

What are you willing to do to regain your freedom?

"None are so hopelessly enslaved, as those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes."

~ Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (b. 1749 - d. 1832)

Disclaimer

The contents of this book are for information and educational purposes only. This information does not constitute legal advice nor should anything contained in any of this information be construed as such. Some of the information contained in this book has been supplied by unrelated third parties. Readers are cautioned to seek independent professional or qualified advice on all legal and or financial matters. This book does NOT constitute legal documentation.

Table of Contents

Foreword	3
Preamble	6
Chapter 1 – Money/Currency	12
Chapter 2 – Is There an Alternative to money	25
Chapter 3 – Human Rights	28
Chapter 5 – To whom do Human Rights apply	37
Chapter 4 – The Legal Name	45
Chapter 5 – The Solution	51
Chapter 7 – Appearing in Court	56

Foreword



The sole purpose of this book is to impart the information and understanding we have acquired from more than a decade and a half of intense research, to identify the source of most of, if not all, our problems and provide the basis for a solution.

We want to express our eternal gratitude to those who have gone before and those who are still on the job, ferreting out information and trying to find a solution to the problems we all face. Without them, we would not be where we are today.

The first Edition of this book very quickly found its way into numerous countries around the world leaving the human author of this book with undeniable evidence of Divinity's participation in this work. For this reason the human author remains anonymous leaving any glory associated with this work attributable only to the Divine.

We will explore the internal workings of a system euphemistically referred to as 'the economy' and our enslavement to it. Further, we will explore how this system has effectively stolen our natural wealth and the fruits of our labour to the exclusive benefit of a small, but particularly powerful, special interest group. Finally we will explore at least one solution to this enslavement.

This book is intended to assist those who have awakened to the fact that something is terribly wrong but have no idea what. We will attempt to explain the problem and propose a solution in a manner that is simple and understandable and consequently involves only a cursory review of the subject matter. For a more complete understanding of the subject matter, one should embark upon their own in-depth study and there is much available to assist in that effort.

However, this book will reveal a long hidden key to freedom, a condition we are intended to live in, and once again, enjoy the bounty that was bequeathed to us at birth.

We do not want you to misinterpret anything said in this book as being a "silver bullet" to immediately fix our lives and any individual legal or other issue you may have. Our purpose is to inform you what is going on and how we are being enslaved by the money system and how that system is being used to enable the bankers to steal from us through the *system of commerce/economy*.

Keep in mind, the entire system is a *fictional*¹. Every human being playing in the system (game) is playing a *fictional character* and the *fictional characters* assigned to us are of lesser authority than the *fictional characters* they play, such as Police Officer, CRA Agent, Judge, etc.

Our true purpose and objective in presenting the information contained in this book is to educate the reader and to ensure that we are all on the same page and the same path as we move forward in our journey to freedom and abundance.

Our concern, based on feedback since the release of the first edition of this book, is that some people are coming into our process because they believe it guarantees immediate relief from the enslavement and theft that has been going on all our lives, even for centuries and even for millennia - It does not!

We don't have solutions to the immediate legal or financial problems many face, because in the current system, there often are no solutions - that's why **the system itself must be fixed**.

We believe that if one knows what is going on in the system and how mastery over us has been gained by deceit and trickery within that *fictional* system of commerce, then we will all agree the individual relief from the problems we all face, will result by permanently changing that system, not fighting or challenging it in its present form.

One may find some isolated success in using the information contained in this book while dealing with current court matters but we must always remember that this system was set up **by** them, **for** them and they have so many ways to entrap us that we could not possibly defeat them at their own game because for one thing, their game exists only in their minds (fictional). Good luck determining what is in their minds!

This is why the process covered in this book is designed to utilize the tools built into the system itself, to actually cause foundational and permanent change to that system, and return it to what we believe to be its original purpose.

The result will be our freedom from the enslavement of the banker dominated money system, and result in government that will be our servant and not our master, taking instructions from us, and not from the bankers.

As Canadians, we are being deprived of our Patrimonial Entitlements, that being our right to freely enjoy our fair share of the natural wealth and resources of our nation, and therefore we are not only entitled, but we are morally obligated to take action aimed at stopping that deprivation. That is what our process is all about.

Our objective therefore, as set out within all our information, is based on the unique premise

¹

Fictional - formed or conceived by the imagination; "a fabricated excuse for his absence"; "a fancied wrong"; "a fictional character" Farlax, the free online Dictionary.

that we believe we have finally come to understand the root cause of the legal and financial problems we all face, and how to fix it. So we intend to take action specifically aimed at eliminating that root cause, thereby **permanently** preventing any such future problems for everyone.

We are not attempting to cure or remedy individual legal or financial problems that often result from that root cause, as so many other remedies and processes have tried in the past to do, and are pointlessly continuing to try.

We have a lawyer who will be surrendering his Bar card(s) (surrendering his rights to practice law) and help us take the legal action necessary to accomplish our objective which he understands to a degree that very few, if any, in the legal profession do (or will admit to).

