced polymer was installed to provide a fully structural repair, and the project included several unique safety and logistical issues which had to be properly managed to successfully implement the repair. |
| 3:55 PM | TA-T4-02 | ♦ Water | Replacement of 700 Lead Water Service Pipes in the City of Montréal | Manli Joelle Chen, Ville de Montréal: Abdelwahid Bekkouche, City of Montreal | A contract to replace 700 lead water service pipes in the City of Montréal was awarded in 2016. This paper is a case study that includes a description of the work methodology, a cost analysis, the challenges and limitations encountered under the contract. |
| 4:20 PM | TA-T4-03 | ♦ Water | South Ogden Country Club Drive Pipeburst Project | Greg Seegmiller, JUB Engineers Inc.: Benjamin Quick, Pineview Water Systems; June Baterina, Underground Solutions, Inc. | Ogden is one of Utah's oldest cities whose infrastructure dates back to the early 1900s. Its water system is reaching the end of its life expectancy and solution was needed to rehabilitate and replace its overpopulated city's waterlines with minimal disturbance to residents. |
| 4:45 PM | TA-T4-04 | ♦ Water | Laramie 20-inch Water Transmission Line Rehabilitation Project | Sean, Borris, United Pipeline Systems | Assessment of a 20-inch water transmission line resulted in the need for rehabilitation. This paper will detail how the contractor installed roughly 18 miles of HDPE liner in just 17 weeks, exceeding the expectations of the City of Laramie, the Wyoming DOT and the general public. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T4-05 | ♦ Water | LADWP Abstract | Alvin Bautista, LADWP; Jeff Coffman, Sanexen Water | Los Angeles Department of Water and Power completed a pilot project to evaluate a fully structural. Class IV. CIPP liner to rehabilitate a residential cast from water main. Following the completion of the pilot project. LADWP proceeded to extract 6 samples of lined pipes and did a comprehensive evaluation. |
| Tuesday | Afternoor | | Track 5: Pipe Jacking & Pi | lot Tube | Session Leader: Johnathan Kunay |
| 3:30 PM | TA-T5-01 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Revised Method for Estimating Microtunnelling Jacking Forces | Alex Burnett, Hatch | In this paper, data from recent Ontario microtunnel installations is compiled and categorized by soil type to observe trends in field-recorded jacking forces. The results are discussed and a revision to available methods for estimating jacking forces and microtunnel drive lengths is proposed. |
| 3:55 PM | TA-T5-O2 | + Other | Fossil Creek Pedestrian Tunnel, A Unique Project Design and Delivery Method | Robin Domfest, Lithos Engineering: John Beckos, BT Construction; Lance Heyer, Lithos Engineering | Seventy feet of 14-foot diameter steel pipe was jacked through the railroad embankment and was kept on line-and-grade and supported by steel guide rails filled with concrete. installed with a GBM system. The project was designed and constructed using a delivery method unique to the City of Fort Collins. |
| 4:20 PM | TA-T5-03 | Water/Wastewater | Successful Risk Management on Challenging Trenchless Project Involving Multiple Boulders | Joel Staheli, Staheli Trenchless Consultants; Kimberlie Staheli, Staheli Trenchless Consultants; Mark Hutchinson, City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services | Geotechnical Baseline Reports are used to manage trenchless risk with mixed results. Construction managers often execute GBRs without knowledge of intended implementation, resulting in confusion and claims. This paper present a pipe-jacking case history where several obstructions were encountered and how the GBR was effectively used to avoid construction claims. |

Author(s)

