

ORIGINAL INTENT

*How America Can Return to a
Constitutional Republic*

by

James Jaeger

First Draft Script

02 November 2007

Copyright 2007 by
Cornerstone/Matrixx Entertainment

FADE IN TITLE:

*We the People of the United States,
in order to form a more perfect
Union, establish Justice, insure
domestic Tranquility, provide for
the common defense, promote the
general Welfare, and secure the
Blessings of Liberty to ourselves
and our Posterity, do ordain and
establish this Constitution for the
United States of America.*

FADE OUT:

EXT. PUBLIC PLACES, STREETS, PARKS - DAY

Our busy Empire -- what's left of the republic Ben and his associates established -- hums along as people go their ways, oblivious to the erosion that surrounds them. Superimposed we can subtly see knots being tied, one after another, in a rope.

NARRATOR

How did it all start? Almost everyone has heard of the United States Constitution, but how many people who call themselves U.S. Citizens really know what the original intent of the Founders was? What necessities, what dreams, what intentions lead to this magnificent country, the United States of America? How did it all start? And how can so many go about their daily lives with not the slightest concern that it may not go on forever? What is it in human nature that causes people to take for granted the very fundamentals that are responsible for their happy state of affairs, fundamentals yet if removed, will cause that slow or quick decline experienced by so many once-strong nations throughout history? Let's talk to a few citizens and see how much they understand about the document Ben Franklin and the Founding Fathers created at the dawn of this nation.

EXT. VARIOUS LOCATIONS - DAY

An INTERVIEWER asks a question of some citizens coming and going.

INTERVIEWER

What is the U.S. Constitution?

CITIZEN 1

I don't know what it is ... but I have memorized the date it was written. 1787.

CITIZEN 2

It was a document letting King George know that we are fed up with his taxes and we're not taking it any more.

CITIZEN 3

The Constitution? I guess it's a document summarizing what we're supposed to be constituted of.

CITIZEN 4

I heard they don't use the Constitution any longer because the Supreme Court makes all the decisions now.

NARRATOR

These statements by everyday American citizens show that there is much Americans may have forgotten about their Constitution. Let's get out the one book no one likes to read any more, and have a look at the word constitute, as in the word constitution. The dictionary defines constitute as follows:

INT. TITLE OVER SHOT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

CAMERA scans the parched text of the Constitution as the definition of constitute superimposes:

TITLE:

Constitute, v. 1. (of elements, etc.) to compose; form. 2. to appoint to an office or function; make or create. 3. to set up or establish (laws, etc.); found (an institution, etc.). 4. to give legal form to (an assembly, court, etc.). to make up or form of elements, material, etc.; frame. to set or place; set up, establish.

NARRATOR

All of the definitions in this word point to what a Constitution is but four words are repeated two or three times. These words are *form*, *set*, *establish* and *make*. The words *found* and *frame* are also present, and these words closely parallel the spirit of the repeated words. But if this is what it means to *constitute* something, what is a *constitution*? Let's now take a look at the word *constitution*.

TITLE:

Constitution, n. 1. the way in which anything is constituted; make-up or composition. 2. the physical character of the body as to strength health, etc. 3. character or condition of mind; disposition; temperament. 4. act of constituting; establishment. 5. state of being constituted; formation. 6. any established arrangement or custom. 7. the system of fundamental principles according to which a nation, state corporation, etc., is governed. 8. the document embodying these principles. 9. Constitution of the United States, The, the fundamental or organic law of the U.S. framed in 1787 by the Constitutional Congress. It went into effect on March 4, 1789.

NARRATOR

Note that the most often expressed idea in both definitions is embodied in the word *establish*. Given this, it's safe to state that a constitution has something to do with establishing something. Let's ask Dr. Edwin Vieira, a constitutional lawyer with four degrees from Harvard University, what he feels the relationship between the word *establish* and the U.S. Constitution is.

INT. OFFICE OF DR. EDWIN VIEIRA - DAY

As Dr. Vieira humbly opens a copy of *Black's Law Dictionary*, he demonstrates what it actually looks like when a citizen looks up a word -- an apparently lost technology in today's movie/game-crazed society. CAMERA moves in on the text of the word "constitution" and superimposes various shots that serve to visualize the definition.

DR. VIEIRA

Okay, let's take a look at this slippery word, constitution and see if we can relate it to the word constitute and thus get a better understanding of what the U.S. Constitution is supposed to be as a legal instrument... Okay, here it is, Constitution. It says.

Dr. Vieira reads the definition of the word constitution as the words and images superimpose.

DR. VIEIRA

"The organic and fundamental law of a nation or state, which may be written or unwritten, establishing the character and conception of its government, laying the basic principles to which its internal life is to be conformed, organizing the government, and regulating, distributing, and limiting the functions of its different departments, and prescribing the extent and manner of the exercise of sovereign powers. A charter of government deriving its whole authority from the governed. The written instrument agreed upon by the people of the Union (e.g. United States Constitution) or of a particular state, as the absolute rule of action and decision for all departments (i.e. Branches) and officers of the government in respect to all the points covered by it, which must control until it shall be changed by the authority which established it (i.e.

by amendment), and in opposition to which any act or ordinance of any such department or office is null and void." I like this definition except for one little point. The part where it says that the Constitution "must control until it shall be changed by the authority which established it (i.e., by amendment)" The problem is with the word amendment. Good old Black's Law Dictionary isn't quite telling it like it is. The word "amendment" should be replaced by the word "People" (and the word People, I might add, should be capitalized because this is exactly how this word is structured in the original, hand-written Constitution itself). The PEOPLE are the "authority" which can CHANGE the Constitution. Amendments are just the instrument by which they effectuated this change. Amendments have no more "authority" than the authority granted by the Constitution itself. And, as we have already seen, *Blacks* does get it right when they say earlier in the definition that the Constitution is a charter "deriving its whole authority from the governed." And who are the governed? The governed are the People, you and I. We are the ones that have given our consent to BE governed. We, like kings past, have CHARTERED, granted, the existence of this fictitious entity we now have NAMED the United States of America. Thus the People, capital P, you and I, are the only AUTHORITY for the Amending process, the only process by which the Constitution can legally be changed. *We the People* are thus the AMENDING BODY ... not the Congress ... not the President ... not the Judges, Supreme Court or any part of the government ... nor any other government or people.

NARRATOR

Wow! I now feel I have my head screwed back on properly. So, if I get this right, NONE of these entities, the Congress, the Court or the President established the U.S. Government. We the People did ... or rather our ancestors did. They chartered the United States in the same way a state can charter a corporation ... grant it existence. And this makes sense, for you can't have that which was chartered amending *itself*. That would be like a baby trying to change its DNA *after* it was born. The People, through a document called the Constitution, gave birth to this IDEA, this thing, this ENTITY, we now call the United States of America. And this entity is MANAGED by a bunch of people we now call THE government. So it's important to recognize that THE government didn't give birth to itself, the government. THE People did ... we did, you and I did. The People that were our ancestors, ESTABLISHED the *form* of this entity called the United States and we breath life into that which was *established* to this very day. We established the form of this entity by drafting up and agreeing with a set of fundamental principals. These fundamental principals as to what we are *constituted* of were originally written down on paper and signed by the Founders, like Ben Franklin, Gouverneur Morris, Thomas Jefferson and Alexander Hamilton. THIS paper is known as the United States Constitution and it is through a meeting of the minds, as evidenced by the signed paper that the People thereafter DELEGATED to this entity the OKAY, the authority, to manage our affairs. In other words, to provide services A, B, C to the People. Thus the U.S. Government is nothing other than a huge service company.

It has no product. It just provides services that we the People need and want and we let it know what we need and want through our elected representatives. Thus the government is not autonomous, We the People control it by our intentions, as evidenced by our votes. We delegate to it the authority to manage our country in accordance with the principles *established, made and set forth* in the Constitution in order that those principles will help us form a *more perfect union* of us all. So, when THE government wanders from the Constitution, whose fault is it? When your Congress delegates its responsibilities to other entities and things crash ... whose fault is that? When judges take ideological sides, rather than strictly interpreting the Constitution ... whose fault is that? When your President fails to uphold his oath of office or abuses his powers ... whose fault is that?

EXT. OUTSIDE THE PUBLIC LIBRARY - DAY

A few people are wandering in and out of a library. Maybe these readers know.

INTERVIEWER

Excuse me, whose fault is it when the government over steps its boundaries?

CITIZEN 1

Don't blame me, I just live here.

CITIZEN 2

Please. I don't want to talk about politics right now, I have to get home to watch *Jerry Springer*.

CITIZEN 3

It's the Congresses fault. They're the ones that are supposed to make the laws around here.

CITIZEN 4

It's our fault. The People's fault. The People have written, signed and authorized a Constitution that established the form of the government and set forth the specific duties and responsibilities of the various posts ... such as the congressman, judges and the president. To the degree these people don't follow their job descriptions, as set forth in the Constitution, they are in violation of the highest law in the land and it's up to you and me to do something about it. So it's OUR FAULT when the government screws up or wanders.

NARRATOR

Wow! This citizen really knows his stuff. But let's ask an actual congressman if any of this passion is true. Let's ask a congressman he feels the Constitution is *still* supposed to be applied to the People's affairs ... even after 220 years.

INT. OFFICE OF CONGRESSMAN RON PAUL - DAY

Congressman Ron Paul, one of the nation's congressmen that does his best to follow the original intent of the Constitution, discourses on the application and purpose of the U.S. Constitution. CAMERA cuts away to various photographs and shots that help the audience visualize what he's saying.

RON PAUL

The United States Constitution creates an entity known as the United States of America. It's a document that sets forth the basic principles designed to guide the decision-making process for the establishment of all future U.S. laws.

This document embodies the *original intentions* of the Founders as to how they envisioned the powers of government should be distributed to the various branches of government yet placed under the control of the citizens, the People. This system is known as a *check and balance* system and is supposed to be a government of, by and for the People ... not a government of, by and for other entities, such as corporations or TV networks. The Constitution is the only means by which the People can limit the growth and powers of the government. The Constitution is *not* a document written entirely for the government to enforce law upon the People ... it's a document written for the People to enforce law upon the government. In other words, it's a law that *limits* the power of government over the People.

DISSOLVE TO:

INT. CLOSER ANGLE ON U.S. CONSTITUTION

As narrator continues below, we see endless shots of cop cars flying around corners with their obnoxious and ridiculous compliment of sirens and flashing red, white, blue, yellow, green and stroboscopic lights screaming out: I am no longer your friendly -- *limited* -- neighborhood policeman, I am a peacekeeper, a member of the Empire's gestapo. As narration winds down, CAMERA pulls back from a little kitten stuck in a tree to reveal yet another cop car challenging the "domestic tranquility" promised in the preamble of the U.S. Constitution.

NARRATOR

That's an interesting flip ... the Constitution is a document used to enforce LAW on government. It's a document designed to LIMIT government rather than just limit citizens, as laws usually do. Let's see what some of the People think of this?

EXT. VALLEY FORGE PARK - DAY

We approach a few citizens enjoying the grandeur of one of the Nation's finest national parks.

INTERVIEWER

Excuse me. As a citizen, do you feel you have a limited government as envisioned by the Constitution?

CITIZEN 5

Of all the countries in the world, we have the highest percentage of our citizens in jail. I would say it's the government that's limiting us in the name of justice.

CITIZEN 6

The Constitution places ME in control of the government?! Please, that outdated document couldn't put me in charge of my dog.

CITIZEN 7

Sure, I feel totally in control because I don't obey any laws made by the corporate lobby. Laws are made by people and for people. Any law made, or influenced by, a corporation or one of their lobbyists, is no law at all. I guess I'm just another criminal headed for jail.

CITIZEN 8

The Preamble lists the six reasons the Constitution was written. I feel that, in general, *We the People* and our elected officials are doing a good job, however, I also feel we have wandered from not only the letter of the Constitution, but the spirit of it as well. If we don't correct this, we may find that our nation gets into irreversible trouble, not only at home, but on the world scene. We are now the world's only superpower, and with great power comes great responsibility. That said, power should be a gentle thing.

Power is NOT military strength. It's not domination. People who think this are Neanderthals. Great power is the exercise of WISDOM for the greater good. And nowhere can you find more WISDOM than in the Constitution because this document embodies THOUSANDS of years of experience from hundreds of nations, states and empires that have all turned to dust. The Constitution thus provides the METHODOLOGY for AVOIDING the debacle of turning into DUST. Not only that, when Gouverneur Morris penned the final draft of the Constitution 220 years ago in a city just 23.7 miles from here ...

(points towards
Philadelphia)

... these People were in a more SERIOUS condition of emergency than we could ever imagine: the entire federation was in jeopardy of collapsing, THUS WE CAME CLOSE TO THE UNITED STATES NEVER EVEN EXISTING. The original intent of the Founders THEREFORE was to create a document that could not only handle THIS emergency, but ANY emergency the new nation might find itself confronted with in the future. Given this background, it's clear, no new departments, executive orders or court decisions need be created to replace or "modernize" the Constitution. The Constitution was BUILT for emergencies. All we need to do is APPLY the document we ALREADY have. It's thus a raid on the Blessings of Liberty when partisan or activist government officials, in their ignorance and/or arrogance, create new departments or laws to handle so-called national emergencies. This means any call for MARTIAL LAW at any time is completely unnecessary AND unconstitutional ... even if someone were to nuke one of our cities. The Constitution provides for everything we need to survive any catastrophe.

All we need is faith. Those who would by-pass the Constitution and look for solutions to handle emergencies with some OTHER methodology or newly-created powers are thus part of the problem, not part of the solution. You don't remove or clamp down on liberties during an emergency -- you promote and *emphasize* them. You DEFEND of course, but more importantly, you show your enemies who you really are and what the nation is really about. Eventually the emergency will turn to dust, not the nation.

NARRATOR

Wow! Now that citizen ought to run for President.

DISSOLVE TO:

INT. LIVING ROOM SOMEWHERE IN AMERICA - DAY

Three little boys, WARREN, PIERCE and JOEY, sit at a kitchen table writing on index cards as their MOTHER approaches.

MOTHER

What are you guys doing?

WARREN

We're writing down all the problems we're going to have to solve when we get older.

MOTHER

What problems?

JOEY

America's problems.

PIERCE

The world's problems.

MOTHER

Let's see.
(she grabs a pile of cards
and reads)

Loss of religious freedom, no values, drugs and alcohol addiction, crime, **media consolidation**, free speech violations, **NAFTA** and GATT, outsourcing of jobs, **erosion of the middle class**, wealth disparity, excessive prison population, **campaign finance reform, term limits**, \$9 trillion national debt, **excessive credit card debt**, foreclosures, bankruptcies, inflation, taxation at every transaction, **trade deficit**, loss of purchasing power, destruction of the dollar, outrageous annual military expenditures, military occupations, **perpetual war**, overgrown military industrial complex, **socialism**, stress, over-medicated society, excessive cost of medicine, skyrocketing insurance costs, **poor public school system**, over-priced college education, excessive executive salaries, pandemics, dumbed-down society, growing **illiteracy**, increasing toilet humor, sex and violence in the movies, **video game addiction**, profiteering from **cancer**, degraded culture, loss of important science projects, lack of innovation, cheap and dangerous products from China, loss of manufacturing base, **peak oil**, ever higher **cost of gasoline**, lack of space exploration, stagnation, irresponsible energy policies, alternative energy, **global warming**, a violent irritable world, religious fanaticism, asymmetric warfare, mental illness, school shootings, antagonistic countries, bad foreign relations, excessive **gun control**, excessive financial aid to other countries, perpetual war, illusion of national security, loss of **civil rights**, invasion of privacy, oversized government, rogue politicians, tyranny, **over-weight population**, under-nourished population, **bankrupt social security system**, meteor impacts, nuclear proliferation, **gamma ray bursts**,

stagnant civilization, **the end of the world.**

(looks up in shock)
How could you boys possibly know about all these horrible things?