All our efforts, including this book, and our website, and in fact, everything we do, is designed to further that objective.

We need your support to help recruit as many people as you can, because the costs to undertake such an action are staggering (could be as much or even more than \$250,000). But when we have hundreds and even thousands of people over which to spread that financial burden, along with other fund raising activities, it is a doable thing and in fact, something that we owe ourselves and our posterity.

In conclusion, let us quote from a recent e-mail from our lawyer;

"I need every single one of you on board to justify my stepping away from my practice and making such a potentially explosive application to the superior courts. And all of you certainly need me (or another competent lawyer willing to sacrifice his "legal" career) to properly handle this action.... We can [and will] win this, but to win a case of this scope and nature will not be easy, fast, or inexpensive. Even as the sizable group we are, this will still be very much a 'David & Goliath' battle."

Remember folks, this is an epic battle but one that must be undertaken and one that we are certainly proud to be part of. There are no solutions within the current system of commerce and it is a waste of time to pursue such until we change it. We need to understand the problem and be ready to stay the course.

To assist you in your study, we recommend you visit some very relevant websites including the following;

www.HumanRightsDefendersLeague.ca www.naturalgod.com www.eternallyaware.com www.wallydove.wordpress.com

We firmly believe that our legal action will bring about the fundamental and permanent change to the system that will solve all our problems and our lawyers agrees with us. We will regain our freedom and control of our wealth, our birthright. If you wish to join our legal action

The	Solution	to AII	Our Pr	ohlome
I NE	SOULLUIL	<i>III A I II .</i>	CHAP FI	MINERITA

Updated, 2013

described within the pages of this book, you may do so by visiting our website at www.HumanRightsDefendersLeague.ca or www.naturalgod.com

Preamble



We will repeat the following statement from Article 1 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* ["UDHR"] at the beginning of each Chapter of this book so that we will all "get it". There is nothing more important to our understanding of the solution to all our problems than this fact - "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights."

Everything that we have learned and everything we suggest as a solution to our dilemma is couched in this fact, the importance of which, cannot be overemphasized.

When faced with any argument or response from an opposing force, we will need to return to our foundational understanding that **we are free** and **we are indeed equal** with all others in dignity and rights. Therefore, no other living being on this planet has, or even can have, authority over us without our consent/permission.

All things come from creation regardless what name you give the Creator. As we journey through this life, at some point we hope that all come to an understanding that something is guiding matters and we human beings are not in charge. When we stop resisting and follow our inner guidance system, we find that things go well. If we do not, then things do not go well.

Nothing can be simpler and more fundamentally important to the enjoyment of our life's journey than those aforementioned truths. However, our indoctrination has caused us all to fail to understood these things. It took this author more than a decade and a half of intense research, deliberation, many days in court and jail while testing and challenging the system to come to a true understanding of these things.

We suspect it is similar with you the reader, minus the time in court and jail because that price has already been paid in order to learn the information contained in this book.

Recently, we were provided a quote, purportedly from one Howard freeman. We do not know Howard Freeman, and have no way of verifying his claims, although we find this alleged quote very suspect:

"Unknown Judge: "[This] court is operating in a Admiralty Jurisdiction - call it anything you want, but do not call it Admiralty"".

Our opinion is that no judge ever really said this, which is no doubt also the real reason why neither he, nor any of his friends can provide any actual evidence of his claim.

Further, we do not believe our courts operate in Admiralty Jurisdiction, except and unless they are dealing with actual Maritime issues. Insisting that our courts operate in Admiralty, we firmly believe, is simply a conclusion of people who refuse to believe that our courts always

operate in Common Law, because those people refuse to let go of their emotional attachments to what they have mistakenly believed. Letting go of these beliefs is what it would take to enable them to comprehend what Common Law courts are, and how they actually operate.

What follows is our understanding (covered in more depth in the Chapter dealing with Appearing in Court).

Common Law courts have for many centuries, properly operated under very distinct sets of rules, designed to facilitate what might loosely be termed three categories of trial jurisdictions.

First, they operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection of the people and of the people's property, and more particularly, property held under claim of right, consistent with <u>natural law</u>, wherein in these instances, judges have generally what is called "<u>inherent jurisdiction</u>" to judge <u>what is fair, just and right</u>, according to his or her reasonable and prudent assessment of the facts of a matter, where damage or harm is alleged to have been made to private people or to private property.

Second, these same courts operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection of the people's right to private contract, also consistent with <u>natural law</u>. This aspect of the Common Law courts can be slightly confusing.

Judges <u>may</u> only have what is referred to as "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>" to determine <u>whether or not</u> a party has violated a term or condition of an <u>actual or implied contract</u> (<u>depending on the style of the application before the court</u>). However, where violations of specific terms or conditions of the contract has been alleged or so confirmed, then the judges will also have "<u>inherent jurisdiction</u>" to judge <u>what is fair, just and right</u>, according to his or her reasonable and prudent assessment as to the value of damages for such a violation (<u>or violations</u> as the case may be).