Description





| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4:45 PM | TA-T5-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Pilot Tube and Front Steer Guided Boring Through 7,900 Feet of Clay Soils | David Mathy, DCM Consulting, Inc.; Nancy Molina, Central Contra Costa Sanitary District; Damasio Zepeda, Aragon Central Contra Costa Sanitary District | This project case history presents significant and valuable lessons learned from design and construction of over 7,900 feet of trenchless new pipeline installation of 18 to 24 inch gravity trunk sewers including a unique comparison of pipeline installation by Pilot Tube Cuided Boring and Front Steer Cuided Boring. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T5-05 | +Other | The Application of Three Tunneling Methods in a 500-m Storm Tunnel | Chaoshi Hu, Integrated Infrastructure Services, City of Edmonton; Siri Fernando, SMA Consulting Ltd: Minnan Liu, City of Edmonton; Sam Samarakoon, City of Edmonton | The Mill Woods Double Barrel Replacement project involves the construction of a 16 meter to 37 meter deep, 2340 mm to 5500mm in diameter, and 3.3 km long storm trunk sewer in City of Edmonton (City). This paper will describe the geotechnical and schedule challenges, additional geotechnical investigations, the procedure for selecting tunneling methodologies and redesigns implemented for the remaining section of tunnel. |
| Tuesday | y Afternoor | n | Track 6: Sliplining | | Session Leader: Dave Crowder |
| 3:30 PM | TA-T6-01 | Water/Wastewater | The Rehabilitation of the Hamilton Mountain Trunk Sewer | David Crowder, R.V.Anderson Associates Limited; Erika Waite, City of Hamilton: Tyler Lahti, R.V.Anderson Associates Limited | This paper will focus on the past sewer investigations, the ongoing rock movement monitoring program, and the large diameter slip line trenchless repair to the Hamilton Mountain Trunk Sewer |
| 3:55 PM | TA-T6-02 | ♦ Wastewater | Emergency Sewer Force Main Rehabilitation in Valley Forge National Historic Park | Sean Borris, United Pipeline Systems | Tredyffrin Township faced three catastrophic failures of a 30-inch prestressed concrete cylinder pipe between 2012 and 2014. This paper will give an overview of the emergency repair project using an HDPE lining solution on the 18,000-foot force main located at Valley Forge National Historic Park |
| 4:20 PM | TA-T6-03 | ♦ Wastewater | Denver Cets 500-year Service Life by Sliplining Sewers with Fiberglass Pipe | Bijan Khamanian, Hobas Pipe USA; Michael Rocco, AUI Inc. | Busy downtown Denver sewers were sliplined with 3.100 Lf of 48.54 and 66 Hobas Centrifu- gally Cast FRPM pipes as part of PAR-1250 project. Both CIPP and SLIPLINE methods were used in this project at a very tight quarters. Paper will discuss the design and installation of this system. |
| 4:45 PM | TA-T6-04 | ♦ Water | Virgin Valley HDD Cased Crossing | Gary Ashby, Forsgren Associates Inc; June Baterina, Underground Solutions, Inc. | The Virgin Valley Water District (VWD) required a replacement water supply line to transport potable water from water supply diversion points south of the Virgin River to storage facilities and users north of the river. Installation would necessitate crossing the Virgin River east of the Riverside Road Bridge. |
| 5:10 PM | TA-T6-05 | Water/Wastewater | Important Factors when Determining Design Loads for Reline Applications | Mitch Hardert, CBC Engineers and Associates, Ltd.; Hugh Mickel, Contech Engineered Solutions | This paper will review current methods contained within various specifications that are available to help determine design loads for reline applications. It will also discuss applicability of these sources of information. Finally, it will present a number of considerations that can be important factors in determining design loads for reline. |