JOEY

Oh we know about them, unfortunately.

PIERCE

And don't forget killer bees.

WARREN

Dad explained all this stuff to me because it's all we hear on TV anyway ... even though we try to turn the channels as the Hollywood apologists say ... you can't avoid it.

PIERCE

We may not understand *all* these problems, but we know we are supposed to solve them ... *if* we grow up.

JOEY

At least that's what they say on TV.

WARREN

(mimicing TV and the news)
What about the future, how will your children live? You're just piling up debt for your children, blah, blah, blah.

PIERCE

Hell, I'm not paying any off of that debt. I didn't make it.

JOEY

Pierce is right. In fact, we're getting off this planet as soon as possible.

WARREN

I'm going to run for President when I'm 34.

PIERCE

You have to be 35 dummy.

WARREN

Well I will be, by the time my campaign is over.

PIERCE

Good planning.

WARREN

So the first thing I'm going to do is set a national goal to fly a four man team to Mars and return two of them safely to Earth. Then I'm going to continue to send four more, but only return two each time until we have a whole colony up there that finds water. As soon as we find water, I'm going to step-up the exploration and settlement until we literally establish a New World. The idiots and morons here are ruining this one ... so we're just going to start a new one.

PIERCE

And then I'm going to be President of Mars.

MOTHER

Well those are wonderful aspirations boys. But the one thing you should remember is this: take a copy of the U.S. Constitution with you. This is one of the only things of value the Earth has to offer a new world.

PIERCE

We won't forget it ... will we Joey.

JOEY

Nope. But I want to be Vice-President ... of the Universe.

SLOW DISSOLVE:

EXT. STAR FIELD, GALAXIES, DEEP SPACE SHOTS - DAY/NIGHT

As posterity deliberates the future, CAMERA scans the universe while narration continues below.

NARRATOR

Can one blame them? When the Founding Fathers ratified the Constitution their intention was for this document to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for a common defense, promote the GENERAL WELFARE, and secure the blessings of liberty, not only for themselves, but for posterity, meaning their children. Are we doing this? Or are things so bad our children would rather move to other worlds? Let's take a look at the issues confronting our country and the world and see how an application of the Constitution might be able to undo some of the knots.

INT. OFFICE OF PASTOR LILLBACK - DAY

As Pastor Lillback speaks, we see images of ships arriving in America, we see people, such as Bruno, being burned at the stake, we see the first Continental Congress in prayer and we see the Constitutional Congress in prayer. We see excerpts of the word Providence and the word God all over the Constitution as well as other documents. We see Jefferson's letter using the infamous and misleading phrase (separation of church and state) and we see shots of ACLU and the Ninth Circuit Court waving this letter as if it, or they, had any authority. Superimposed over these images we begin to see kids running from Columbine High and Virginia Tech, gunshots all over. The rope continues to knot up.

PASTOR LILLBACK

It's clear, the record shows that the men who founded this nation were religious. George Washington states in his diary, "Bless, O' Lord, all the people of this land ... direct my thoughts and work ..."

and daily frame me more and more unto the likeness of Thy Son, Jesus Christ." James Madison, an instrumental member of the Constitutional Convention, speaking more than anyone else other than Gouverneur Morris, wrote: "Religion is the basis and Foundation of Government."

Many people, like the Founding Fathers, came to America because they wanted to escape religious persecution. Certainly this was the motive of the earliest settlers, such as the Quakers and Pilgrims. It's also clear that the Constitution itself makes numerous references to God and Providence and, in fact, the very first session of the Continental Congress in 1774 was started with Psalm 35 from the Bible. In similar vein, Ben Franklin delivered a Christian oration stating, "... the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see that God governs in the affairs of men!" Are these the words of a so-called deist, as some have tried to characterize Franklin as?

But why is this relevant today especially if it's true that the Constitution states in the First Amendment that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof"? What does this mean? Does this mean that there is supposed to be a "separation of church and state," a phrase commonly attributed to the Constitution when nowhere does anything of the sort appear? The First Amendment, according to the original intent of the Framers means that the government that was established under the Constitution is not to FAVOR any specific religion BUT at the same time, *that* government is in no way supposed to PROHIBIT the free exercise of any specific religion or religious practice.

The First Amendment therefore does NOT say, or even IMPLY, any so-called WALL OF SEPARATION between church and state. There *is* no wall. None. Those who are promoting this wall idea don't know their Constitution. Neither the Founders, nor the Constitution, in any way, intended to foster an antagonistic relationship between the church and the state. Unfortunately, groups like the ACLU and activist judges are preying on a semi-literate citizenry in an attempt to erode the truth about our religious heritage. They are also attempting to foster a grossly incorrect interpretation of the Constitution. Part of this heritage is the fact that most of the people that lived in the 13 original colonies, and who established the United States through the Constitution -- were Christians. This is simply an inescapable, empirical fact. The People that started this country were Christians. The United States is, in essence, a *Christian* experiment. Judeo-Christian doctrine was specifically used to guide the formulation of, not only the Constitution, but every subsequent law that proceeded from that Constitution. So let's give credit where credit is due folks. We are a nation where the spirit of Christianity pervades our constitution, laws and attitudes. We are a nation of 300 million people who, for the most part have chosen to *sustain* Christianity as their religious preference. And one of the reasons for this is because all of us have RELIGIOUS FREEDOM guaranteed by the First Amendment. This means you are FREE to bow out any time you want. You don't have to be a Christian. The Founders were wise enough to understand that you simply cannot force religion, or beliefs, on a human being.

People have to arrive at these understandings on their own volition. So what this amounts to is this: may the best religion win. The Founders set up a system whereby the wisest religion can be in a position to proliferate. Isn't this what FREE ENTERPRISE is all about only applied to religion? So, again, here we are today with almost 300 million People and over 80% of them have freely chosen Christianity. This dismays some, because they think Christians, or the government, are trying to force their religion on them. This is simply not true because it would violate the First Amendment of the Constitution promising religious freedom. Unfortunately, this paranoia has caused some of these folks to attempt to obfuscate our heritage by removing Christian displays and practice from the public square. This is a big mistake because removing prayer from public schools and the *Ten Commandments* from the steps of the court house for instance, are breeding a society that has few, if any, values.

INT. MONTAGE OF VARIOUS REFERENCES - DAY

As Narrator continues we see a citizen pull out a copy of *Blacks*, a copy of *American College* and a copy of the *Living Webster*. He slops them down on a table, opens a sandwich and turns on the TV. A shot of Michael Douglas in the movie GREED appears.

NARRATOR

Values. There's that word again. Like "inflation" or "loss of purchasing power," words and phrases we have heard endlessly ... but do we REALLY know what the word "values" means? Some dictionaries, such as the *American College Dictionary*, and the *Living Webster Encyclopedic Dictionary of the English Language* don't even HAVE the word in them.

Blacks Law Dictionary doesn't have the word values in it either, but it goes on and on for the word *value*, describing how to value things in terms of money and equity. So is this the society we now live in? One where the very word that should be taught in public schools isn't even in the dictionary, but its singular version is plastered all over, as if to imply, like Michael Douglas in the movie, that greed is good. *Random House Webster*, likewise doesn't have the word "values" in it either ... however, buried in the definition of *VALUE*, singular, we find *this* definition of values: *the abstract concepts of what is right or worthwhile*. Worthwhile?! I thought the phrase was right and wrong? I guess it's now become politically incorrect for us as a society to even *utter* the phrase right and *wrong*. The declaration of right and wrong imply absolutes. As a well-known American philosopher once observed in the 1950s, *absolutes do not exist in any universe*. Interestingly, society seems to have recently adopted a similar system of relative values, a rationalization that aligns with Einstein's theory of relativity, the idea that everything is relative. Well maybe this is true for the physical universe, but what about the non-physical universe? After all, when your government-trained killer aims his gun at other country's government-trained killer in a so-called WAR -- another name for government-sanctioned mass murder -- each killer believes HE is RIGHT and the other guy is WRONG. Each has been indoctrinated by his country's respective *VALUES*. Were these two government-sanctioned killers born in opposite countries, they would have opposite values.

Thus values seem to be relative to the luck of birth -- what country you happen to be born in or what religion you happened to be raised under. Is this rational? Are values relative or are they absolute? The way this question is answered determines how much war a nation will tolerate. But if war is tolerated, then many people will get rich, for the two industries that generate the most money are the KILLING industry and the HEALING industry. First you HURT them and then you HEAL them. The military-industrial-banking complex and the health care industry are the two industries that are in a position to EXTORT the most money out of Society. Thus all politics comes down to a matter of how and where people allocate money, and the allocation of money is what determines who becomes rich and who stays poor.

Were it not possible to indoctrinate young men and women with RELATIVE values, rather than ABSOLUTE values, war would no longer be as possible. And if war were no longer as possible, a lot of people ... especially bankers, military contractors and the health care industry ... would lose trillions of dollars in revenue. So, a primary task of today's corporate-sponsored governments is to make sure people believe in WAR more than they believe in GOD ... otherwise the war-system falls apart. If absolutes of right and wrong ... such as those promulgated in documents like *The Ten Commandments* ... were to pervade all societies, it would make it *much* more difficult for governments to recruit young boys to murder other young boys in wars. In this case, values instill ideas into people like: WAR IS WRONG ...

because when the VALUE of *Thou Shall Not murder* is taken as an absolute -- rather than as a *relative* -- it means that it's literally NOT okay to kill anyone, at any time, NOT EVEN IN WAR ... no matter what country one happens to be born into. People would thus tend to seek other solutions to problems. The "solution" of war, as "justified" by a country's ideology would be seen to be outdated ... and the men who make money off of wars would be seen as the true enemies of humanity.

INT. OFFICE OF BILL VAN ALEN - DAY

As Mr. Van Alen speaks, we see shots of small children playing on playgrounds and in swimming pool, oblivious to the future us adults have been preparing for them. We see thugs with ACLU T-Shirts removing the *Ten Commandments* from the West Chester Court House, we see endless shots of war, ancient Rome and the barbarians found on TV, all intercut with shots of the *Jerry Springer Show* and endless shots of people on other TV shows hitting each other and shooting guns at each other. All this domestic violence we see in conjunction with the foreign chaos all over the Middle East and in Iraq. We see graphs of the military budget going up, up, up, yet the world's peace is going down, down, down. We see people standing in gasoline lines looking at signs saying \$3.07 per gallon, and we see postage stamps going from 5-cents to 41-cents as the Federal Reserve System erodes the purchasing power of the dollar. We see shots of banks and gasoline stations on almost every major street corner and shots of pharmaceutical companies selling their drugs to a SICKO America on almost every major TV station. We see shots from Robin Leach's show flaunting the rich and famous and we see Hollywood in its daily routine selling sex, violence and peeks at the frivolous and meaningless lives of celebrities.

BILL VAN ALEN

The problem with de-emphasizing values in a society is you don't provide any sort of a framework by which people can PRIORITIZE their actions. If children, especially, are not introduced to a system of values, they will have no criteria upon which they will be able to prioritize the future activities of their lives. This leads to a chaotic and hostile society.

Prior to the period in history where the *Ten Commandments* were introduced, society had the same problem we have today. Chaos, hostility, murder, theft and every negative human action or emotion were rampant because they were virtually undifferentiated. The only way rulers could suppress these negative actions was through more suppressive violence. Thus you had cruel empires such as the Roman Empires emerge. People, especially the youth, didn't understand HOW to VALUE some action, thought or emotion in relationship to what will advance society on a peaceful trajectory, rather than constantly destroy it with acts of chaos and revenge. The entire Middle East is currently dramatizing this cycle of chaos and revenge because they have no workable, or agreed upon, system of values. People in such societies do virtually whatever they want because they are desperate to be "free." But the Founders were wise enough to realize that just FREEDOM is not the answer. The U.S. Constitution does NOT promote FREEDOM, it promotes LIBERTY. There is a big difference between freedom and liberty. Liberty is the freedom governments guarantee to citizens so long as they are responsible. Thus liberty is freedom WITH responsibility ... freedom modified by VALUES. The Constitution, and the Judeo-Christian heritage that inspired it, suggest that a nation of, by and for the People needs to be a nation that is more than simply free, it needs to be able to exercise its freedom with care and responsibility -- again, with a firm understanding of values. Values, therefore help one prioritize and differentiate.

If one can't differentiate between wise and foolish courses of action, they won't act like a very civilized citizen, and a nation full of such citizens will be barbaric. They may be totally FREE, but they will also be short-lived in addition to barbaric. And of course, many civilizations prior to the establishment of the United States WERE barbaric. That's why the American Experiment has been so important and that's why the rudiments that made it happen *must* be preserved or amended in a Constitutional way. Thus, applying and defending the Christian influences on the Constitution are, in essence, a matter of survival, for if these factors are dropped out, you get a cruel, chaotic and revengeful society. Because such a society has no way of setting priorities, it occupies its time with pleasure-seeking hedonism, material acquisition and violence-oriented pastimes. Welcome to today's United States, a country, as RON PAUL says, has lost its way.

EXT. PUBLIC LIBRARY - DAY

We approach a few citizens on their way into a library. Unlike so many, these are people who have not forgotten the enjoyment and benefits of reading books. We ask each of them a question.

INTERVIEWER

If religious freedom, as expressed in the First Amendment, were removed from the Constitution, what do you think would happen to values in society?

CITIZEN 9

Nothing.

CITIZEN 10

The guarantee of religious freedom provides citizens with the opportunity to explore values by which they want to live their lives.

CITIZEN 11

The Second Amendment says that the government can't make any law that RESPECTS an establishment of religion. This perfectly explains the attitude of government towards churches: inhibited respect. And why is this? Well, government's number one competitor for POWER is, of course, religion. People either obey laws from GOD or the GOV. This competition has been with us for millennia. What the Constitution should have said was that Congress will make no law that DISRESPECTS an establishment of religion or that prohibits the free exercise thereof, however, had they said this, they would have been giving TOO much power to their competitor and it never would have flown with the more secular people in society. What they actually meant was a law that FAVORS any particular religion. But no matter how you word it, this is a tricky subject because it deals with the primary balance of power on any given planet.

CITIZEN 12

When you bring up a generation of children with no introduction of religion in the public schools, you bring up a generation of hooligans with no values other than what they learn from TV and the movies.

NARRATOR

Wow, welcome to today's world, a situation that started in 1962 with a Supreme Court case known as *Engle v. Vitale*.