Third, these same courts operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection and enforcement of the people's <u>democratically enacted law of the land</u>, which is consistent with *fiction* law, and is even so admitted to be *fiction* law within its own rules. In these instances, most judges generally are restricted by a style of "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>", and rather than being able to judge what is fair, just and right, they are <u>limited</u> to judging <u>whether or not</u> an individual has offended by violating or breaching a term or clause of an <u>act, statute, rule, by-law, or other fiction "law of the land"</u>, while in these same instances, where an offence or violation has been so confirmed, then the judges also only have "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>" to impose <u>prescribed fines or penalties</u> according to the democratically enacted statute, act, rule, by-law, or other fiction "law of the land" so violated.

This above is discussed in more detail in the Chapter titled Appearing in Court.

There is another belief that is widely promulgated by many these days and that is, that all nations are operating in bankruptcy. The question then becomes, how could the human beings who call themselves Canadians or any other group of human beings be truly bankrupt?

We conclude that this belief has nothing to do with human beings who are born free and equal in dignity and rights2, it is all about the *fictional* world system that has been created at the behest of the Bankers (money-changers of biblical lore).

The first and obvious question that comes to mind, to which this book will provide an answer, is, "to whom is Canada indebted?" The same is true in every other country on earth (with rare exceptions such as Iran and North Korea – this may answer your questions concerning all the "sabre rattling" that goes on in the world today about those two countries).

The next question is "Where did the creditor get the money to loan to everyone, making debtors of the entire world (that's a lot of money)?"

The creditor is, as G. Edward Griffin calls them in his book titled "The Creature from Jekyll Island", the "Cartel" (International Bankers).

From where did they get the money? Well, read on and you will find out, and the answer will shock you and hopefully correct your belief about how the world system (*economy*) actually works.

What is going on, we believe, is that two parallel systems co-exist, which we like to refer to as *reality* and *fiction*.

Reality is real. For example, human beings are real. The earth is real. The trees are real. The gold is real. The fish are real. Natural law is real because if you fall off the roof of a building the *law of gravity* will ensure that you hit the ground unless something breaks your fall.

Fiction is not real and exists only in the minds of human beings. For example, persons are fiction. Property, or title, in land is *fiction* (*exists on paper and in the human mind only – not real as human beings are for example*). Property, or title, in trees is *fiction*. Property, or title, in fish is *fiction*. Statutes or Acts that have the force (*emphasis on force*) of law, is *fiction*.

The world system of commerce or as it is commonly referred to, the economy, is a fiction. It is all based upon a series of rules created by government (predominantly lawyers) at the behest of the **Cartel**, as the creditors (remember, the borrower is servant to the lender). Additionally, the system is based upon another fictional concept, **money**.

At this point we would like to say this, you can join this system/game if you wish, BUT AS FREE AND EQUAL HUMAN BEINGS, YOU CANNOT BE FORCED TO DO SO!

Some may ask, then how do we live?". Read on and you will learn how that is possible.

This is why we can make another statement, you are free, you just do not know it and/or you

² Article 1, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

do not know how to actually be free. This book will, we trust, convince you that you can be free and also teach you how to be free and enjoy your birthright, dominion over the earth and all things of it.

We cannot all be free permanently until and unless fundamental and permanent change is made to the current *fictional* system. In fact, not until we prosecute our legal action which we are very close to filing, planned to be in or before August, 2013.

All of us have joined this system/game, much like joining in a game of Monopoly, but generally we have done so without being *fully* informed and, therefore, without our *informed* consent.

How is that possible?

Were we not told we had to register the birth of our offspring?

Were we not told we had to have a Social Insurance Number in order to work?

Were we not told we had to have a Driver's License to travel around our earth in our private conveyance (automobile)?

Were we not told we had to pay taxes?

Were we not told we have to have rules and everyone must obey the law?

Have we ever asked ourselves why all these things are so?

So – ask yourself why all these things are so?

Do you have an answer?

We trust you have an open mind because the information in this book may cause you to change your answer.

Open your mind and think about it. Think about it before you read on.

This is how we were enticed or coerced into joining the system and to become an obedient citizen/slave.

Of course, there were other means of coercion used against us. There were the government run schools, or what might be referred to as "government indoctrination centres". We all entered these institutions thinking and acting differently. We all came out thinking virtually the same. And one of the things we were all programmed to believe is that the government has authority over us and their rules (Acts and Statutes) will keep us safe from one another.

And what about this one that I have heard so many times in my life, "we have to have rules"?

All of this is a bunch of crap!

We do not have to join their system and it is certainly not in our best interest to do so, at least not the way it is currently set up, with the banks manipulating our government to act as our Masters and us human beings as their slaves, or what they like to refer to as Citizens.

A Citizen is not a slave you say.

Let's look at the definition of Citizen in the Citizenship Act of Canada and the Oath of Citizenship which states:

OATH OR AFFIRMATION OF CITIZENSHIP

I swear (or affirm) that I will be faithful and <u>bear true allegiance</u> to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors, and that <u>I will faithfully observe the laws of Canada and fulfil my duties as a Canadian citizen</u>. [emphases ours]

We have underlined what we feel will convince everyone that a Citizen is indeed a slave/servant.