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 28 - AM SESSIONS

| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wedne | sday Morn | ing | Track 1: Gas | | Session Leader: Dennis Walsh |
| 8:00 AM | WM-TI-01 | ■ Gas | High Pressure (HP) Natural Gas Main CIPL Renewal Project Through a Golf Course | George Ragula, Public Service Electric & Gas Co. | This project involves CIPL renewal of approximately 3,500 ft of 12-in, diameter HP cast iron gas main located in a golf course scheduled to hold the PCA Championship in September 2016 as part of a planned replacement program. Outdated maps and records created planning challenges. |
| 8:25 AM | WM-∏-02 | ■ Gas | Solidification Solves Drilling Fluid and Cuttings Disposal Problems in Toronto Canada | James Murphy, Universal Pegasus International; Tyler Horton, Enbridge; Andrew McNabb, MetaFLO Technologies; Nick Gannon, Allstream Waste Solutions | Dealing with large volumes of wet cuttings and drilling fluids from trenchless installations is a major problem particularly in urban areas. Solidification of the wet cuttings and fluid is a solution that has been successfully employed recently on large HDD installations in Toronto. |
| 8:50 AM | WM-T1-03 | ■ Gas | What to Do When Your As-Builts are Not As-Found! | Mary Neher, Bennett Trenchless Engineers; Joshua Hampton, Pacific Gas and Electric; Sean Dearborn, Pacific Gas and Electric; Brian Avon, Golder Associates, Inc. | This paper describes the design and construction of a complex trenchless crossing of a major California highway for a 36-inch natural gas transmission pipeline in the congested cities of Newark and Fernant, CA. The microtunnel required a dig-up due to an unknown obstacle but was ultimately successful. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-∏-04 | ■ Gas | ORFEUS - Real-Time Obstacle Detection for HDD | Dennis Jamecke, CTI; Aaron Rezendez, PG&E | ORFEUS is a project which is developing safe, cost effective and fast radar-assisted Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) equipment Operating within the drilling head of HDD systems, the ORFEUS HDD radar provides the operator with real-time obstacle detection needed to increase the safety of HDD operations. |
| 9:40 AM | WM-TI-05 | ■ Gas | Refinements in pipe bursting Tooling make it a preferred technique for gas line replacement | Dustin Hagg, Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania & Maryland: Alan Goodman. HammerHead Trenchless: John Hrabosky, HammerHead Trenchless | Originally created for the natural gas industry more than 30 years ago, the pipe bursting technique has proven to be an effective, economical trenchless pipe replacement method for quickly upsizing or replacing plastic, cast iron, ductile iron and even steel gas line mains and services. |
| Wednes | sday Morni | ng | Track 2: Project Planning | & Delivery | Session Leader Cindy Preuss & Firat Sever |
| 8:00 AM | WM-T2- 01&02 | | Close Fit & Sliplining Technologies | Forum | |
| 8:50 AM | WM-T2-03 | + Other | A Discussion of Design-Build Framework for High Profile HDD Crossing Projects | Kerby Primm. Laney Directional Drilling: Maureen Carlin, Laney Directional Drilling | This paper will discuss how the Design-Build Project Delivery Method has gained popularity in recent years with both private owners as well as public agencies and discusses three current high profile trenchless crossing projects that are in various stages of the design-build process. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-T2-04 | +Other | It Is Not as Simple as Just a Line On A Piece of Paper | Dennis Doherty, Haley & Aldrich | Too many engineers think it is as simple as drawing a line on a piece of paper when they are designing new trenchless installations, and put it on the contractor to install, even if they are impossible. This leads to added risk to owner and third pary. |
| 9:40 AM | WM-T2-05 | Water/Wastewater | Archimedes' Principle - An Uncommon Carrier Pipe Installation Method | Eddie Lyons, P.E., Mladen Buntich Construction Co., Inc. | Our project plans called for carrier pipe to be installed into a casing using a series of wheels, however this design proved ineffective as the weight of the pipe caused a misalignment of the wheels. As a solution, we devised a system to float the carrier pipe into the casing. |
| Wednes | sday Morni | ng | Track 3: Condition Assess | ment | Session Leader: Dan Buonadonna |
| 8:00 AM | WM-T3-01 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Inspect this force main if you can It's located beneath a drinking water reservoir. | Ari Eiden, Brown and Caldwell: Ernesto Fernandez, City of San Diego, Public Utilities Department: Cary Skipper. Brown and Caldwell: Don Gordon. Brown and Caldwell: Chris Garrett, Pipeline Inspection and Condition Analysis Corp. (PICA) | Failure of the City of San Diego's forcemain beneath Lake Hodges is not an option - yet the condition of the 40-year-old pipeline was unknown. The City did not believe it could be inspected. Brown and Caldwell deployed a cutting-edge in-line-inspection technology to determine if repair or replacement was required. |
| 8:25 AM | WM-T3-02 | + Other | Preliminary Study on Application of Pipe-Jacking Techniques to Infrastructure Construction in Frozen Ground | Kai Wen, Kyushu University, Hideki Shimada, Kyushu University, Takashi Sasaoka, Kyushu University, Akihiro Harmanaka, Kyushu University, Sugeng Wahyudi, Kyushu University | Past decades, pipe-jacking technology has been properly adopted and well-understood. Meanwhile, the frozen ground engineering has developed rapidly under the pressure of necessity. However, till now, there is hardly any pipe-jacking practice in frozen ground. Therefore, the application of pipe-jacking technique in frozen ground will be prospective in the future. |