In this case, the People unwittingly allowed their government to violate the First Amendment which states QUOTE: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, OR prohibiting the free exercise there of." END QUOTE. From this, it's clear: the Founders' original intent was for the government to take a neutral position with respect to religion. Government was not to FAVOR anyone's religion or PROHIBIT anyone's religion. When *Engle*, and others, such as *Abington Township v. Schempp* and *Stone v. Graham*, progressively outlawed both prayer and the *Ten Commandments* from the schools between 1962 and 1980, the Supreme Court had not only *misinterpreted* the Constitution -- it seriously undermined the very IDEA of values in Society. Consequently, today, millions of American children are never introduced to values, especially children coming from broken homes. These children are never even *presented* with the option of *considering* the Sixth Saying: "Thou shall not kill." Is it any wonder there continues to be so much anti-social behavior on school campuses, behavior choreographed by the endless parade of Hollywood movies greenlit by secular, white, male executives of European heritage, as John Cones finds in the most extensive study of Hollywood ever done. And why are so many children coming from broken homes? Could it be because so many children, now "parents" themselves, are CLUELESS about Sayings 10 and 7 ... the idea that you're not supposed to covet or have sex with your neighbor's wife.

One of the key reasons people flocked to the New World was to practice the religion of their choice without government *prohibition*.

Since 1980, when *Stone v. Graham* culminated in the removal of the *Ten Commandments* from the public school, we, as a Society, have allowed an activist Supreme Court to progressively violate the *intent* of the Founders. We have allowed certain secular interests to compromise, if not systematically eradicate, VALUES from Society in the name of diversity and equality. Abetted by incessant indoctrination from the Saying Seven-challenged Hollywood motion picture industry; the Saying Ten-challenged corporate media, and crops of Saying Nine-challenged PhDs, Juris Doctorates and MDs in Academia, it is now so-called *politically correct* to treat religion as if it were a disease and its practitioners as lepers. Again, the Founders wanted government to be neutral on the issue of religion; to allow one to *freely* associate with *any* religion ... or *none* at all.

Since so much of U.S. law was built upon Judeo-Christian principles, there is nothing *overly respectful* about allowing the *Ten Commandments* to be posted outside court houses. Thus an attempt to justify abolishment of the *Commandments* with the so-called "separation of church and state" logline, is a blatant violation of the original intent of the Founding Fathers. No such phrase or concept exists, nor could exist, in the Constitution for the very word, "separation," implies "prohibition" of religion ... and, as we have already seen, the First Amendment clearly states that, QUOTE, Congress shall make no law ... PROHIBITING the free exercise of ... religion.

Given this fact, the ACT of forbidding the practice of religious prayer in public schools is a blatant violation of the Constitution because this act respects the "religion" of *Secularism*, and prohibits the religion of *Christianity*.

INT. OFFICE OF JOE TAX PAYER - DAY

JOE is busy reading his tax return, filling out his 1030 EZ form. He fills out numbers as he flips from page 13 to page 33 and then back to page 7. As he follows the IRS's torturous program, a program that only geniuses can navigate, Joe reaches his limit. He gets up from his desk, picks up his printer and smashes it on the floor and then throws pieces of it out the window.

NARRATOR

We now have a monstrous tax system that taxes citizens far more than the citizens of the Boston Tea Party days. We are taxed on every conceivable transaction. We are even double taxed on some transactions. Some say we are also illegally taxed for earned income. Our taxes go to servicing the \$9 trillion current debt for an empire that now requires 50% of its citizens to labor under it as local, state or federal employees. Clearly this is a violation of the very spirit of the original intent of the Founders.

We have allowed corporations to raise and spend money on behalf of politicians that run for, and establish themselves in, high government offices. Since corporations are technically creations of the state, we have, in essence, allowed the state to fund itself. Thus we now have entrenched politicians that do little to effectuate the will of the People.

These politicians make or facilitate endless laws that help multi-national corporations generate ever greater stockholder profits. These actions do not promote the *general welfare* of the country because stockholders comprise less than 10% of the People.

EXT. VARIOUS BUILDINGS AROUND WASHINGTON DC - DAY

As narrator continues below, we see shots of Congressmen in session, sessions where most of the seats are vacant. We see a close up of the 22nd Amendment and clips of people getting tossed out of bars by bouncers; we see shots of a network with each node being a Congressman; we see two people playing tennis, batting a ball back and forth to each other. As they play, the ball turns into a wad of 100 dollar bills. We tilt down from a tall glass skyscraper to a flow of congressman going through a revolving door.

NARRATOR

Because we have allowed politicians to remain in congressional offices for way longer than envisioned by the Founders, the government has become unresponsive, out of touch with the People and increasingly stagnant. The original intent of the Founders was *not* that Congressmen make a career out of serving in government. It was that they should come, serve and leave. In 1951 the Twenty-Second Amendment made it illegal for any person to be elected President more than twice. Why shouldn't this policy be applied to Congressmen? Because term limits have *not* been established for Congress, certain congressmen have become entrenched in government. An entrenched, career-congressman is able to establish a self-serving social network. A social network allows a congressman the opportunity to minimize risks when he practices vote swapping (a form of COLLUSION);

maximize efficiency when he accepts corporate campaign contributions (a form of BRIBERY) and escape detection when he earmarks budgets for pet projects (a form of FRAUD). Thus an entrenched Congress -- cast into only two political parties that bat power back and forth to each other -- usurps power from the People and places it into the hands of corporate lobbyists. *Collusion, bribery and fraud* are not practices the Founders envisioned for a "more perfect union."

INT. OUTSIDE THE US CAPITOL BUILDING - DAY

Congressman and Presidential candidate, Ron Paul, stands on the steps of the Capitol building as he informs us about some more of the liabilities of failing to follow the Constitution.

RON PAUL

If we stuck to the Constitution as envisioned and written by the Founders, we would have: no federal meddling in our schools; no Federal Reserve; no U.S. Membership in the UN; no gun control; and no foreign aid. We would have no welfare for big corporations, or the "poor"; no American troops in 100 foreign countries; no NAFTA, GATT, or "fast-track"; no arrogant federal judges usurping states rights; no attacks on private property; no income tax. We could get rid of most of the cabinet departments, most of the agencies, and most of the budget. The government would be small, frugal, and limited.

But we don't have that today. Fiat money -- money that's created through the Federal Reserve System -- has been debt-financing the growth of government for decades. Add to this endless campaign money that comes in from corporate lobbyists and you have a government that no longer serves the interests of the People.

It's become a government that serves the interests of multinational corporations. The Constitution was written for People, not corporations. Corporations are artificial entities created by the government. When you think about it, the government is serving entities it creates -- in essence making this a self-serving government. This was not the original intent of the Founders, a government that serves corporate interests. This is an abuse of power because it allows the government to in effect self-fund and self-perpetuate beyond the control of the People. Is it any wonder the national debt is now an unprecedented \$9 trillion with as much as \$45 in long-term liabilities? This is where a failure to follow the Constitution has brought us -- a government meddling in our schools; money that's not redeemable in gold or silver; loss of sovereignty to world agreements and governing bodies, such as the UN, the WTO, GATT, NAFTA; infringement on our right to bear arms; endless debts for foreign aid the maintenance of having our troops in over 100 countries; arrogant federal judges that usurp states rights; attacks on private property; income tax and many unnecessary cabinet departments and agencies. All of this consumes almost \$3 trillion dollars a year from our gross domestic product of \$13 trillion. Where does it stop? When the government consumes 100% of our production?

INT. DOLLARS BEING DESTROYED - NIGHT

As Narrator speaks we see a 100 dollar bill burning in super slow motion. We see non-silver quarters and non-silver half dollar coins bouncing off a table in slow motion, their tingy cheap sound reverberating obnoxiously.

NARRATOR

Article I, Section 8 gives Congress the power and responsibility to provide for the "general Welfare" of the nation. So important is the idea of GENERAL WELFARE, the Constitution states it in the Preamble *and* in Article I, Section 8. Nowhere in the Constitution is the idea of *corporate* WELFARE, or *special interest* WELFARE, or *congressman* WELFARE mentioned. It's GENERAL welfare, meaning: the welfare of the People IN GENERAL ... not the welfare of the RICH or even the welfare of the POOR. Where does it say the WELFARE of the rich and poor are supposed to be *provided* for in the Constitution? Nowhere. The GENERAL welfare means those in general ... those citizens that fall within the *middle* of the spectrum of society; the average person. In statistical terms, this is the BELL of the BELL-shaped curve ... not the extremes of the bell-shaped curve, i.e., the rich and the poor ... it's the MIDDLE of the BELL-shaped curve. So right here, by this important term, GENERAL WELFARE, we know the original intent of the Constitution is to *define* a government that serves the interest of the *general* population ... the *middle* of the bell-shaped curve, now known as the MIDDLE CLASS.

Given this mandate, we can now see that we have wandered far and wide from the original intent of the Founding Fathers. As a result, our wayfaring government has been converting us from a modest REPUBLIC into a SOCIALIST EMPIRE ... a nation that has not only run itself into insane debt attempting to provide for the POOR, but a nation that has driven itself to insane greed attempting to provide for the RICH.

In short, we no longer have a government that is providing for the GENERAL welfare ... those neither RICH or POOR.

So if the original intent of the Constitution is to provide for the general welfare, why are we waging WAR ON THE MIDDLE CLASS as Lou Dobbs describes in this book of same title? Why are more and more people *migrating* from the ranks of the middle class into the ranks of the poor while the rich are foreclosing on or stealing the assets of those migrants? Why is the middle class eroding? Why are the rich getting richer and the poor getting poorer. Why does it now take a two income family just to keep up with the mortgage and car payments? Why are the middle class the least well-served in Washington? Why have manufacturing jobs in the U.S. gone down from 50 percent to 14 percent? Why has GENERAL family income risen only 18% over the past 25 years, yet GENERAL family income of the top 1% has gone up by 200% in the same period?

INT. OFFICE OF LOU DOBBS - DAY

We cut to author Lou Dobb's office where he's reviewing some statistics on the erosion of the middle class and reading from his new book, *WAR ON THE MIDDLE CLASS - How the Government, Big Business, and Special Interest Groups Are Waging War on the American Dream and How to Fight Back.*

LOU DOBBS

It is members of the business elite and the new upper class that pose the greatest danger to our American way of life. They are the ones who've bought and paid for members of both political parties, and who pursue so-called free trade policies for the benefit of US multi nationals that remain uncompetitive in the global marketplace.

They have put American middle-class workers in direct competition with the world's poorest workers. And they have the temerity to blame America's lack of competitiveness on the poor education of working Americans while having done nothing to improve our educational system. In fact, their greed in pressing for tax cuts has exacerbated the decline of our public schools.

Instead of leadership from big business on the nation's paramount need to address the decline in public education, they have offered only inaction and neglect. There was a time when we could count on the great leaders of business for philanthropy, for seeing to the needs and well-being of the American people. George Peabody established schools and public libraries; Andrew Carnegie funded schools and public libraries; Milton Hershey built schools and roads. Today we are confronted by a business establishment that is far more concerned with big compensation packages, restricted stock options, and claiming a share of the global marketplace. And while the pay of CEOs skyrockets, the average worker's wages stagnate.

INT. SHOTS OF FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES - DAY

As narrator speaks we see close ups of Federal Reserve Notes and gold coins, also known to the Constitution as "bills of credit" and "money."

NARRATOR

Yes, people are very concerned about their wages and money, so what could be more relevant than Article I, Section 8 which says that Congress shall have power to coin Money and regulate its value.

Section 10 then goes on to say that no state shall emit Bills of Credit or make any THING but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts. This means that only gold and silver, when COINED, are MONEY, meaning that Congress is charged with the responsibility to run a quality control check on all weights and measures in connection with the value of money. Most people don't realize that a dollar is a unit of weight, no different that an ounce, a pound or a ton. Thus a dollar is defined as .77 Ounces of pure silver. Congresses job is therefor to maintain the VALUE of money in terms of dollars of silver -- it is NOT Congresses job to set interest rates or in any way tamper with the value of money as set by the free market. Some misinterpret the original intent of the Founders when interpreting this clause in the Constitution. So Congress values the money ... that's WHY it's coined and specified as to weight. Money must be made of gold or silver COINS, not paper. Where in the constitution does it say PAPER, or BEADS or LEAVES can be used as money? Bills of Credit are PAPER, and accordingly the Constitution clearly forbids the states from "emitting" them. Further, where in the Constitution does it say that Congress can delegate its power to create, value or manage the People's MONEY to some other entity? Nowhere, yet this is exactly what Congress did. It delegated its power to create, value and manage the People's money to a bunch of elite New York bankers who secretly got together in 1910 and wrote a banking law that would establish a private banking cartel now known as the Federal Reserve System.

As a consequence, this cartel has continued to configure the laws to optimize its access to limitless amounts of interest payments, both through what's known as "fractional reserve banking" and through the unconstitutional practice of using PAPER IOUs instead of GOLD and SILVER as money. This practice of "monetizing debt" has now driven our country into debt to the tune of \$9 trillion with no hope of repayment. The Congress of 1913 that allowed this to happen, and the Congress of today that looks the other way while participating with this cartel, are both consequences of an American People that seem to have forgotten the original intent of their Constitution, to put it mildly.

EXT. MONTAGE OF VARIOUS REFERENCES

As Narrator continues we see the Federal Reserve System. A bird lands on one of the gates that keep the public out. It is immediately shot. We cut to a shot of the Oval Office. The president is out. We cut to a shot of Congress. Congress is out. We cut a shot of the Supreme Court. No one in session.

NARRATOR

Like the Federal Reserve System, the Congress has been further distorting the letter of its Constitutional responsibilities by delegating its power to declare war to another entity, in this case the President. Article I, Section 8 clearly states: Congress shall have Power to declare War; to raise and support Armies; to provide and maintain a Navy; to make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces; to provide for *calling forth* the Militia.

Note, Section 8 does NOT give any of these powers to the President, nor does Section 8, or any other clause in the Constitution, allow for the Congress to DELEGATE its powers under Article I, Section 8. Therefore, upon what authority does Congress assume it is okay for it to delegate the management of the money supply to a private BANKING cartel. Where does Congress get authority to delegate the responsibility to declare war to the President? Not in the Constitution. These delegations sound more like an evasion of responsibility for political expediency than the original intent of the Founders.

It is clear, by failing to follow the original intent of the Constitution, *We the People* have allowed the rouge politicians that now infest Congress, the Supreme Court and the Executive to involve us in endless wars, hence endless debt. We spend endless amounts of money into the military industrial complex which Eisenhower warned us about yet all we seem to get in return is perpetual war, endless debt and deeper stagnation. We have lost our way as Ron Paul says. The military and banking beasts we have allowed to flourish and prosper are eating up money we could be placing towards rejuvenating the school system; maintaining bridges, roads and levies; developing clean SOLAR and FUSION energy technologies; allowing savings and capital formation to reduce the costs of products; and providing better paying jobs for the middle class. The money that is being preempted by the military-industrial-banking complex could be going to improving the health care system in the country by providing for the advancement of medical technology and promoting preventive practices.

When we wander from the
Constitution there is a serious
price to pay.

INT. LONG-TERM FOREIGN POLICIES - DAY/NIGHT

Shots of all the wars all over the world review as CAMERA pans over the words of Jefferson and the other Founding Fathers. We see military bases all over foreign countries and we see Eisenhower warning us about the military-industrial complex.