It does not even matter in fact, what we believe as a result of this quote, if anyone reading this book can actually say they believe they are free in this world today they are not really aware of what is going on.

You may be wondering why we said earlier that "you are free, you just do not know it and/or you do not know how to be free."?

We were making a point then and we are doing so now. We are free, but once we enter the system of commerce/economy we become a slave. We still have the choice to become part of the system or not. However, despite the fact we voluntarily joined the system, as free and equal human beings, we cannot be prevented from exiting if we find the system does not work for us.

However, we have to be realistic and understand that those who are paid "authorities" within the system will do most anything to keep us in the system and that is precisely why our **Solution** is to legally and lawfully force change upon government to make the changes necessary to free us all and allow us all to enjoy our birthright (the earth and all the wealth thereof) – our legal action.

Remember, we are simply playing a game just as if we were playing the game of Monopoly. As human beings we are free and equal in dignity and rights. This means there are no limits on us and we can make the decision to leave the system/game if we so desire.

We cannot lawfully be prevented from leaving the system/game but we can be coerced and intimidated into believing that we cannot. How many human beings are capable of taking on the system "authorities" and winning? We suspect, far too few to effect the changes

necessary to fix the system/game and that is the reason for our legal action which all are welcome to join.

If we were playing the game of Monopoly with a group of people and they started to cheat or change the rules whenever they wanted and without our agreement, we would probably leave the game and we would be free to do so if we wanted. That is an obvious truth.

Well, the same applies to this game of commerce that we are all playing now. Rather than leave however, we prefer to change and fix the system.

It will not be easy to do so, but this book will, we believe, help us all understand how to be free again and enjoy the bounty of our birthright, dominion over the earth and all things of it (our wealth that we are currently being deprived of).

It bears repeating over and over because this is so vital that its fundamental importance to our understanding of what is going on and the solution, cannot be overemphasized. Everything out there that is part of the system, is a figment of our imagination. Let us explain.

Have you ever seen and touched a Police Officer?

No, you have never done so because a Police Officer is a *fiction* and exists only in our minds. We may be able to walk up and touch a human being wearing a uniform, a gun and a badge but when we touch them, we are touching a human being wearing a uniform, a gun and a badge. A Police Officer is a concept, a *fiction* that exists only in our minds.

If we acknowledge that particular human being's (the one playing the fictional character of Police Officer for example) authority over us, authority they do not have over us as human beings since we are all born free and equal in dignity and rights, we are actually allowing another human being who is playing the part of a fiction (something that exists only in our minds – it is not real) to control our lives.

If one can truly comprehend what is going on in this scenario, then we must also comprehend that we must be insane to permit it, and more to the point, insane to allow it to continue!

Chapter 1

Money/Currency



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

Money is the root of ALL our problems and without an understanding and acceptance of this fact, it is impossible to understand what is going on in our lives and most certainly, we will NEVER understand the solution to all our problems.

The *Solution* proposed in this book is designed to effect freedom from enslavement to the *Cartel's* monetary system and a return to a condition of free human beings with the ability to freely enjoy our birthright, the earth and all the wealth and abundance thereof.

Let's consider some of our problems and see if it is true they are rooted in money.

A loving man and woman get married and they have three children. The couple love their children and decide that the children would benefit from the continuous loving attention of one of the parents and the Mother/Wife decides that she will stay home and raise the children. The Father/Husband gets a good paying job and since jobs are not easy to come by, he takes one that involves work on an assembly line and other than the decent paycheque, he simply hates it.

The job is not rewarding and he has a boss who is simply a tyrant, we know the type, they seem to always be unhappy and take out their frustrations on their subordinates.

A few years go by and the couple and their children find themselves living from paycheque to paycheque, never having enough money to even pay for the necessities of life, let alone the constant demands of the children to play sports, or accompany the other children on school trips and such.

Soon the Father/Husband starts accompanying some of co-workers to a local Bar after work on Fridays and it really seems to help him feel better so it soon becomes a routine.

It is not long before his relaxing Friday after work visits to the Bar create another problem, he is spending money on beer that he simply cannot afford and his family starts to suffer more

Updated, 2013

and more. Each time he arrives home on Friday evenings, he meets his wife who is constantly complaining and making justifiable demands on him to stop what he is doing because she and the children are suffering and she simply cannot even feed the children properly.

One Friday evening he arrives home a lot more inebriated than usual and his wife seems to be nagging him more than ever. This time he explodes. All the anger and frustration of working at a job he does not like and never having enough money causing his wife and children to suffer. Faced with what he sees as constant nagging, he strikes out.

In his mental and inebriated state, he is out of control and by the time things settle down, he realizes that his wife is laying on the floor and is not moving and bleeding profusely.

The rest of the story is very predictable with the wife/mother possibly dead, the father in jail and the children in foster care, never to be the same again. They will grow up without the love of parents and suffer untold misery in those foster homes that only those who have endured it can understand.