Ti |







| Time | Paper ID | Industry Segment | Paper Title | Author(s) | Description |
|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 8:50 AM | WM-T3-03 | ♦ Wastewater | City of Raleigh Neuse 72-inch Parallel Interceptor Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation | Matthew Brent, Johnson, CDM Smith | Manned-entry inspection of 72-inch RCP gravity sewer pipes to perform condition assessment and rehabilitation design. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-T3-04 | ♦ Wastewater | Implementing action items from MSI of Large Diameter Sewer in the City of Hartford | Jason Waterbury, The Metropolitan District: Vinta Varghese, CH2M; Eric Muir, CH2M | This paper discusses the steps taken to develop implementable near-term and long-term action items for the combined sewers within the City of Hartford Compiling the various inspection results, obtaining a cleaning contractor and developing a systematic schedule as well as the cleaning and repairing of the sewer mains will be featured. |
| 9:40 AM | WM-T3-05 | & Wastewater | Trunkline Sewer Failure- Lessons Learned | Jeremy Charlesworth, City of Lethbridge; Adam Campbell, City of Lethbridge | The paper will examine where the City of Lethbridge could have improved with asset management and inspections of a sanitary trunkline that falled due to corrosion. It will also examine the numerous advantages a trenchless rehabilitation could have provided had we caught the corrosion earlier than we did. |
| Wedne | sday Morni | ng | Track 4: Microtunneling 8 | Pipe Jacking | Session Leader: Paul Headland |
| 8:00 AM | WM-T4-01 | + Other | Engineered Drilling Fluid for the Layman | Craig Camp, Mott MacDoald | This paper will describe how slurry works in microtunneling to reduce the possibility of settlement. |
| 8:25 AM | WM-T4-02 | + Other | Analysis and Design of Pipes Installed via Direct Pipe® Technology | Montazar Rabiei, Hatch; Kuo Ping (Sonny) Chang, Hatch; Marc Gelinas, Hatch; Adam Neale, Hatch | This paper proposes a method for analysis and design of pipes installed via Direct Pipe Technology. For verification, the method's estimated thrusting forces are compared against measured ones on several Direct Pipe projects. The results demonstrate the ability of the new method to reliably estimate the thrusting force. |
| 8:50 AM | WM-T4-03 | & Wastewater | Fiberglass Jacking Pipe Design, Native Soil Analysis, Stiffness Selection and Case Studies | Casey Wood, Thompson Pipe Group; Christopher Lamont, Associated Engineering | The determination of appropriate soil modulus values is key to long-term fiberglass jacking pipe design for its direct correlation to the minimum required pipe stiffness. In this report two different micro tunneling projects in Alberta, Canada are used as examples for comprehensive pipe design, applicable design standards, and lessons learned. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-T4-04 | Water/Wastewater | Vacuum Assisted Fusion Welded Saddle Process for Service Connections after Pipe Bursting Installation | Collins Orton, Faction Fusion | A Pipe Bursting job is not complete until the side connections are renewed. The Vacuum Assisted Fusion Welding process provides a high strength, repeatable, verifiable and cost effective installation for specialized Wye or Tee saddles after pipe bursting installation of new HDPE pipe. |
| 9:40 AM | WM-T4-05 | +Other | Trenchless Breakthrough Technologies: How they changed the industry & continue to influence the future | Steven Kramer, COWI North America. Inc.; Tom Iseley, Louisiana Tech University / Trenchless Technology Center | Description of the key breakthroughs in all types of trenchless methods and who were the people and businesses that made these contributions. The impact of each achievement will be presented and the roles that the innovators played. |
| Wednes | sday Morni | ng | Track 5: New Installations | | Session Leader: Robert Martin |
| 8:00 AM | WM-T5-01 | ♦ Water | Rehabilitating CMP in Traffic | Andrea Long, City of Aurora: Ethan Ford, CH2M Hill | This paper discusses the City of Aurora's alternative approach to addressing a failing CMP storm sewer. The pipe crosses under an arterial street and requires frequent maintenance from Aurora Operations. An alternative design and bidding approach for the trenchless portion allowed for the market to dictate the trenchless technology. |