NARRATOR

At our peril, we ignore the advice give to us by Jefferson and Washington when they warned us about getting involved in entangling relations around the world. We are giving billions away in foreign aid to governments that are involved in conflicts and when we do this it involves us in their conflict. Because of the conflicts we have become entangled in, we now have over 800 military bases and out posts stationed in over 130 countries around the world. To maintain this infrastructure, we have to spend over \$440 billion a year. Our government has gone from a republic to a global empire far larger and far more ominous than the Roman Empire. In an attempt to optimize the world stage for capitalism and democracy, we have, over the past 50 years, resorted to both overt and covert operations. Many of our actions and foreign policies have been wanted and beneficial and delivered in a good American spirit, however we have also overtly and covertly allowed our government to do some nasty and selfish things as Jon Baker explains in his article, *BLOWBACK: The Cost and Consequences of American Foreign Policy*. We have, in the past, assassinated heads of state and supported questionable rulers, rulers that have turned against us such as Saddam Hussein and Osama bin Laden.

The mere fact that we are involved in so many entangling relationships the world over makes it inevitable that we will cause antagonism. Such antagonism has precipitated blowback in the form of attacks on our embassies, ships and buildings. September 11th, 2001 was the most dramatic example of blowback the US has ever seen.

When Ben Franklin left the Continental Congress that wrote the Constitution in 1787 he was asked by a passing citizen, "Ben, what kind of government did you form?" Ben replied, "A republic," and then added "...if you can keep it." Well we didn't keep it, as Ben had hoped.

We the People ordained and established the Constitution of the United States of America in order to INSURE domestic tranquility, promote the general Welfare and secure the blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity. By projecting our military all over the world, we are going into excessive debt that will fall upon our Posterity. By projecting our military all over the world in order to secure oil rather than the blessings of liberty, we are causing antagonism that is DESTROYING domestic tranquility. When the twin towers in New York came down on 9/11, that was anything but domestic tranquility nor was it promoting the general Welfare. In short, by allowing our elected officials to fail to follow the Constitution, we are causing all these bad things to happen to ourselves. Oh, it's easy to blame it all on others, but as Harry Truman used to say, the buck stops here. The buck stops here with the People and with the Constitution. We have no one to blame for our problems than ourselves.

But the good news is this: If we simply get back to APPLYING Constitutional principles more closely, our problems will resolve and anyone who doesn't believe this is far from being an American.

EXT. PENTAGON GETTING HIT BY BIN LADEN FORCES - DAY/NIGHT

Even though the U.S. spends as much as the entire world combined for security, it's no match for a bunch of determined religious zealots that live in caves in Afganistan.

NARRATOR

Because we have our military spread all over the world, we hardly have the resources to protect or secure our own borders. Over 12 million people have illegally invaded our country from the south and now reside in our country illegally. These people preempt the jobs that should first go to legitimate citizens. Illegal immigration distorts the demographics of the nation. It gives the Democrats an unfair political advantage and the Republican businessmen an unfairly paid work force advantage. Both political parties are to blame for the immigration crises because the one gets voters and the other gets cheap workers. But in the end the GENERAL WELFARE of the nation is sacrificed. National security is part of the general welfare, but this is so poor that 19 Saudis were able to hijack jets and even fly one of them into the very heart of what's supposed to be the strongest military power in the world. Obviously the trillions of dollars *We the People* have allowed the military-industrial-banking complex to extort from us over the past decades has been, to a great degree, wasted.

EXT. FOSSIL FUEL FACTORIES EVERYWHERE - DAY

As narrator talks we see every kind of machine imaginable burning fossil fuels. These machines are the crutches of humanity, the destroyers of worlds left unchecked.

NARRATOR

Because large corporate interests have taken over the government we are behind in the development of alternative energy. We now have the problem of global warming upon our shoulders and the entire planet is in serious jeopardy. Plasma fusion and solar energy are the only long-term solutions to the energy problem for reasons pointed out in detail in Robert Zubrin's book, *Entering Space*. The government has been derelict in properly shepherding resources to create alternative energy and has thus placed us all in serious jeopardy. Energy is a commodity that is needed by us all. The Constitution calls for the government to promote the GENERAL WELFARE. This means the government is charged with the responsibility of actively developing and deploying the best energy alternatives for the People. If it is not doing this job, it is not providing for the GENERAL WELFARE of the People and is therefore in a condition of TREASON with respect to the People. If global warming becomes irreversible and lethal, every single politician that was in office during the time he or she was warned about climate change will find themselves in a condition of enemy with respect to Humankind. Such officers, by failing to provide for clean alternative energy have failed to provide for the general welfare of the People. By failing to provide for the general welfare, they have failed to uphold their oath of office to protect follow the advice of the Constitution.

INT. GUY SITTING ON A TOILET - NIGHT

A U.S. Citizen sits on a toilet yet the CAMERA is there.
What ever happened to the privacy guaranteed by the
Constitution?

NARRATOR

Our current government demands the right to cry national security anytime it deems it "reasonable" to hide what *it's* doing, hide its papers and effects or where it's spending *our* trillions of dollars, yet, at the same time, it is systematically using the specter of terrorism to "justify" the abridgement of our right to be secure in our papers and effects, as it says in the Fourth Amendment. As a consequence, the Patriot Act, for instance, allows the government to invade private citizens' lives more than ever envisioned by the Founding Fathers.

INT. ENDLESS SHOTS OF GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS - DAY/NIGHT

It's everywhere, the expenditures on the military industrial complex. Buildings, tanks, weapons, chemicals, guns, people marching around like robots ... and yet congress makes even more laws, removing even more freedom. CAMERA tilts down the \$2.8 trillion dollar U.S. Government annual budget.

NARRATOR

We have allowed the government to become so huge it's ridiculous. Our government not only *taxes* us at every transaction, it's *in our faces* at every turn endlessly regulating what we can and cannot do. Over 250,000 laws are enacted every year by congressmen that have been bought and paid for by corporations. Over 50% of U.S. Citizens now work for the government at either the federal, state or local level. We are fast on our way to becoming a country similar to the former Soviet Union, a citizenry that was employed 100% by the state.

At the same time we are spending over \$440 billion a year on the military, more than the combined spending of the next 25 nations in the world. This insane spending has caused us to now be in debt over \$9 trillion. The interest on this mind-boggling sum amounts to over \$400 billion a year, a sizable portion of which goes to the elite bankers that own, operate or ARE the Federal Reserve System. Not only this, lobbyists that flow money to keep certain Congressmen in power, have configured our laws in such a way they now benefit multi-national corporations MORE than they benefit *We the People*.

NAFTA and GATT are two prime examples of these laws. As Ross Perot warned in 1992, NAFTA and GATT have allowed our manufacturing base to leave our country, mostly to communist China ... now referred to as and "authoritarian capitalist" nation by apologists of globalism such as *FOREIGN AFFAIRS* magazine. It's so bad we now buy over \$287 billion worth of products -- many of them cheap and dangerous -- from this communist country. Not only this, we use high interest credit cards to pay for a substantial amount of those cheap products. It's almost as if the United States has annexed a communist, slave workforce to provide for its every material pleasure and hedonistic lifestyle. What kind of a People have we become?

EXT. CORPORATE MEDIA - NIGHT

CAMERA treats us to shots of the endless spew from the corporate media. Snips from TV, snips from mags, snips from street bulletin boards.

NARRATOR

As a part of the corporate-dominated congress that's re-configuring the laws of the land to suite the artificial creations of the state, the media is no different. In his article MY BEEF WITH BIG MEDIA, ex-media mogul, Ted Turner, explains what the media has become and why it's detrimental to the fabric of social discourse. Because all of the major public networks are now owned by a small handful of corporations, all of the new is essentially homogenized propaganda designed to limit the spectrum of national conversation and blackballing any candidate, author or filmmaker that would think outside the box. The goal of the corporate media is therefore to maintain the Plutocracies status quo and thus keep the masses asleep. Were this not true, this documentary would not have been necessary.

INT. CAMPUS OF VIRGINIA STATE - DAY

A mad gunman dashes around the campus of Virginia State picking off students who have surrendered their right to bear arms. Cowardly cops hide behind cars and trees so they won't get hurt as another barrage of students take bullets in the background.

NARRATOR

Never stated in the writings or movies of opinion leaders funded and sanctioned by the corporate film establishment, such as Michael Moore in his documentary entitled BOWLING FOR COLUMBINE, funded by Warner Bros., are the crucial reasons the Constitution provides all citizens with the right to bear arms.

If we become so abhorred by the escalating school shootings and other gun violence all around us and endlessly depicted in the media and Hollywood based US motion picture industry that we move to ban ownership of guns, we will make a grave mistake. Observing thousands of years of the histories of earlier governments, the Founders knew that ultimately the *only* thing that stands between a tyrannical government and the People are the Peoples' weapons. Put bluntly, the RIGHT of the People to blow away their government in the event their government attempts to blow away their human rights. Also, *never forget*, the instrument that literally authorized the US Constitution was the *Declaration of Independence*. This sister document states that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of certain ends -- such as the right to life liberty and the pursuit of happiness -- it is the duty of the people to alter or abolish it. Alter it means to vote out the those who aren't following the Constitution. If such politicians, over time, or unbeknownst to the People, reconfigure the government in such a way as to usup the rights of the People, then the only choice left is to abolish the government. Sometimes it's easier to build a new house than to refurbish an old one. Unfortunately, abolishing a government is easier said than done because typically those in power don't want to leave and always feel they have done nothing wrong. Unlike Michael Moore, the Founding Fathers knew about these facts of life ... and that's why they provided us with an entire amendment -- the Second Amendment -- dedicated to this sole issue. The Second Amendment states that the People have the right to keep and bear Arms and this right shall not be infringed.

This means that a well regulated Militia consisting of THE PEOPLE -- NOT some distant bunch of federal professionals -- has the right to OWN and BEAR, meaning: HAVE and KEEP ON THEIR PERSON guns and ARMS, short for ARMaments. These armaments are for use should it become necessary to abolish a tyrannical and suppressive government and replace it with a serving government that promotes the GENERAL WELFARE and secures the blessings of liberty. Even though the current U.S. Government supposedly has 50,000 nukes, it's still no match for 300 million people with guns and armaments who will not tolerate their rights being infringed. The demise of the Soviet Union, with its 40,000 nukes, is evidence of this point.

INT. EXAMPLES OF CAUSALITY - DAY/NIGHT

As narrator explains the complex TITLE roll of causal events we are about to see, the following SLOW MOTION images are superimposed over narration: Dominos toppling each other; a cue ball hitting a racked set of pool balls; a large red ball being bounced on the pavement; computer crashing; crash car dummies hitting walls; people doing simple arithmetic on a blackboard; ice cubes being dropped into a glass of water; a ball bouncing down a set of stairs.

NARRATOR

In the past 218 years since the Constitution's adoption, we have been slowly moving away from our founding principles. In their place we have been substituting short-term solutions that have ended up being no solution at all -- instead turning into long-term problems. The problems we now face form a *causality* that could lead to fall of the United States and possibly the destruction of technological civilization. That's the bad news.

The good news is that human beings, especially Americans, were built to solve problems, and all problems, being related to each other, CAN be solved if enough intelligent people define the problems and relate them back to the Constitutional principles that provide strength, guidance and viability. The problems we now face are analogous to a large software application which simply needs to be de-bugged.

INT. OFFICE OF BILL BONNER - DAY

As Mr. Bonner speaks, we see shots of industry putting out products; people filling out tax forms; Roosevelt pitching the NEW DEAL; Wilson pitching the Wilson Doctrine. We see shots of the Roman Empire with people running around wildly. We see librarians, insurance executives, doctors, grocers and businessmen shackled by agents waving Federal Reserve Notes in their faces. We see a large door on the nation's capitol slam shut to reveal a sign swinging in the breeze: Lobbyists Welcome, People Keep Out!

BILL BONNER

In 1913 a revolution occurred. The Republic formed by the Founders started crumbling. How did this happen? A number of events started it. One, the Federal Reserve was formed. Two, the income tax was levied and three, European countries abandoned the gold standard. 1913 was a BAD year. With the 16th Amendment, Congress re-instated, AND made permanent, the temporary income tax it had levied to pay for the Civil War. Opinion leaders, such as William Jennings Bryan -- arguing AGAINST equal taxation required by the Constitution -- advocated an income tax to "soak the rich." Why shouldn't the people who ENJOY the most be the ones who PAY the most, was the mantra. After the Panic of 1907, Roosevelt and Taft justified the 16th Amendment by proclaiming that a tax on the rich was DESIRED by the People.

Thus was born a permanent tax on income, BUT only on the rich ... at FIRST. Rich people earning over \$3,000 a year were subject to a 1% tax. This tax was GRADUATED to 7% on those who dared to be even richer. Eventually the rate was pushed all the way up to 77% by 1918 and the bottom end of the spectrum increased to a "modest" 23% by the end of World War II. We were becoming an empire, complete with taxes and a growing bureaucracy. Today the tax code alone comprises over 7 million words -- about nine times the length of the Bible. At a cost of about 300,000 trees a year, the IRS sends out over 8 billion paper forms and instructions to a public that wastes over 5.4 billion hours of its productive time filling out financial details in an insane orgy of PRIVACY rape. Since that bad year in 1913, the income tax and the Federal Reserve System have enabled the government to expand astronomically. Spending has grown more than 13,592 percent. Today the government commandeers over \$2.8 trillion of our gross domestic product, such being \$13 trillion in 2006. This year it took in 18% of our money but SPENT 20% of it! How did it do this? Simple, it BORROWED the difference. This difference is called the DEFICIT. Deficits add up to the DEBT, a term the mainstream media and the Congress constantly IGNORE. When you have a difference between what you take in and what you spend, you have a DEFICIT. When you BORROW to make up for this DEFICIT, you contribute to your DEBT. Your debt thus grows larger every year you run a deficit. As of Fall of 2007 the debt is over \$9 trillion.

NARRATOR

As if the 16th Amendment were not enough of an assault on the Republic James Madison, the primary architect of the Constitution, constructed ... along comes the 17th Amendment. And what rape and pillage did this Amendment do to the original intent of the Founders? Mr. Bonner explains.

BILL BONNER

The 17th Amendment removed the states' power to elect federal senators. The original intent of the Founders was that there would be a balance of power between the STATES and the FEDERAL government -- the theme of checks and balances seen throughout the original Constitution. When the 17th Amendment made it legal for the people to directly elect federal senators, this foolish Amendment tipped the balance of power away from the states and toward the federal government. The original intent of the Founders was that the states, acting through their legislatures, should have the right to elect and direct the Senate at the Federal level. Thus their interests were represented. To balance this, the Constitution provided for the People to elect the House of Representatives at the federal level. Thus the People's interests were represented.

Taken together, the 16th and 17th Amendments have greatly increased the power of the federal government and decreased the power of the states. The original intent of the founders was that the STATES would maintain taxing power at their level and send money to the federal government for the funding of COMMON needs, such as raising an army, protecting national borders, administering a uniform national currency and building interstate highways.