ALL OF THIS IS DUE TO MONEY CREATED BY PRIVATE BANKERS AND LOANED TO US AT INTEREST, AS IS THE MONEY SUPPLY FOR ALL NATIONS ON THIS PLANET!

This story can be repeated for every problem we have in this life today and it matters not what the problem, it can be easily traced back to the fact that the money we have is created by private bankers and borrowed at interest instead of being created by government and provided to the people free of charge.

Because our money supply is controlled by private bankers, there is always a created shortage of money to keep us in lack and want. We are easier to control and manage that way.

With this perspective in mind, let's continue.

Money, schools, religion, and laws (*statutes and Acts which are not law but have the force of law*) are some of the tools used to control the masses, and our ignorance of them has resulted in our enslavement and the unjust enrichment of our manipulators/controllers who are currently accountable to no one on this earth.

Unless we understand the monetary system, we will never understand how these manipulators are controlling us and stealing our entire natural and created (*from our labour*) wealth.

Updated, 2013

Money is simply painted up pieces of paper; it is created from nothing, and has value only because we human beings are willing to accept it in exchange for our goods and services.

Money is a fiction (painted up pieces of paper are real but money is a concept, a fiction) and we have to play the part of a fiction in order to have any of it. We have to consent or allow ourselves to be recognized as a fictional character such as a **person** in order to obtain any of that fictional money. More on the **person** later.

Money is only a *medium of exchange* that facilitates the free exchange of goods and services amongst human beings and should not be a valuable commodity in and of itself.

Money is a *fiction* just like the Police Officer is a *fiction*, existing only in our minds. You can touch and feel the painted up pieces of paper but you absolutely cannot touch *money*!

It is very important to keep in mind that every one of the characters in the game being played by human beings, are all *fictional* including the *fictional* character(s) they have us human beings playing such as **person**, **Driver**, **Taxpayer**, **Citizen**, and any number of others.

Their characters, it should be understood, all have more authority than most of ours. We can chose to play *fictional* characters with more authority such as Police Officer, Lawyer, Judge, Accountant, Member of Parliament, and so on. However, in order to do so we must qualify by study *(indoctrination)* and proving our knowledge *(examinations)*.

Subsections 91(14), (15) and (20) of the Constitution Act, 1982 (Canada) gives the power to create money to the federal government. The federal government, in contravention of the Constitution Act, 1982 (and the Supreme Court of Canada decision in *Nova Scotia (Attorney General) v. Canada (Attorney General)*, [1951] S.C.R. 31) handed this power over to private bankers, the *Cartel*.

Banks are private, for profit entities and their loyalty is with their shareholders and mostly themselves rather than customers and the human beings occupying this land mass. The continuing world financial crisis is evidence of where these banker's morality and loyalty rests and reveals an intrinsic flaw in a monetary system, this flaw being the fact that it creates money from nothing and loans it at interest.

Private Banks produce money using the signature of human beings who apply for loans, either on their own behalf or on behalf of corporations and/or government, and in this way they control and own 100% of the supply of printed or electronically created money.

This power is exercised through the Bank of Canada and the Chartered Banks. All money in Canada is <u>created</u> by the banks (licensed [private] credit grantors) when it is borrowed The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 15 of 63

The banks do not have the money they loan us. They create it at the time the loan is made, based upon our good credit. Our signature on a piece of paper, through an operation of law, allows the bank to grant this credit (*money/bank deposit*).

To add support to what we have just said, let us quote from page 287 of the Minutes of meetings of the *House of Commons Standing Committee on Banking and Commerce* held in 1939:

Question from McGeer: "But there is no question about it, that banks create that medium of exchange?" [i.e., bank deposits]

Towers: "That is right. That is what they are for."

McGeer: "And they issue that medium of exchange when they purchase securities or <u>make</u> loans?"

Towers: "That is the banking business, just in the way that a steel plant makes steel."

Understanding that we have to go to work and earn the money to repay our loan(s) to the bankers who never had the money to begin with, should alarm us and be sufficient impetus for us to learn more and determine what we can do to correct this problem.

We, through our government, should be creating the money supply for the people and that money should only be a *medium of exchange*, subject to strict rules and those in charge of its creation and control, held to full accountability.

To prove the point, let us quote from page 259 of the aforementioned Minutes:

"It is absurd to say that our country can issue \$30,000,000 in bonds and not \$30,000,000 in currency. Both are promises to pay; but one promise fattens the <u>usurer</u> and the other helps the people", and;

"It is the people who constitute the basis of government credit. Why then cannot the people have the benefit of their own gilt-edged credit by receiving non-interest bearing currency, instead of the bankers receiving the benefit of the people's credit in interest bearing bonds?"

On page 394, Mr. McGeer asks,

"Will you tell me why a government with power to create money should give that power away to a private monopoly and then borrow that which parliament can create itself back at interest to the point of national bankruptcy, because, if we cannot finance the things that are

necessary it means that this nation cannot meet its current obligations."