| 8:25 AM | WM-T5-02 | & Wastewater | Pipe Reaming Risks and Rewards | Vern Phillips, Harris & Associates; Thomas Sharp, City of Watsonville | This paper will describe the evaluation and use of pipe reaming as a preferred alternative to rehabilitating deficient sewer pipelines. Two case studies of recent successful large diameter trunk sewer rehabilitation projects for the cities of Watsonville and Concord, California will be presented and lessons learned from their construction. |
| 8:50 AM | WM-T5-03 | +Other | Pilot Tube Innovation in Dubai | Rabie Ruzek, Trenchless Technology Middle East and Africa; Jason Holden, Akkerman | Pilot tube innovation contributed to the success of a high profile project in Dubai, UAE where a contractor utilized their fleet of three guided boring systems to accurately and efficiently install 296 pilot tube alignments, mostly in densely compacted sandstone in six months. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-T5-O4 | ♣ Wastewater | The First Major Pilot Tube Project In The Detroit, MI Metropolitan Area: A Case Study | Steve Matheny, P.E., Logan Clay Products, Inc.; Lyle Winn Anderson, Eckstein & Westrick, Inc. | PTM of the guided boring method originated in Europe nearly 2 decades ago as a method of installing 4 & 6-in house connections using trenchless technologies. Today, this technology has grown to installations with sizes up to 48-in outside diameter and drive lengths in the 400-ft range. |
| 9:40 AM | WM-T5-05 | ♦ Water/Wastewater | Evaluation of Interlocking Joint Technology Used on Auger Boring Pipe Casings | Urso Campos, Louisiana Tech University; David Hall, Louisiana Tech University; Shaurav Alam, Louisiana Tech University; John Matthews, Louisiana Tech University; Chris Morgan, Louisiana Tech University | This evaluation included testing of four (4) sets of 36-inch diameter steel pipe with interlocking joints used for auger boring to determine the necessary force to engage and disengage. Ongoing research is being conducted that could lead to an optimized pipe joint connection for auger boring steel casings. |
| Wednes | day Morni | ng | Track 6: Water Main Reha | b | Session Leader: Ashley Rammeloo |
| 8:00 AM | WM-T6-01 | ♦ Water | Strategic Slip Line Rehabilitation of New York City's Trunk Water Main System | Mario Valenti, New York City Department of Design and Construction: Thomas Leung, New York City Department of Design and Construction | The slipline rehabilitation of 8,500-linear foot stretch of decommissioned 60-inch diameter trunk main spanning seventeen city blocks to mitigate disruption to a highly dense, commercial and residential hub, centrally located in Astoria, Queens. |
| 8:25 AM | WM-T6-02 | ♦ Water | Pipebursting for Clean Water Rehabilitation - A European Perspective | Matthew Izzard, Tracto Technik UK | This presentation focusses on smaller diameter static pipe bursting used on clean water rehabilitation and gives examples of challenging projects undertaken in Europe. |
| 8:50 AM | WM-T6-03 | ♦ Water | The City of Boynton Beach, Florida Pilot Project for Encapsulation of Asbestos Cement Pipe Fragments | Edward Alan, Ambier, AM Trenchless; Todd Grafenauer, Murphy Pipeline Contractors | The City of Boynton Beach, Florida hired Murphy Pipeline Contractors, Inc. to perform an asbestos cement pipe bursting project that included a demonstration of encapsulation of the remaining pipe fragments. AM Trenchiess worked with Boynton Beach and Murphy Pipeline to document the efficiency of encapsulation of the pipe fragments. |
| 9:15 AM | WM-T6-05 | ≜ Water | Willamette Water Supply Program Successfully Completes Initial Trenchless Crossing | Brad Moore, Staheli Trenchless Consultants; Michael Humm, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants | The concept planned for the trenchless crossing included a 400-foot long pipe jacking segment estimated at more than \$1 million. To reduce project costs and risks, alignment options were further considered to reduce the trenchless crossing length to under 300 feet so that auger boring could be feasible. |
| 9.40 AM | WM-T6-05 | ♦ Water | Expanding the Toolbox Arlington utilizes in-house crews to replace high maintenance, asbestos cement mains | Jessie Allen. Arlington Water Utilities: John Rafferty, TRIC Tools, Inc. | Arlington Water Utilities teamed with TRIC Tools, Inc. to replace approximately 850 feet of existing 6-lnch AC water main with 8-lnch HDPE by pipe bursting methods. The use of in-house labor and tenchless construction methods results in significant cost savings, reduced customer impact, and increased productivity rates. |