Since 1913, the process has been completely reversed. The federal government now collects most of the money from the income tax and IT doles this out to the states -- usually with endless dictates, conditions and provisos attached. This, combined with the borrowing power of the government through the Fed, allows the federal government to influence or dominate funding of many activities NOT intended in the Constitution. Whereas the original intent of the Founders was a modest, hands-off federal government, we now have a nanny-state, a government that has its hands in everything. A government that dictates: education policies, insurance requirements, speed limits, health care, medical matters, ownership of weapons, food and drug policies, police and law enforcement, libraries, environmental issues and business practices. Unconstitutional acts, such as the Patriot Act, expand this domination even further. Welcome to the American Empire ... goodbye to the Republic we failed to KEEP, as Ben Franklin might say.

NARRATOR

Wow. Are you asleep yet? If you're like me, I never got higher than a D in history. Never saw the point to it. But when you stop to consider, everything that's here today is because of what happened in the past ... it's a wake up call. Basically an original intent of the Founders was to form a more perfect union. This meant a country that shared power and worked together to create and enjoy the blessings of liberty. An all encompassing federal government that dictates to the states and clodhops all over the planet with a debt-funded, over-sized military is written NOWHERE in the Constitution. What redress to the American People have to all this short of violent revolution?

Alas, the Founders were wise enough to realize that sooner or later all governments will grow to the point of abridging the rights of citizens. Therefore they did something REALLY brilliant, something few Americans really appreciate. They wrote into the Consitution a right known as the RIGHT OF REDRESS. After studying all of the world's previous civilizations for things to do and things to avoid in a government, the Founders came across this right of redress in a document called the Magna Carta. Constitutional expert and attorney Robert Shultz will explain.

EXT. STEPS TO COURT HOUSE - DAY

CAMERA pulls back from a grand shot of the Courthouse to ROBERT SHULTZ, a patriot whose organization has just invited the U.S. Government to attend a school on the Constitution. Superimposed are Article 61 of the *Magna Carta* and the *First Amendment* of the Constitution. As Mr. Shultz sits on a marble wall along side the steps, he fills us in on the *Magna Carta* and how it relates to the Founders' original intent and how we, as citizens, can protect our precious rights as set forth in Article I of the *Bill of Rights*, also known as the *First Amendment*.

ROBERT SHULTZ

The right of the people to petition their government for a redress of grievances is one of the most sacred rights we have. This right is embodied in the *First Amendment* and states: "Congress shall make no law ... abridging ... the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances."

This right is the *cornerstone* right because it imposes an obligation on the government to answer for any violations of the Constitution. In otherwords, this right gives the People the right, to ask their government questions and *demand* answers ...

no matter what the question, who the petitioner or how "busy" the government official is. In essence, it is the right which gives the People the authority and methodology to *peacefully* pursue happiness and secure the blessings of liberty. This right, in accordance with the Founders' original intent, is not just a political concept, it's a principle of *nature*, what's otherwise known as an Unalienable Right of Mankind, a universal right the Founders believed was axiomatic or endowed by the Creator himself. This right, the right of individuals to get their questions answered and remedy their grievances fairly -- the right to petition their government and hold it accountable for a remedy of violations allegedly against the Constitution -- is the senior *legal* vehicle by which the People can assert their Sovereignty, an exercise first expressed in the *Magna Carta* in the year, 1212. This right eventually became an integral part of English Common Law and was used for over 150 years. Ironically, but justly, the right of redress was used in the *Declaration of Independence* and later written into the U.S. Constitution as the First Amendment I mentioned earlier.

Without this Right, our form of government is essentially nothing more than a facade. A facade that conceals unbridled power leaving the People utterly defenseless against both the will of the political majority and the abuses of tyrannical public servants who may act outside the limited authority authorized by our Constitution. Without the right of PETITION and REDRESS, the People have NO non-violent means of securing the blessings of Liberty.

NARRATOR

Without the right of PETITION and REDRESS, the People have no NON-violent means of securing their liberties. Ominous. Ominous because if the right of petition is exhausted, it looks like all they have left are the rights stipulated in the *Declaration of Independence* and the *Second Amendment*, such Amendment permitting the People to *keep and bear arms* AND, as in the, *Declaration*, authorizing violent revolution *when, in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bonds which have connected them with another.*

Our Founders well-knew that government is supposed to be the servant of the People -- but they also knew that one day, even the very wonderful government they had created, would probably fail to honor the rights and petitions of the People as well as it should. Fortunately the Founders gave the People the right to petition and redress as a rational, interim remedy to the right of revolution, a much more costly and bloody affair. But how does one enforce this right of petition without descending into violence? Again, Constitutional expert, Edwin Vieira.

EDWIN VIEIRA

Say a citizen -- or a group of Americans for that matter -- disagrees with the Constitutionality of a certain law or tax code; how does one go about getting the government to actually listen and answer questions? Well thankfully, in 1774, the Founders told us just how.

In the journals of the Continental Congress, specifically Journal 1:105-113, there is an entry that states, quote: "If money is wanted by Rulers who have, in any manner, oppressed the People, they may retain it *until* their grievances are *redressed*, and thus *peaceably* procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility."

Now, until very recently, little had been written about this right. It's not taught in law schools, at least not at Harvard, where I went, and in fact, no federal court has ever even ruled on the full legal import of the last nine words of the First Amendment, such stipulating that *We the People* have a right "to petition the government for a redress of grievances." Today, almost everyone in the general public believes that the "Right to Petition" is nothing more than a redundant "Right of Expression," a right to just send written "speech" out to the government, but nothing more. The government didn't have to respond. Well nothing could be further from the truth, especially when you look at the intent of the Constitution in light of the contemporary documents of the time, and earlier rights adopted by the Founders from such documents as the Magna Carta. It's obvious, the Right to petition, as guaranteed in the Constitution is there to assure the People that the government **MUST** do more than just listen ... it must **ACT**, it must **REMEDY**, it must assist the People in their pursuit of happiness ... or the People, at the very least, are justified in withholding financial support until such redress actually happens in a court of law or otherwise.

NARRATOR

Wow! Those founders sure were wise. They gave us a way to peacefully petition our government when the People have grievances. Isn't this exactly what we did with King George? If you look at the *Declaration of Independence*, the very letter *We the People* sent to King George on July 4th, 1776, you can see that most of it, 18 out of 24 paragraphs, states our grievances with his oppressive government. Then after stating all these grievances, the *Declaration* sums it up at the end by stating: "In every stage of these oppressions we have *petitioned* for *redress* in the most humble terms: our repeated *petitions* have been answered only by repeated injury."

Then, no more Mr. Nice Guy, the *Declaration* calls a spade a spade by saying: "A prince, whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people." Wow, with that, we were on our way to becoming an independent nation: the united States of America. But note, we didn't take up violence as a first measure ... we peacefully but repeatedly petitioned King George's government first ... then, when he refused to hear and do anything, we gave him both barrels! Hopefully the folks that are currently running THIS government have learned the lesson, the lesson that People will teach *in the most humble terms*: but only for so long. Let's see how the government is doing with its lessons. Again, Robert Shultz.

ROBERT SHULTZ

In 2004, our organization, *We The People Foundation* filed a federal lawsuit against the current U.S.

government seeking, for the first time in our history, a judicial declaration defining those 9 words in the *First Amendment*, the *Right of Petition*. In this suit, we also seek to establish that the People have a right to withhold payment of taxes until and unless the government DOES address grievances. As discussed earlier, historical documents -- such as those from the Continental Congress and the Magna Carter -- do in fact establish precedent for the withholding of money when some issue is unsettled or a party is being negligent. What stockholder of a corporation would allow their money to be used if that corporation ignored their votes or refused to address their questions and grievances before investing? None. So why should citizens of the United States, in essence voting stockholders of a national corporation act any differently? Do corporations have MORE rights than PEOPLE? Of course not? Thus, citizens of the United States are perfectly within their bounds to withhold taxes until their government addresses and remedies legitimate grievances of the People. Accordingly, in October of 2007, legitimate questions and grievances have been directed to the U.S. government in the Supreme Court, the highest court in the Land. The decisions this body makes will be some of the most important decisions it will ever make as such pertain to an interpretation of the Constitution. We are about to find out if our government is still the servant, or the master.

NARRATOR

Wow! Too much. I hope they are still the servant, because we all know what happens to bad servants.
(beat)

Let's get back to a more positive subject -- this idea of GENERAL WELFARE. Unfortunately a lot of people interpret this term to be a green-light for social security ... the so-called nanny state which pays for everything and makes laws all over the place. As a result, we now have minimum wage laws, child labor laws, federal disability laws, Medicaid laws, public housing laws, rent laws, entitlement laws and even food stamps. All these laws, and their expensive programs, are outgrowths of the Social Security System, what the Romans used to call, *Panem et Circensis* ... Bread and Circuses. Looks like before *they* crashed, they had gone down the same road. Here's why. If you interpret the term GENERAL WELFARE as an invitation for social security, you doom, not only the U.S. Republic, but its People as well. The Founders knew that Rome -- and every society since the beginning of time -- had poor, sick and unfortunates ... and many of these societies tried to help. For instance, 1597-England had the *Elizabethan Poor Laws* enacted to provide what were known as the *seven corporeal works of mercy*. These *works* were to feed the hungry, give drink to the thirsty, welcome the stranger, clothe the naked, attend the sick, visit the prisoner and bury the dead. But is this how you really promote the GENERAL WELFARE, the Founders asked? What would happen if you created a society that could actually rise above all these problems? A society where the government gently facilitated a free and prosperous citizenry, a citizenry so successful there WERE no hungry, there WERE no sick or poor, there WERE no criminals. Utopia? Maybe, but ask anyone if they would rather live in today's world or the world of 500 years ago?

Today IS a utopia by comparison. Thus the idea of utopia, relative utopia at any rate, is not all that unrealistic. We, by following the Constitution, CAN form a more perfect union. We, by following the Constitution, CAN promote the GENERAL WELFARE. We do this, not by setting up a gargantuan government that takes care of everyone, but by creating an economic, social and political environment where free individuals are able to rise to greater heights. We approach utopia. We form a MORE perfect union. This is NOT done through Roosevelt's NEW DEAL, because, to the degree you HAVE needy, poor and disabled, you HAVE the symptoms of a broken system. Fix the system -- don't just cater so much to the symptoms - - and you will create the emergent property experienced as GENERAL WELFARE. Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day. Teach him how to fish and you feed him for life. The Founders were teachers. Their original intent was to set up a system that created fishermen, not over-weight, sick and dependent consumers as we have become today. In the end, the New Deal is a Raw Deal, because it makes people too dependent on the system, on the government. The Founders wanted to set people free FROM the system. That's what LIBERTY is all about. They wanted GENERAL WELFARE to be a RESULT of a productive and free society, NOT a justification for the government to provide WELFARE in GENERAL.

EXT. VALLEY FORGE PARK - DAY

Citizens enjoy the park oblivious of their national problems. We stop some of them wandering around one of the log cabins George Washington's men used.

INTERVIEWER

Excuse me. Do you feel that we as a nation are following the Constitution as well as we could be?

CITIZEN 13

I would say we have wandered from it on a lot of issues. Doesn't the Constitution say the government is supposed to provide for the common defense? Well how come we took a jet in the Pentagon if they were on duty providing?

CITIZEN 14

No.

CITIZEN 15

Yes. The living Constitution is alive and well. It adapts and conforms to every issue. All we have to do is interpret it in a way that makes us flow. And we just groove on, one happy nation under God and divisible by none. Right bro? Hey, don't taise me.

CITIZEN 16

Would the Founders have allowed us to become dependent on oil? We're over there in Iraq fighting a bunch of Arabs just so we can secure a secondary source of oil. After all most of the terrorists that flew the planes in to the buildings were from Saudi Arabia, one of our primary sources of oil. Out a \$3 trillion annual budget the government only spends \$2 billion a year on energy development, something that's effecting our national security at this time. I seriously believe that if the U.S. got its tanks off holy lands in the Middle East, like Mecca and Medina, Osama bin Laden would leave us alone. At least he says he will repeatedly.

So here's a perfect example of where we are failing to apply the wisdom of the Founders: we aren't self-sufficient in energy so we're forced to involve ourselves in entangling relations in the Middle East.

NARRATOR

So how did we go so wrong? Why is America now a post-industrial nation with an export profile similar to a 19th century third world colony? Let's take a look at how a failure to follow the Constitution is ruining the American Experiment.

EXT. YESTERDAY AND TODAY'S USA - DAY

As narrator starts we see export items the U.S. has a trade surplus with China on, such items being: soybeans, corn, wheat, animal feeds, meat, cotton, metal ores, scrap, hides, skins, pulp, waste paper, cigarettes, gold, coal, mineral fuels, rice, tobacco, fertilizers, glass. As narrator continues we see shots of the USSR testing nuclear explosions as Nikita Khrushchev pounds his shoe on the podium at the UN; we see the Cold War in its most threatening. Suddenly, there is no more USSR ... the Cold War is over. Suddenly there are no more empires, only one, and its fighting a war in Iraq.

NARRATOR

When the Cold War ended with the collapse of the Soviet Empire, the United States suddenly found itself the only superpower. Again, by avoiding war or staying out of war the longest, the U.S. was able to benefit from a winning strategy. After all, the Twentieth Century had been a graveyard of fallen empires: The Austro-Hungarian Empire, the German Empire, the Russian Empire, the Ottoman Empire, not to mention the Empire of the Sun and the British Empire. All reduced to dust because all dissipated their blood and treasure in perpetual wars.

The original intent of the Founders
was clear: stay out of wars, don't
squander the Republic's blood and
treasure, make no permanent
alliances ...

As Narration advances, we SEE the words of Thomas Jefferson,
James Madison and Alexander Hamilton SPOKEN across the
screen:

JEFFERSON

Peace, commerce and honest
friendship with all nations;
entangling alliances with none.

MADISON

Of all the enemies to public
liberty war is, perhaps, the most
to be dreaded, because it comprises
and develops the germ of every
other. War is the parent of
armies; from these proceed debts
and taxes; and armies, and debts,
and taxes are the known instruments
for bringing the many under the
domination of the few.... No
nation can preserve its freedom in
the midst of continual warfare.

HAMILTON

Our country is not a military one.

NARRATOR

Let's see what Pat Buchanan might
have to say about this.

INT. OFFICE OF PAT BUCHANAN - DAY

As Mr. Buchanan and the Founding Fathers speak, we see the
former great factories we used to have in the U.S., we see
people in the 1950s dressed up, looking grand, wearing fine
jewelry and clothing all "made in the USA", we see the cars
"made in the USA," the TVs and appliances, all "made in the
USA" -- we see USA cities and a USA culture, unadulterated by
the rubbish coming out of Hollywood today, a Hollywood
dominated by liberal, not-very-religious, white males of
European heritage. We see shots of the Founders in session
writing the Constitution; we see them anguishing over tariffs
and the structure of the new government; we see the nation's
new capitol building being built as industry, unfettered by
New York elite banking interests grows like never before;

we see taxes being cut as the national debt rises and we see today's corporate media projecting endless bread and circuses as it bashes and redefines certain words in an endless attempt to protect and push forth its agenda in lock-step with corporate America and the globalists.

BUCHANAN

From the ratification of the Constitution to World War I, Hamilton's vision guided the nation. What is Hamilton's vision?

HAMILTON

Not only the wealth, but the independence and security of a country, appear to be materially connected with the prosperity of manufactures. Every nation ... ought to endeavor to possess within itself all the essentials of a national supply. These compromise the means of subsistence, habitation, clothing and defense.