Mr. Towers answers:

"...Now, if parliament wants to change the form of operating the banking system, then certainly that is within the power of parliament...there are no limitations on parliament..."

On page 462 Mr. Towers says:

"...We can apply the income tax, for example, conveniently to the case because it is about the same as the debt charge...Then the dominion government uses that \$140,000.00 to pay interest to the holders of the bonds." [the bankers]

Therefore, Income taxes pay the interest to the bondholders, the bankers.

Please understand that the "usurer" referred to in these quotes is the private bankers, the "Cartel".

Under the present Canadian monetary system (again, the same thing exists in every country), when interest is charged on borrowed money, it creates a mathematical impossibility. That mathematical impossibility is the ability to repay the loan plus the interest because the money with which to pay the interest is never created.

In other words, since we have to pay the interest from the money we borrowed, it leaves less money in existence than we borrowed in the first place, thus the mathematical impossibility. After the first interest payment is made there is less money left than we borrowed, therefore it is impossible to repay the original loan.

We might not see this if we do not look at the monetary system from the global *(national)* perspective. Do not look at our individual loans in isolation because we may not be able to fully understand how the monetary system truly works.

This is slavery and theft because when we cannot repay the loan, because some of the borrowed money had to be consumed in the payment of interest, the bank takes the goods and services that we acquired with the borrowed funds (bankruptcy).

The Banks put nothing into the transaction but because of the operation of law, ends up with everything. The money that is paid to the banks to 'repay' the so-called bank loans and mortgages is, we can only presume, retained by the 'Cartel'. This money actually belongs to us, since it was our credit that was used to create it.

We are currently witnessing what can be classified as the greatest theft of wealth in recorded history.

Countries all over the world are bailing out private industry, a thing that would have been unimaginable just a few decades ago. The source of these bailout funds, is you!

Why are we allowing this to happen? Because we are ignorant of the workings of the money system.

The people who create and control our money supply do so for two nefarious purposes only. First, to enslave the people of the whole world and secondly, to steal all the wealth of the world, wealth that belongs to all human beings equally.

The "Cartel" not only owns the money creation powers of most nations on earth including Canada, they also own most of the major corporations that are the object of the recent bailouts of 2008-2009.

This Chapter and other information in this book, will hopefully change our ignorance of the money system that exists around the world, into knowledge of that very system. It is not as difficult as it has been made to seem by those who do not really want us to understand the money system.

It may assist in our understanding of the money system to visualize that you own the money creation power of the nation and are authorized, by law, to create all of the money used in Canada (or any country on earth).

Look at it as having a "blank chequebook". In other words, you do not have to have any money because the law allows you to create it out of nothing simply by writing numbers on pieces of paper such as the cheques in your chequebook.

O.K.? Let your mind run wild with this and truly think about it.

Anyone in the country who wants or needs money is going to have to come to you to borrow it! Wow, what power. Are you beginning to feel it? Who do you think will set the terms and conditions of the loan? With that power, do you think you control all the people? Of course you do.

It would not be such a burden to the people if you did not **loan** the money to them but simply charged them a fee for the service of creating their money for them. But instead, the banks require that we pay them back.

The problem these days is that those who do explain the money system to the people, do so using words and terminology that the people cannot, or find it difficult to, comprehend.

As an example, Larry Bates, a world renowned U. S. Economist, explained why the present U.S. money system (we have the same system in Canada as I described earlier) was destined to collapse.

He stated as his reasoning we have too little cash chasing a much larger amount of debt. This is a result of the cost of servicing the debt.

Now we can assume that you all have difficulty, as we did, understanding that statement. We had to think about it and finally, we figured out what he was saying and what he meant.

What he was saying is that because all our money is borrowed from the Banks and must, therefore, be repaid (amount of debt); and because the interest charged on this debt must come from the money (debt itself) that was borrowed; then when the time comes to repay the loans (debt) there will not be enough money to repay the loans because we had to use some of it (the borrowed money or debt) to pay the interest (service the debt).

This is a perfect example of what we meant earlier when we alluded to the fact that they do tell us the truth about the money system, they just do it in a way that not one in a million will understand. As are sult, we remain in ignorance and consequently in slavery to the monetary system.

That is how the money system works. You do not have to believe it. You can say the same thing that a local CMA (accountant) said to me after an all candidates meeting in a municipal election campaign (2006). He said, "It does not work that way," I then asked him how it did work and he responded, "I don't know but it doesn't work that way."

This is the height of ignorance that abounds in our society and evidences why the bankers have been successful in their enslavement and theft using the monetary system. We are ignorant and worse than that, some of us are not willing to admit it. Further, we will not believe those who have studied the system and bring us the truth.

We here at the Human Rights Defenders League have done the studying, it is now up to you to listen and do one of two things; one, do your own due diligence or two, do nothing. To remain in ignorance is the simpler of these choices and possibly many will choose that route. However, we hope there are at least some who will listen and embark upon a study and research program to either verify what we say or prove us wrong and to our delight, there are more and more of us waking up and wanting to know the truth and that is the reason we have *The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada* (11.04.2012)

Page 19 of 63

embarked upon the project of writing this book.