Cupertino Sanitary District Monthly Maintenance Summary - January 2018

| SSOs - | | | - | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Start Date | Location | Cause of SSO | <u>Cat</u> | Main/Lat | SSO Volume (Gal) | SSO Recovered (Gal) |
| 1/4/2018 | 11177 PALOS VERDES DR | Broken Pipe | 3 | Sewer Lateral | 10 | 10 |
| 1/24/2018 | Tantau Ave MHT-238 - T-239 | Debris | 3 | Sewer Main | 18 | 18 |

| Emergency Calls | - Causes | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Call Recd Busines | ss Hours | # of Calls | Call Recd After Hours | # of Calls | Call Recd Weekend | # of Calls |
| Root Intrusion | | 2 | Root Intrusion | 2 | Grease | 1 |
| Others | | 1 | Total: | 2 | Root Intrusion | 2 |
| On-Site | | 2 | | | Total: | 3 |
| Broken Pipe | | 1 | | | | |
| · | Total: | 6 | | | | |

| Repairs | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| Address | Main/Lat De | scription of Work | |
| 11177 PALOS VERDES DR | Sewer Lateral | Emergency spot repair of 4' lateral + new P/L C/O | |
| Comer Dr | Sewer Main | Mainline spot repair Comer Dr 5693-2 - 5693-1 | |
| Sarahills Ct | Sewer Main | Mainline spot repair Sarahills Ct 3439-12 - 3439-2F | |

| Mainline Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|--------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| Size of Pipe | 4" | 6" | 8" | 10" | 12" | 14" | 15" | 16" | 18" | >20" | Total |
| Mainline Cleaning (ft) | 0 | 35,296 | 55,122 | 7,305 | 111 | 0 | 1,567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99,401 |
| Easement Cleaning (ft) | 0 | 3,258 | 5,861 | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,511 |
| CCTV (ft) | 0 | 8,398 | 8,852 | 411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,661 |

| Lateral Maintenance | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| | # of Laterals |
| Cleaning | 260 |
| ссти | 15 |
| Inspection | 23 |

FOG Inspection

Number Performed - 65

Completed - 59

Follow up needed - 6