BUCHANAN

What Hamilton was saying was a nation's political independence is dependent upon its economic independence. Washington shared this vision with Hamilton because what they wanted to do was wean the republic off a reliance on foreign trade so Americans would never again be drawn into the wars of the old continent. The only way to do this, Hamilton reasoned, was to erect protective tariffs -- a price of admission for foreign countries to have access to American markets. The purpose for these taxes was to stimulate our own people to build factories and finance a strong central government. A strategic goal enabling the People of the U.S. to be able to cut bonds of dependency upon foreign nations and create bonds of commerce amongst themselves. Further, when a nation puts a tariff on foreign goods coming into the country, it is able to CUT taxes on goods produced *inside* the country.

This was Hamilton's great idea, but today this is known as "protectionism" -- a dirty word with corporate elite and the consolidated media that facilitates their agenda.

NARRATOR

Before we can understand how we arrived at this point, let's take a look at the term, "free trade." What is free trade? Free trade is another one of those misleading terms like: *inflation* or *Federal Reserve System*. Free trade is supposed to mean simply no tariffs on either imported or exported goods between countries. A tariff is another word for a tax. By using the word "free," the corporate cabal that coined this term makes "free trade" seem good. After all, anything that's *free* is good, right? You trade with me for *free* and I trade with you for *free* -- that's good, right? Let's take a closer look at the implications of this term, "free trade," and see WHO it *really* benefits.

INT. OFFICE OF PAT BUCHANAN - DAY

As Mr. Buchanan continues, we see the NAFTA bill being signed; we see the various entities that supported NAFTA blazoned across the screen; we see Mexicans sneaking across the border; Fortune 500 companies setting up factories in China and using "inversions" to set up offshore subsidiaries, hideaways and taxhavens in such places as Bermuda, the Cayman Islands, Barbados, Switzerland, Liechtenstein, Vanuatu, Nauru, and Niue. We bails of marijuana and tons of cocaine and heroin flooding into the U.S. From Mexico and South America. We see yet another "free trade" agreement, CAFTA, being ushered through to further weaken U.S. sovereignty.

BUCHANAN

In 1993 a massive free trade bill was ushered through Congress. This trade bill was known as NAFTA, short for North American Free Trade Agreement.

Among the major entities that championed it were: The Council on Foreign Relations, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Washington Post*, the Heritage Foundation, the Brookings Institute, the *New Republic* and the *National Review*. Among those who I joined in opposing NAFTA were the following: Ross Perot, Ralph Nader, the AFL-CIO and the American people. Nevertheless NAFTA passed. Did Congress care what the American people wanted? Obviously not. Fifteen years later the results of NAFTA are in: Heroin, marijuana and illegal immigrants are pouring in over the U.S./Mexican border. More than a million jobs, once performed in the U.S., are now performed by Mexican workers at *maquiladora* plants. It seems NAFTA has not been benefiting Mexico because over 50 million people in Mexico remain in poverty and over 500,000 of them are still motivated to break into the United States every year. These illegal aliens take away yet more jobs from Americans while they help themselves to our Medicaid, schools, courts, prisons and welfare. But that's not even the worst damage so-called "free trade" has caused. Fortune 500 corporations are literally relocating their factories to China with abandon. In one generation, the house Hamilton built has collapsed. The most awesome industrial machine the world had ever seen has been gutted. The U.S. manufacturing base has been hollowed out. For seven decades, until 1970, Americans produced 96% of all they consumed. Now a fourth of our steel is foreign-made, a third of our cars, half our machine tools, two thirds of the clothes we wear, and almost all of our shoes, radios, telephones, TVs, cameras, VCRs and bicycles. Worse still, the "Benedict Arnold CEOs" of these corporations are committing treason against the U.S.

by leaking patented U.S. technology secrets to places like China. As Americans go about their business buying cheap Chinese-made products from their local WAL-MART, they don't realize that their dollars are being converted into taxes that subsidize the Communist regime in Beijing. While we buy over 45% of China's exports, China buys less than 3% of ours. That's *free trade*, but is that *fair trade*? Thanks to NAFTA, Americans now buy \$600 billion more products from the rest of the world than the world buys from us. This wanton spending on the part of Americans is running us into debt and bankruptcy. Already we have witnessed the fall of the dollar to unprecedented lows, the end of our economic independence, the deindustrialization of our country and the abandonment of our working men and women to Darwinian competition with foreign labor forced to work for a fifth or a twentieth of U.S. wages.

Free trade is not free: it's the serial killer of American manufacturing and the Trojan Horse of world government. It is the primrose path to the loss of economic independence and national sovereignty the Founders warned us about. Free trade is a bright, shining lie. But how did this happen?

NARRATOR

In 1991 the Soviet Union collapsed because a centrally-managed economic system simply doesn't work. Concomitant with this collapse, both China and India saw the wisdom of changing *their* economic policies before they too suffered a similar fate. These events literally thrust *hundreds of millions* of workers on to the *world labor market*.

Greed-driven, Benedict Arnold CEOs were unable to resist the opportunity to exploit people who would work for wages 5 to 20 times lower than U.S. wages. Thus was born what we now call, "globalism" - - the act of exploiting world markets for stockholder profit. Not only did low wages motivate these greedy Fortune 500 companies, but the lack of environmental regulations in foreign lands made it possible for them to pollute the environment all they wanted without having to pay a cent.

INT. CEO'S OFFICE OF A MAJOR CORPORATION - DAY

As CAMERA moves down the hall and into the executive suite of BENEDICT ARNOLD, CEO of MEGACORP INTERNATIONAL, we enter a walnut-paneled office and come to the back of a heavy, leather chair just before it swivels around revealing Mr. Arnold. As he puffs on a Honduran cigar and pours another glass of fine Scotch, Arnold looks us in the eye and lays out his corporate rational.

BENEDICT ARNOLD

Why should I direct MEGACORP to manufacture in the U.S. when I can get all the cheap, slave-labor I want, evade taxes, and avoid clean-up costs? So what if the carbon dioxide we spew out is destroying the world's climate -- WE'RE increasing *stockholder value*. Through globalism and "free" trade we're able to make more money than we ever dreamed possible. Money for our stockholders. Do you think we give a rat's ass about America? Of course we do ... we don't want to kill the goose that lays the golden eggs. We need America citizens to be strong enough to continue buying the products our foreign plants make. But no stronger. We not only need them, and their credit cards, but we need America's military. After all, if we can't invade and "democratize" foreign lands, how are we going to build more factories in them?

How are we going to exploit more cheap labor?

(getting worked up)

How are we going to increase stockholder value? Without a superpower's military at our disposal, how are we going to continue to rape and pillage ... I mean continue to develop "free trade" around the world?

NARRATOR

It's true, free trade does have a lot of merits ... for some. It not only increases stockholder value, it gives hundreds of millions of people, in other countries, an opportunity to work and improve their lives. For all we know, globalization may even someday help the Chinese people work out a new system of government that makes everyone happy, even Beijing. But the money that goes to stockholders here in the U.S. only makes about 7% of Americans happy. What about the other 93% -- the middle class and poor? What about the GENERAL WELFARE of the United States, as promised in the Constitution? Let's take a closer look at what Mr. Buchanan means when he said: "Free trade is the Trojan Horse of world government. It is the primrose path to the loss of economic independence and national sovereignty the Founders warned us about."

INT. FREEDOM FORCE OFFICES - DAY

As G. EDWARD GRIFFIN, author of *The Creature from Jekyll Island* and Freedom Force founder speaks, CAMERA scans past all sorts of groups scattering to go home or go their individual ways. We see the press leaving after a briefing at the White House; we see audience members getting up from their chairs after a lecture televised by C-SPAN; we see people running from flying bullets in a police raid; we see a fist-full of sand released to the wind; we see a scoop of ice cream melting into a puddle; we focus on a single star, zooming into a galaxy displayed on a high-def TV.

G. EDWARD GRIFFIN

When we talk about world government, we are talking about the ultimate *collectivist* entity -- an entity that will sacrifice all *individual* liberty for what will be promoted as the so-called "greater good." This idea of the "greater good" has been with us throughout history. It's the idea that the rights of the group, the collective, supercede the rights of the individual. This all sounds dandy however there's one slight problem: the GROUP doesn't exist. The group is merely a CONCEPT ... an intangible, amorphous, collective. The idea of a collective is really little more than an *emergent property* of individuals. Thus, seeking to configure the world into the ultimate collective, a world government, is folly, because such an entity will inevitably sacrifice individuals in order to provide imagined benefits it can't deliver. The Founders of the U.S. knew this and that's why they insisted on the *Bill of Rights* -- a set of laws that protect and nurture the INDIVIDUAL. They knew that a country would only be as strong and happy as its individuals -- its individual citizens. Promote the individual and the emergent property perceived as the "group" will flourish and prosper. Promote the "group" and the individual will wither. Thus, when Mr. Buchanan says "free trade is the Trojan Horse of globalization" he means exactly this: by tempting American citizens with inexpensive products made in China, these products are acting like billions of Trojan Horses penetrating our borders. The "windfall" Americans get by being able to purchase these products cheaply is not long-lasting. It's an illusion.

The real cost of these products is the millions of American workers who were sacrificed to the collective. This is the destruction of the individual for the sake of globalization. Thus, most of the wage-money saved by the multinationals will be at the cost of destroying the world's engine of liberty, America. Foolishly, these Benedict Arnold CEOs think the enormous wealth they are amassing in secret off-shore tax havens will enable them to collectively challenge traditional governments and eventually commandeer The world's people and resources under their corporate governance. Unfortunately it will crash, just as the Soviet Empire crashed. Individuals that have sold out to the globalist ideal, will find themselves led down a primrose path. Eventually the creativity and personal incentive that made technological advancement possible, will dry up. They will only be able to enjoy their new high def TVs made in China for so long.

INT. BLACKBOARD IN SCHOOL - DAY/NIGHT

This is a lesson that all Americans should pay attention to. It's the kind of math CEOs of multinational corporations do all day long, so it should be rather simple.

NARRATOR

Let's do some math. If a CEO only has to pay a Chinese worker \$5 a day instead of \$150 a day, the savings is \$145 per day. If a company has 20,000 employees and its daily wages can be cut from \$3 million a day to \$100,000 a day, that's a savings of \$2.9 million a day. Over the course of 240 workdays per year, that's a "savings" of \$720 million per year. Who do you think gets this money?

Here's who gets it: a) Beijing, b) the top executives at MEGACORP, c) the stockholders of MEGACORP, d) members of the U.S. Congress and e) the military-industrial-banking complex. That's who gets it. A thru E gets it. But what about the American workers who used to get paid to work at MEGACORO USA? What do they get? Well, they get to move out of the factory in Flint, Michigan and get a job at a *McDonalds* for \$64 a day. At this rate of pay, the only place they can now *afford* to shop is WAL-MART. And guess what, that's exactly where your "free trade" buddies WANT you to shop! After all, where do the cheap products, the Trojan Horses, COME from? They come from the guy who took your job ... in China. Like the Federal Reserve System, this is another nifty SYSTEM to milk you dry while the elites enjoy sucking the energy out of your very life and using it to purchase all those nice homes Robin Leach (appropriate name) flaunts at us on his TV shows. But all is not black, if you don't want to work at McDonald you can become trained to kill and work for the Military. In *this* collective, you can learn how to kill and be a "peacekeeper" -- another one of those words. Let's ask Mr. Griffin what a "peacekeeper" is and how they serve the borg collective.

EXT. SHOTS AROUND THE WORLD - MONTAGE -DAY/NIGHT

As Mr. Griffin continues, we see peacekeepers keeping the peace. We see students being tased and arrested, tear gas all over, peacekeepers just "doing their jobs." We see old movies of Rome, where Trojan Horses are moved into the city; we see children opening Christmas presents and tearing off labels with their teeth; we see shots of Stalin's Russia, Hitler's Germany and Mussolini's Italy -- and their peacekeepers.

G. EDWARD GRIFFIN

A "peacekeeper" is another one of those terms like "free trade," "inflation," "terrorism" and "Federal Reserve SYSTEM" -- all redefined propaganda tools used by the Establishment to confuse the public. In essence, a "peacekeeper" is someone who works for the Global Government that's being erected by the Insiders that own and dominate the military industrial-banking Establishment. The idea behind peacekeeper is that they KEEP you quiet and PEACEful after you fully realize how you have been duped by SYSTEMS like free trade, globalization and the Federal Reserve. Since the natural tendency of any human being is to go insane, start yelling and maybe pull out a gun, PEACEKEEPERS are needed to keep them under "good" control. Since it would be unpopular and revealing to call them "global mercenary assassins" they're simply known as, "peacekeepers." It's not necessary to go berserk and kill everyone in that McDonalds you will someday work for, because you can become a *peacekeeper* instead and make a difference. Be all you can be, help create safe spaces where multinational corporations can expand. Where Benedict Arnold CEOs can spew out yet more Trojan Horses for the world's children to enjoy Christmas morning -- lead paint and all. YOU can help protect the Insiders in their mission to establish the largest collective ever dreamt of: a global superstate where the threat of *individuals* has been completely neutralized for the collective good. All this under the *peaceful* management of about 300 insiders and their 7,000 facilitators in the MEDIA, Federal Reserve, CFR and U.S. GOVERNMENT, a government that's now of, for and by the multinational corporations.

NARRATOR

Wow, was this the original intent of the Founders -- a global superstate surrounded by peacekeepers and endless Trojan Horses from China? Let's ask Ron Paul, a member of what's left of the Republic if this is what the Founders had in mind?

RON PAUL

There are a lot of factors connected with our drift away from Constitutional principles. Among these, the most enabling is our banking system -- the Federal Reserve. When the Fed was created in 1913 it was done so without the full knowledge and consent of the People. Born in secrecy on an island off the coast of Georgia, the elite bankers of the time sought to establish a central bank that would mimic European banks and ultimately give them complete control over the nation's money. They call this "control" an *elastic currency*, a currency you can stretch out as much as you want in order to cover up all of the misdeeds and mistakes made by the major banks and corporations. Even though the original intent of the Founders was for Congress to be the most prominent branch of our government, today's congressmen are shirking this responsibility. They do this by improper delegation of their duties and responsibilities to outside agencies, committees and other branches of government. Why? So they can stay in office longer. To the degree they can get the courts, or the executive, to decide on all the touch issues, they can avoid making unpopular choices, choices that will get them voted out. Money is the primary touchy issue because money represents the *energy of the citizens*. Thus in 1913, Congress delegated its

power
to manage the money supply to a bunch of elite New York bankers who formed a banking cartel now known as the Federal Reserve System. This cartel presents the illusion of working for the GENERAL WELFARE of the nation, but in reality, always acts in the best interests of, not only itself, but its prime borrowers: the U.S. government and major corporations. In 1971, the cartel finally got a rogue president, Richard Nixon, to disconnect all money from gold. Now the elite bankers had a completely *elastic currency*, not only in the U.S. but worldwide, one based on government debt instead of gold and silver, as stipulated in the Constitution. The elite bankers were now able to create as much money as they wanted -- out of thin air. Even Alan Greenspan, before he was co-opted as Chairman of the banking cartel, wrote: "In the absence of a gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe store of value." What he didn't go on to say is that we now live in a "system" where our wealth is confiscated by the insiders who own controlling interests in the major banks that control the Federal Reserve bank of New York. Today, judging from his book, *The Age of Turbulence*, Alan Greenspan seems to believe in free markets for everyone except banks. Whereas the free market should regulate everyone else, only the Open Market Committee at the Federal Reserve should regulate the cost and amount of money. And this regulated money should be forced on American citizens. So-called fiat money, money not backed by gold but created by mere government decree, is now mandated as payment for all debts, public and private.