The truth is that those who actually create and control our money supply are orchestrating another of their depressions or recessions by simply decreasing the amount of money they create and inject (*loan*) into the economy. The purpose is to enable them to steal massive amounts of wealth and gain more control over their slaves, us human beings.

We are the ones to blame for our condition, not the government and not even the bankers. The bankers have the power, via their control of money, and we need to realize that they are people that we cannot possibly understand. How can we understand people who live their lives solely for the purpose of enslaving others and stealing everything they produce along with their birthright, their natural resources?

The government is indebted (not a real debt but just a fictional debt) to these bankers and just like us, have no alternative but do as they say. There were a few who did not do as they were told by the 'Cartel' and their names will help us understand what these bankers will do to retain the money creation powers they possess. There was JFK, James Garfield, Andrew Jackson, Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley and the list goes on.

The government, cannot fix this problem unless we are knowledgeable about the monetary system. We feel very safe saying that not one in 10 who read this book will know with certainty that the Presidents of the US listed in the previous paragraph were executed because they were planning to take back the money creation powers from the bankers.

Once we know the truth about the monetary system in sufficient numbers, it will then be safe for the government to change the laws and take back the power to create money from the private bankers. That is what our legal action is designed to do by creating the permanent change needed to free us all and enable us once again to enjoy our birthright.

When enough of us know the truth, the bankers will not be able to take out our government representatives because too many of us know the truth and they face the very real possibility of being hung if they do.

It is the right and duty of a sovereign government, as servants of the people, to create the supply of money for the benefit of the people – free of charge.

It does not matter if we believe this or not, it is still the truth.

Again, we are explaining the monetary system in a manner and with words and terminology everyone will understand. We will not do as economists, accountants and bankers do. They use words and terminology that the vast majority of people do not comprehend.

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 20 of 63

This they do, we believe, mainly for the purpose of plausible deniability. If they tell us the truth, but do it in a way and with words and terminology that we cannot understand, then whose fault is it that we do not know the truth. It is irrefutably ours! They told us the truth, at least often they do, but we cannot comprehend and that cannot be blamed on them, it is up to us to learn for ourselves what is going on.

We are committed to explaining the monetary system in a way that everyone will understand it because our purpose is not to confuse anyone – our purpose is to educate by sharing what we have learned.

Continuing then, with our educational efforts regarding the monetary system, let's discuss and analyze a significant event in history.

Back in 1929 we witnessed the beginning of a severe depression. But what caused it?

We are certain everyone has heard a variety of explanations of the cause of the depression - all designed to convince us that the causes were beyond anyone's control. We are convinced then, mainly because we saw it on TV or read it in some other media, it is the truth and we are convinced we know what caused the depression or there may be a number of causes but all beyond the control of anyone. This, of course, could not be further from the truth.

A process that we have adopted to help glean the truth from the things we read in the Newspapers and hear on the television is this – the truth is 180 degrees opposite to what they are trying to make us believe.

This process has served us well and we believe, if adopted by all, will serve everyone well.

Many look to the great drought as a cause of the 1929 depression, referring to the soil just blowing away to another state or province, etc. These people, like parrots, are simply repeating things they have heard or read.

There are other theories such as there was no work, but no one ever tries to determine why there was no work. Maybe the causes were controllable after all. Maybe, just maybe, the great depression was actually caused intentionally!

If this is true, then those who committed suicide during that period did so as a result of orchestrated events that led to the death of those people. The deaths of those many human beings as a result of these intentionally created conditions were predictable and callous. That, by any standard, is murder!

Updated, 2013

One reason it is critical we understand what happened in 1929 is because the same thing is happening right now. We guarantee that all recessions have the same cause, they have been caused to happen, they do not just happen! It has been orchestrated in order to transfer wealth from the people to the 'Cartel' and their corporations.

The New World Order is made up of these corporations. That is the reason for all these **free trade agreements** that actually give the corporations more power than sovereign governments. Corporations can sue governments that pass laws that reduce their profitably and they will win as proven by the numerous cases adjudicated under NAFTA (*North American Free Trade Agreement*) since its inception.

Our system of commerce (sometimes referred to as the economy), exists everywhere, even in Russia and Iran (remember, the truth is 180 degrees opposite of what we are told), and consists of four, and only four, elements that combined, make up that system. They are:

- Natural Resources.
- People to add labour to these resources (production),
- People to consume the production of the combination of natural resource and labour (consumers), and
- Money.

Which one of these four elements went missing (was reduced) in 1929? It was money, of course.

There were no less natural resources in 1929 than there were in 1921.

There were no less people either to work or to consume.

Therefore, there must have been less money because it is the only remaining element making up the *system of commerce/economy*.

Since money is simply created either by painting up pieces of paper or making journal entries in the bankers books (the credit side of the entry being the deposit to your bank account which you spend by writing cheques), there is never a true shortage of money, there is simply a lack of motivation to create more (plus the other negative factors associated with the present monetary system).