We have such a system today, a system that creates endless amounts of money that fuels wars and globalization by basically two mechanisms: *monetizing debt* and *fractional reserve*. Monetizing debt is the act of converting debt into money and fractional reserve is the act of lending out more money than you have in the vault. Both are predatory and unethical practices, yet practices condoned by today's greedy bankers and irresponsible Congress because it serves their interests to consolidate power beyond that permitted by the Constitution. If the public actually understood these mechanisms, and how they are being abused, it would impeach almost every member of Congress instantly. The Framers of the Constitution were quite aware of the liabilities of these mechanisms and this is why they stipulated in Article I, Section 8 and 10 that "no State shall make any THING but gold and silver a tender in the payment of debts" and "no state shall emit bills of credit."

After the formation of the Fed and selling the public on the New Deal, it wasn't long before Congress realized it had both the ability AND the philosophical "justification" to print up endless amounts of "elastic currency," what we call fiat money. It also wasn't long before Congress realized it needed more and more money in order to service the growing addiction of socialist benefits under Roosevelt's New Deal AND, at the same time, build a military machine it could use to hammer dissent while presidents from Truman to Bush "brought democracy to the world." To do all this, neither taxes OR tariffs are enough. Only fiat money can provide the energy. Ever wonder why the Insiders were so eager to sacrifice tariffs for NAFTA's "free" trade?

It's because tariffs don't amount to much when you can print up all the fiat money you want through the Federal Reserve System. Yes, monetizing endless fiat money was the answer opinion-leader economist, John Maynard Keynes, suggested to Roosevelt in his 1933 open letter.

KEYNES

I lay overwhelming emphasis on the increase of national purchasing power resulting from governmental expenditure which is financed by loans and is not merely a transfer through taxation, from existing incomes. Nothing else counts in comparison with this.

NARRATOR

Again, by these words, Keynes, the most prominent economist of the time, laid out the philosophic rationale for endless government borrowing and endless government spending of fiat money. Roosevelt bit. Keynes knew exactly what he was doing.

INTERVIEWER

What do John Maynard Keynes and Paul Warburg have in common?

CITIZEN 17

They're both guys?

CITIZEN 18

They were brothers?

CITIZEN 19

They're both gay?

CITIZEN 20

Both Keynes and Warburg were Europeans. Warburg was born in Germany in 1868 and Keynes was born in England in 1883. Both men had a profound effect on the economic directions the U.S. would take for the next eight decades.

At the 1910 meeting on Jekyll Island where the Federal Reserve System was secretly concocted, one of the attendees, president of National City Bank, Frank Vanderlip is quoted as saying: "As a philosophical student of banking, Warburg was first among us at that time." Later in 1929, when the stock market crashed, due to excessive speculation with borrowed money, who stepped in to advise president Roosevelt on how to get America out of the Great Depression? Why none other than BRITISH economist John Maynard Keynes. And what was Keynes' advice? That the U.S. government should borrow endless amounts of money from his European buddy's new Federal Reserve banking system, and endlessly flood this money into social programs at home and wars abroad -- and don't look now, but Europe is already manufacturing it's next two wars: to be called World War I and the sequel, World War II. In these wars, the new U.S. Federal Reserve System can now join up with the old European central banks and have its blood sucked out -- like an initiation ritual into *Skull & Bones*. Damn those Colonists, how dare they think they will escape from Europe's "war system" or the "Rothschild Formula."

NARRATOR

Rothschild Formula? What's the Rothschild Formula? Let's ask G. Edward Griffin as he's the one who coined the term.

INT. OFFICE OF G. EDWARD GRIFFIN - DAY

As Mr. Griffin speaks we see kings and queens borrowing money to wage wars; we see people playing chess, Monopoly and poker. We see a gymnast concentrating before mounting the parallel bars; we see debt being sold on the bondmarket and napalm being sprayed all over men and forests in Vietnam.

We see a balance where a woman with a blindfold places a small globe on one side and a pistol on the other.

G. EDWARD GRIFFIN

Let us imagine a man who is totally pragmatic. He is smarter and more cunning than most men and, in fact, holds them in thinly disguised contempt. He may respect the talents of a few, but has little concern over the condition of mankind. He has observed that kings and politicians are always fighting over something or other and has concluded that wars are inevitable. He also has learned that wars can be profitable, not only by lending or creating the money to finance them, but from government favoritism in the granting of commercial subsidies or monopolies. He is not capable of such a primitive feeling as patriotism, so he is free to participate in the funding of any side in any conflict, limited only by the factors of self interest. If such a man were to survey the world around him, it is not difficult to imagine that he would come to the following conclusions which would become the prime directives of his career:

One: War is the ultimate discipline to any government. If it can successfully meet the challenge of war, it will survive. If it cannot, it will perish. All else is secondary. The sanctity of its laws, the prosperity of its citizens, and the solvency of its treasury will be quickly sacrificed by any government in its primal act of self-survival.

Two: All that is necessary, therefore, to ensure that a government will maintain or expand its debt is to involve it in war or the threat of war. The greater the threat and the more destructive the war, the greater the need for debt.

Three: To involve a country in war or the threat of war, it will be necessary for it to have enemies with credible military might. If such enemies already exist, all the better. If they exist but lack military strength, it will be necessary to provide them the money to build their war machine. If an enemy does not exist at all, then it will be necessary to create one by financing the rise of a hostile regime.

Four: The ultimate obstacle is a government which declines to finance its wars through debt. Although this seldom happens, when it does, it will be necessary to encourage internal political opposition, insurrection, or revolution to replace that government with one that is more compliant to our will. The assassination of heads of state could play an important role in this process.

And five: No nation can be allowed to remain militarily stronger than its adversaries, for that could lead to peace and a reduction of debt. To accomplish this balance of power, it may be necessary to finance both sides of the conflict. Unless one of the combatants is hostile to our interests and, therefore, must be destroyed, neither side should be allowed a decisive victory or defeat. While we must always proclaim the virtues of peace, the unspoken objective is perpetual war.

Whether anyone actually put this strategy into words or passed it along from generation to generation is not important. In fact, it is doubtful it has ever worked that way.

Whether it is the product of conscious planning or merely the consequence of men responding to the profit opportunities inherent in fiat money, the world's financial lords have acted as though they were following such a plan, and this has become especially apparent since the creation of the central-bank Mandrake Mechanism three centuries ago.

The "balance-of-power" question is particularly intriguing. Most history texts present the concept as though it were some kind of natural, social phenomenon which, somehow, has worked to the benefit of mankind. The implication is that it's just wonderful how, after all those European wars, no nation was strong enough to completely dominate the others. When the United States emerged from World War II with exactly such power, it was widely deplored, and massive political/financial mechanisms such as foreign aid and disarmament were set in motion to restore the balance. This has become almost a revered doctrine of international democracy. But the overlooked consequence of this sentimental notion is that wars "between equals" have become the permanent landscape of history.

NARRATOR

The permanent landscape of history. It sounds like Mr. Griffin has it right. Tragic that Americas would so recklessly thwart the wisdom and intentions of their Founders by allowing their banking system *and* economy to be so influenced by European financial philosophies, philosophies, that over the past century, have created a hell of endless wars and an environment where empires, as we have seen, came and went like bubbles in a boiling pot of chocolate pudding.

I thought the intent of the Founders was to establish a nation that was *different* from the ways of Europe. *Independent* of the Rothschild Formula. *Savvy* about conflict profiteers. Isn't the sole reason we drive on the RIGHT side of the road because Europeans drive on the LEFT? You bet it is, and the Federal Reserve System set up by banking architect, Paul Warburg, is as far *left* as you can get. The Fed, a European-style central bank complete with all the inherent problems of the Old World, advocates banking principles diametrically opposed by the original intent of the Founders. Alien concepts such as backing money by DEBT instead of gold. Lending out more money than you have in the vault. Printing up money so you can "stimulate" the very economy you crashed, just so bankers can charge more for interest. By-passing the public's representatives and waging war with money that has been printed out of thin air. Are any of these AMERICAN ways? Did the Founders set up the Constitution so JOHN MAYNARD KEYNES -- an economist indoctrinated in the environment of our former enemy -- should stamp his war-torn European philosophy into the very soul of our nation?! Something isn't right here. How can the original intent of the Founders shine through when our entire economic system has been perverted by agents of a world we worked so desperately to get away from? Sounds to me, given the state of affairs we're in today -- \$9 TRILLION in debt, immersed in perpetual wars, getting more secular and socialist by the minute -- that we have allowed some corruption to seep into the American Experiment, to say the least. We may *think* we won our independence from Europe ... but did we?

So how come the Congress seems to care nothing about all this? How come the MEDIA -- that's "looking out for the folks" -- never mentions the Federal Reserve System or Keynes in any sort of critical way; how come it downplays people who protest against free trade, WTO and/or globalization and, in general, acts as an apologist for multinational corporations? How come it never takes a deep look at the endless expansion of government or its secret partnerships with members of the military-industrial-banking complex? Instead it runs endless programs boasting about how big and powerful and great the military and its *peacekeepers* are. Seems to me, to get away with all this Goebbels-style propaganda, the MEDIA must be in on the deal, carefully re-defining words, spinning the issues and ignoring, invalidating or blackballing any author, filmmaker or candidate that speaks the truth, such as Ron Paul, Al Gore, Pat Buchanan, Ralph Nader, Ross Perot, Michael Moore, G. Edward Griffin, Ted Baehr, Edwin Vieira, Robert Zubrin, James Kunstler, William Greider and James Hunter.

INT. EDITING STUDIO OF JAMES HUNTER - NIGHT

We cut to a shot of James Hunter working late at the editing console. Around him are various monitors, TV screens and other electronic equipment used to cut today's films.

JAMES HUNTER

Hi, I'm James Hunter, a filmmaker neither Michael Moore or Bill O'Reilly will return phone calls to.

Over the years I have studied as many books as I could get my hands on in an attempt to identify and causally relate the events that have been happening in the United States since 1913. Why 1913?

Because this was the year three very significant things happened: J.P Morgan, the lender of last resort, died; the Federal Reserve System was established; and the income tax was levied. Coincidence? At least one of these events was seriously UNConstitutional and, I maintain, has led to the subtle, yet pervasive, erosion of the original intentions of the Founding Founders. As I run these events on-screen ...

James Hunter reaches down to the keyboard and hits a button which brings up the below TITLE SCROLL as he continues VOICE OVER. Images depicting some of what the scroll mentions are seen lightly superimposed.

JAMES HUNTER

... please pause your player so you can more fully absorb each and how it relates to the event preceding and following. As you watch, please realize that I am making no claim that this is complete. I am merely taking a stab at laying out a first-draft CAUSALITY in the hopes that you, and others will eventually perfect this and help bring about a resolution to the compounding problems our nation now faces as a result of losing our way from Constitutional principles over the past 95 years.

Again, as you watch this roll, use the PAUSE button on your remote control or screen so you can carefully study and digest each event and see how it relates to the event preceding it and the event, or events, that follow.

INT. DESTRUCTION OF THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC - DAY & NIGHT

As the below title roll outlining the key events leading to the destruction of the American Republic unfolds, we see the following images superimposed: First Amendment up close; a confused person; a homeless person; a family having an argument; divorce papers being signed; children crying;

shots from TV showing crime and greed; corporate consolidation; media consolidation; the NAFTA Bill; people in China working in Wal-Mart factories; pay checks not exceeding \$10 per hour; a clip from Michael Moore's film, *ROGER & ME*; Kmart's CEO, Chuck Conaway, receiving a \$9.5 Million severance package as 22,000 workers of Kmart are laid off with ZERO severance pay; Big Oil companies -- who have donated almost \$70 million to various political campaigns and over \$381 million lobbying the government -- coming to testify before the US Senate did not have to raise their right hand and testify under oath; we see CEO's making 431 times the wages of the average worker; we see endless people sneaking into the U.S. from Mexico; defense contractors raking in the money, especially since the start of the Iraq War; we see shots of Michael Milken, the man who invented junk bond financing, financing that enabled the corporate-consolidation frenzy from 1978 on; we see a chart showing the growth of lobbyists from sixty-two in 1968 and eight thousand in 1985 to more than thirty-four thousand in 2007; we see lobbyists spending more than \$13 billion to influence legislation; and lobbyists actually writing laws for the Congressmen; we see Jack Abramoff in court for conspiring to bribe public officials; we see the FBI raiding the Capitol Hill offices of William Jefferson for possibly taking bribes; we see both Republican and Democratic members of Congress uniting against the other branches of government who have conducted the Jefferson raids; we see that there are now 4,755 registered lobbyist firms in Washington with the pharmaceutical industry leading the way with two lobbyists for every member of Congress; we see the list of former Congressmen-turned-pharmaceutical lobbyist reaching forty with each one earning over \$300,000 per year; we see former congressman Bob Walker arguing that lobbyists are a "positive thing" on national TV; we see the knowledge base Congressmen rely on being created and crafted by such "think tanks" as the American Enterprise Institute, the Heritage Foundation, the Cato Institute, the Brookings Foundation and the Counsel on Foreign Relations; we see the trade deficit rising to over \$600 billion a year with over \$100 billion a year just from China; we see over \$5.5 billion in "no bid" defense contracts awarded to foreign corporations all over the world when American companies were not even given the chance to bid; we see China, a communist country, hiring Akin Gump and Patton Boggs, two of the largest lobby firms in the Washington DC, in an attempt to acquire *Unocal*, a key American energy asset; we see endless other acts and events that have happened as a result of wandering from the original intent of the Constitution and the *Bill of Rights*; a breeze blows a candle flame precariously close to extinction.

TITLE SCROLL:

FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE U.S. CONSTITUTION HAS CAUSED THE FOLLOWING EVENTS:

INHIBITION OF RELIGIOUS FREEDOM REMOVES VALUES FROM SOCIETY

LOSS OF VALUES IN SOCIETY LEADS TO CONFUSION AND MISERY

CONFUSION LEADS TO THE BREAKDOWN OF SOCIETY, STARTING WITH THE FAMILY UNIT

MISERY LEADS TO DRUG AND SEX-ADDICTION AS AN ESCAPE FROM REALITY

A CONFUSED, ADDICTED SOCIETY LEADS TO EXCESSIVE CRIME AND GREED AT BOTH THE PERSONAL AND CORPORATE LEVELS

GREED LEADS TO EXPLOITATIVE PRACTICES SUCH AS OUTSOURCING, EXCESSIVE IMMIGRATION AND OVER-CONSOLIDATION

CONSOLIDATION IS OFTEN NOT IN THE PUBLIC'S BEST INTEREST

MEDIA CONSOLIDATION, FOR INSTANCE, LEADS TO POLITICALLY CORRECT SPEECH, A FORM OF CENSORED SPEECH AND AN INFRINGEMENT ON FREE SPEECH

A LIMITED, OR CENSORED, SPECTRUM OF SPEECH PERMITS UNWISE POLICIES TO SLIP THROUGH CONGRESS BY AN UNINFORMED PUBLIC

UNWISE POLICIES, SUCH AS NAFTA AND GATT, ALLOW OUTSOURCING OF JOBS AND THE GROWTH OF MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS WHO HAVE LITTLE OR NO ALLEGIANCE TO THE US.

OUTSOURCING OF JOBS, AND ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION, ELIMINATE JOBS IN THE UNITED STATES AND PLACE UNDUE STRESS ON THE JOB MARKET

A LOSS OF JOBS IN THE US ERODES THE MIDDLE CLASS

MANY PEOPLE, ONCE IN THE MIDDLE CLASS, DROP BELOW THE \$26,000 PER YEAR EARNINGS MARK AND BECOME THE WORKING POOR

STOCKHOLDERS AND TOP MANAGERS OF CORPORATIONS BECOME RICH BY EXPLOITING THE MIDDLE CLASS BOTH AS CONSUMERS, CREDIT CARD BORROWERS AND FORCED CHEAP LABOR

BECAUSE OF COMPOUNDING INTEREST AND CONTROL OVER THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION, THE CORPORATE ELITE GET EXPONENTIALLY RICHER

THE MIDDLE CLASS SHRINKS, FIRST IN A LINEAR FASHION, LATER AN EXPONENTIAL FASHION

CORPORATE ELITE ARE ABLE TO FUND CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES THROUGH CONTRIBUTIONS AND LOBBYISTS

GRATEFUL AND INDEBTED CONGRESSMEN PASS LAWS FAVORING THE CORPORATE ELITE

CONGRESS PERPETUATES ITS POWER BY ENACTING AND AVOIDING CERTAIN LEGISLATION, SUCH AS PAY RAISES AND TERM LIMITS, RESPECTIVELY

CORPORATE ELITE PERPETUATE POWER BY INFLUENCING LEGISLATION ON SUCH THINGS AS CAPITAL GAINS TAX, INHERITANCE TAXES AND CONCESSIONS ON OIL ROYALTIES FOR GULF OF MEXICO DRILLING

MAJOR LEGISLATION ALREADY PASSED, LIKE NAFTA, GATT AND THE GLASS-OWEN ACT, CONTINUE TO DAMAGE SOCIETY

CONGRESS ABUSES ITS PARTNERSHIP WITH THE ELITE CORPORATE BANKERS AND RUNS UP THE NATIONAL DEBT TO UNPRECEDENTED LEVELS

CONGRESS AVOIDS ELECTION PROBLEMS BY INCREASINGLY RAISING MONEY THROUGH BORROWING

CONGRESS BORROWS BY SELLING TREASURY BONDS

THE FEDERAL RESERVE PURCHASES MOST OF CONGRESSES BONDS

THE BONDS ARE EFFECTIVELY TURNED INTO FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES, ALSO KNOWN AS FIAT CURRENCY

EXCESSIVE FIAT CURRENCY INFLATES THE MONEY SUPPLY

EXCESSIVE MONEY SUPPLY PERMITS EXCESSIVE CONSUMER SPENDING AND UNWISE INVESTMENT

UNWISE INVESTMENTS CAUSE THE BOOM AND BUST CYCLE CALLED THE BUSINESS CYCLE

EASY MONEY AND EXCESSIVE CONSUMER SPENDING DRIVE THE POPULATION INTO DEBT AND FORECLOSURE -- ALL BENEFITS TO THE BANKS

INCREASING AMOUNTS OF INCOME TAXES ARE USED TO PAY THE INTEREST ON THE PUBLIC DEBT THUS TURNING THE PUBLIC INTO SLAVES FOR THE BANKING ELITE

EXCESSIVE BUYING OF FOREIGN PRODUCTS, NAMELY FROM CHINA, CAUSE AN EXCESSIVE TRADE DEFICIT

EXCESSIVE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE DEBT, COMBINED WITH EXCESSIVE TRADE IMBALANCE ARE DESTROYING THE PURCHASING POWER OF THE DOLLAR

HUGE MILITARY EXPENDITURES BECOME NECESSARY TO HIDE REFINANCING OF THE EXCESSIVE DEBT CAUSED BY CONGRESS AND THE FED PARTNERSHIP

A CONTINUOUSLY REFINANCED DEBT IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN CREDITWORTHINESS OF THE US, DOLLAR CREDIBILITY AND AN ARTIFICIALLY RISING STOCKMARKET

PERPETUAL WAR IS THUS NEEDED TO JUSTIFY ENDLESS MILITARY EXPENDITURES

CONGRESS AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE BECOME THE ENABLERS OF THE EXCESSIVE MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX

AN EXCESSIVE MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX CREATES MORE WAR TO JUSTIFY ITS EXISTENCE

AN EXCESSIVE MILITARY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX HELPS THE CORPORATE ELITE, BUT CREATES MORE WEALTH DISPARITY

THE POOR EVENTUALLY HAVE TO DEMAND MORE GOVERNMENT SERVICES BECAUSE THE WEALTHY ARE PREEMPTING TOO MUCH OF THE SOCIETY'S RESOURCES

DEMAND FOR MORE GOVERNMENT SERVICES PLACES MORE STRESS ON THE SYSTEM

GREATER STRESS MEANS A DEGRADED HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

GREATER STRESS MEANS A DEGRADED PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM

A SICK AND DUMBED-DOWN SOCIETY EMERGES

A SICK AND DUMBED-DOWN SOCIETY CAN'T READ

A SICK, DUMB AND ILLITERATE SOCIETY IS DYSFUNCTIONAL

A DYSFUNCTIONAL SOCIETY CAN'T UNDERSTAND CAUSALITY

A CAUSALITY-CHALLENGED SOCIETY CAN'T APPLY THE CONSTITUTION

AN ILLITERATE SOCIETY TOLERATES AND BUYS DEGRADED MOVIES AND MEDIA

DEGRADED MOVIES AND MEDIA LEAD TO A DEGRADED CULTURE

A DYSFUNCTIONAL SOCIETY, WITH A DEGRADED CULTURE, FAILS TO HEED PAST LESSONS AND PROVIDE FOR THE POSTERITY

A DYSFUNCTIONAL SOCIETY, WITH A DEGRADED CULTURE, FAILS TO HEED OR APPLY THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE CONSTITUTION

A DYSFUNCTIONAL SOCIETY, WITH A DEGRADED CULTURE, FAILS TO FUND IMPORTANT SCIENCE, SUCH AS THE SUPERCOLLIDER AND EMBRYONIC FLUID STEM CELL RESEARCH

A LACK OF IMPORTANT THEORETICAL SCIENCE LEADS TO LACK OF INNOVATION AND TECHNICAL BREAKTHROUGHS, SUCH AS FUSION AND

SOLAR ENERGY, ELECTRIC CARS, LUNAR ASTRONOMY AND THE MANNED EXPLORATION OF MARS

A LACK OF TECHNICAL BREAKTHROUGHS -- COMBINED WITH GENERAL CORPORATE GREED, CORPORATE-CONFIGURED LAWS AND A LACK OF VALUES -- ALSO CAUSES PRICES TO RISE AND PRODUCT QUALITY TO FALL

HIGHER PRICES AND LOWER QUALITY IN GENERAL PROHIBIT OR INHIBIT GENERAL HUMAN EXPLORATION ON MANY FRONTS

LACK OF EXPLORATION LEADS TO A SOCIETY THAT EVENTUALLY EXHAUSTS ITS RESOURCES AND FALLS BEHIND

A SOCIETY THAT IS BEHIND CANNOT FOSTER PRUDENT ENERGY POLICIES

IRRESPONSIBLE ENERGY POLICIES DO NOT PROVIDE FOR POSTERITY AND HAVE CAUSED OUR CONTINUED RELIANCE ON FOSSIL FUELS

A CONTINUED RELIANCE ON FOSSIL FUELS HAS CAUSED GLOBAL WARMING

ALL THE ABOVE CAUSE THE UNITED STATES TO REACT IN IRRATIONAL AND IMPROPER WAYS WITH RESPECT TO ITS LEADERSHIP POSITION IN THE WORLD

AN IRRATIONAL AND REACTIVE SUPERPOWER FOSTERS A VIOLENT AND IRRITABLE WORLD

A VIOLENT, IRRITABLE WORLD MANIFESTS IRRATIONAL ACTIONS

IRRATIONAL ACTIONS ON THE NATIONAL LEVEL BECOME HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE SHOOTINGS

IRRATIONAL ACTIONS ON THE INTERNATIONAL LEVEL BECOME ACTS OF TERRORISM

TERRORISM PROMPTS HARSH AND ANTAGONISTIC FOREIGN RELATION POLICIES

SCHOOL SHOOTINGS PROMPT EXCESSIVE GUN CONTROL

FOREIGN RELATIONS PROBLEMS FALSELY JUSTIFY PERPETUAL WAR AND EXCESSIVE MILITARY OCCUPATION OF OVER 140 COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD WITH AN ANNUAL COST OF OVER \$440 BILLION

EXCESSIVE GUN CONTROL THREATENS SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS

PERPETUAL WAR AND POLICING THE WORLD DILUTES THE NATIONAL TREASURY

LOSS OF A NATION'S TREASURY PLACES SECURITY OF THE PEOPLE OF THAT COUNTRY IN JEOPARDY

PEOPLE IN JEOPARDY PROVOKE THE IRRESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT THAT HAS CAUSED OR ALLOWED THE SITUATION TO HAPPEN

A PROVOKED GOVERNMENT TRUNCATES CONSTITUTION CIVIL RIGHTS IN ORDER TO PRESERVE ITS POWER

A LOSS OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND THE APPLICATION OF THE CONSTITUTION TO THE GOVERNMENT BY THE PEOPLE PERMITS ROGUE POLITICIANS AND CORRUPT LEADERS TO EMERGE

ROGUE POLITICIANS AND CORRUPT LEADERS INCREASINGLY DISMISS CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES AND SAFEGUARDS AND THE CHECKS AND BALANCE SYSTEM DECAYS

THE INAPPLICATION OF THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE FOUNDERS AND THE CONSTITUTION THEY LEFT BEHIND AS EVIDENCE OF SUCH INTENTS, EVENTUALLY LEADS TO TYRANNY

A TYRANNICAL GOVERNMENT IS A GOVERNMENT THAT INCREASINGLY DRAWS ALL MONEY, POWER AND RESOURCES INTO ITSELF IN A DESPERATE ATTEMPT AT SELF-PRESERVATION

A HUGE, TYRANNICAL GOVERNMENT EVENTUALLY DEMORALIZES ITS CITIZENS AND ROBS THEM OF RESOURCES AND THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY

A DEMORALIZED AND ROBBED SUPERPOWER LEADS THE WAY TO A STAGNANT GLOBAL CIVILIZATION

A STAGNANT GLOBAL CIVILIZATION EVENTUALLY FALLS

SLOW DISSOLVE:

INT. RECREATION OF THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC - NIGHT/DAY

As the causal events above come to an end, we see a set of beautiful lips blow out a candle ... as if the Deity itself were saying, that's enough of *this* civilization.

JAMES HUNTER

Hopefully the lights won't go out on this chapter of Humankind ... and obviously we're not going to have enough time to go into all these events, but we are going to focus on a number of them because I believe these are the strings we need to pull in order to loosen the knots we have now tied, and allowed to be tied, around our necks.

The problems we face today are serious, but they are no more serious than the problems faced by our ancestors, such as the Civil War, the Great Depression and World War II. *We the People* WILL solve them. All we have to do is identify the place where changes were made from our Constitutional path and work together to correct them in proper sequence. *This can be done by voting the correct people into our government and demanding that they establish the proper priorities.* Doing this is not as big a deal as say settling the New World or colonizing Mars. Keep it in perspective. There's no reason everyone can't eventually be happy and well off: even multinational corporations, places like China and the billions of people all over the world that are less fortunate than we here in the U.S. But, again, to accomplish this the United States must not be brought down to an economic level like the rest of the current world - - the rest of the world must be brought UP to the economic level of the United States. Right now the collectivists and greedy corporate plutocrats are going about it all backwards. They must be stopped. Free trade must be redefined. The United States must develop clean, renewable energy, preferably -- SOLAR and FUSION -- and then sell this energy to the rest of the world until the budget and trade deficits caused by "free trade" have been remedied. In order to do this, the current federal government in Washington is going to have to be OVERTHROWN. By this I mean power returned to the People and the States. To do this, incumbents are going to have to be voted out UNTIL term limits are actually enacted.

Then with a Congress that is more willing to listen to the People, serious reforms are going to have to have to start. Top of the list are reform of the Federal Reserve System, the IRS and campaign finance. If the IRS and the Fed can't be reformed, they will need to be abolished completely. If campaign finance can't be reformed, the People are going to have to take back part of the broadcast spectrum from the major TV networks and allocate it uniformly to all candidates that run. Meanwhile NAFTA, CAFTA and GATT are going to have to be revised to provide protective tariffs until a) the manufacturing base that has been destroyed by greedy stockholders, Benedict Arnold CEOs and multinational corporations, is restored and b) other countries in the world take the initiative to do what we are doing: working hard, getting rid of drugs, weapons and tyrannical leaders, growing and setting a good moral example. Then, on a more *proper gradient*, protective tariffs can once again be reduced to zero for the U.S. and all other countries and REAL free trade can begin amongst the world's countries. But the greedy corporate cowboys, criminal lobbyists and rouge congressmen that allowed the current, overly-aggressive form of mercenary globalization to happen are going to have to be brought to justice: just like the guys at ENRON. Finally, the United States is going to have to STAY out of wars. This also means no preemptive wars. Preemptive wars are tactics that only a Hitler would use no matter what the "justification." You don't hit someone because you *think* they are going to hit you some time in the future. This is insanity, and it foments the very environment it tries to prevent. All this means the U.S.

is going to have to be willing to allow others to be strong. We, nor any nation, has the moral right to force another nation to put down their nuclear weapons unless we put down our weapons by an EQUAL percentage. Others have a right to grow and become stronger so long as they don't harm. Live and let live. For the U.S. to enforce its ways upon other countries OR inhibit them from becoming more powerful is paranoid and counter-productive. Its the over use of pharmaceutical drugs and illegal drugs in the United States that creates this paranoia. The time and energy spent enforcing and inhibiting other countries is better spent growing our nation into the Republic envisioned by the Founders. After all, if the U.S. continues to expand and grow, it will set an example so admired, other countries will seek to emulate its ways all on their own. We won't have to use the Truman Doctrine to force them to become democracies. Countries that seek to expand their power, through the attainment of nuclear weapons and monopolies on energy, will find themselves in a game that has no purpose. Why should they attack a country that's no harm to them, doesn't preempt their natural resources, keeps its share of the environment polution-free, doesn't threaten them with its military, wants to help them and sets an inspiring example? Human nature is human nature. Only rogue politicians, tyrannical governments and paranoid psychopaths seek to justify their existence through the *Rothschild Formula*, fear-mongering and continuous war. *We the People*, each an *individual*, do not.

In the end, all people want is a tranquil life where they can pursue happiness and secure the blessings of liberty for themselves and their posterity.