I hope everyone is beginning to see the picture by now.

If this is the first time you have been exposed to these truths about the elements of the system of commerce/economy, you may wish to think of another element than those listed *The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada* (11.04.2012) Page 22 of 63

above. However, I am confident you will not discover one.

One may get involved in looking at the trees which will result in one not being able to see the forest as the old cliché goes. The reason being, you are too intent on studying one of the internal functions (*trees*) of the *system of commerce/economy* and not studying the system as a whole (*the forest*).

For example, one may say that the stock market is an element of the *system of commerce/economy*. However, we would point out that this is just one of the trees within the forest. It is not an element but a tree within the system.

The stock market is just a result of using the money that we have named an *element*.

Take away money (fictional money or fiat currency or painted up pieces of paper) and you will not have a stock market.

The stock market is just a piece of paper (all fictions are pieces of paper, such as corporations, government, policemen, etc. even though they all have a guiding mind behind them – a human being), buying and selling other pieces of paper called stocks or shares in a corporation (of course there have to be guiding minds [human beings] behind every piece of paper in this fictional world, but they are nonetheless pieces of paper).

Amongst the elements of a system of commerce or economy that we have listed, only money is a *fiction*. The other two elements are real – natural resources and people.

Money is what we have brought into our real world from the world of *fiction*.

Money has caused us to adopt and overlay our real system of creation *(resources and man's labour)* with it. It alone has lead to the creation of laws *(rules)*, courts, prisons, lawyers, banks *(above all)*, corporations, etc.

It is important that everyone understands this next statement; with all the money available to banks, the banks can, and have, taken control of all the media, the food production companies, all transportation, etc., etc., and as debtor to "the Banks" the government is instructed as to which laws to pass and how to treat the people, etc. The old biblical saying that the borrower is servant to the lender takes on a whole new meaning now doesn't it?

So, now we know who controls this entire system that we live under. It is not the government; it is Banks, the 'Cartel'. However, the government can fix the problem because they are the ones with the legislative power to implement laws that control the system for the 'Cartel'. The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 23 of 63

Updated, 2013

However, currently governments are nothing more than pawns to the bankers, wittingly or unwittingly.

This, of course, is what we hope to change with our legal action.

Finally, to demonstrate the power of these bankers, let us quote from the transcript of a speech by a U.S. Iraq war veteran, Michael Prysner. This reveals what this soldier came to understand and names the perpetrators. The video of this speech can be viewed at http://dotsub.com/view/749fb533-dad3-4105-a56e-565e3f6d0972

"And I tried hard to be proud of my service, but all I could feel was shame. Racism could no longer mask the reality of the occupation. These were people. These were human beings.

I've since been plagued by guilt anytime I see an elderly man like the one who couldn't walk, who we rolled onto a stretcher and told the Iraqi police to take him away. I feel guilt anytime I see a mother with her children like the one who cried hysterically and screamed that we were worst than Saddam as we forced her from her home. I feel guilt anytime I see a young girl like the one I grabbed by the arm and dragged into the street.

We are told we are fighting terrorists. The real terrorist was me and the real terrorism is this occupation. Racism within the military has long been an important tool to justify the destruction and occupation of another country. It's long been used to justify the killing, subjugation and torture of another people. Racism is a vital weapon employed by this government. It is a more important weapon than a rifle a tank, a bomber or a battleship. It's more destructive than an artillery shell or a bunker buster or a Tomahawk missile. While those weapons are created and owned by this government they are harmless without people willing to use them.

Those who send us to war do not have to pull a trigger or lob a mortar round. They do not have to fight the war. They merely have to sell the war. They need a public who is willing to send their soldiers into harm's way. They need soldiers who are willing to kill and be killed without question.

They can spend millions on a single bomb but that bomb only becomes a weapon when the ranks in the military are willing to follow orders to use it. They can send every last soldier anywhere on Earth but there'll only be a war if soldiers are willing to fight. And the ruling class, the billionaires who profit from human suffering care only about expanding their wealth, controlling the world economy.

Understand that their power lies only in their ability to convince us that war, oppression and exploitation is in our interest. They understand that their wealth is dependent on their ability to

convince the working class to die to control the market of another country.

And convincing us to kill and die is based on their ability to make us think that we are somehow superior. Soldiers, sailors, marines airmen have nothing to gain from this occupation. The vast majority of people living in the US have nothing to gain from this occupation. In fact, not only do we have nothing to gain but we suffer more because of it. We lose limbs, endure trauma and give our lives. Our families have to watch flag-draped coffins lowered into the earth.

Millions in this country without health care, jobs or access to education must watch as this government squander over 450 million dollars a day on this occupation. Poor and working people in this country are sent to kill poor and working people in another country to make the rich richer.

Without racism soldiers would realize that they have more in common with the Iraqi people than they do with the billionaires who send us to war. I threw families onto the street in Iraq only to come home and find families thrown onto the street in this country in this tragic, tragic and unnecessary foreclosure crisis.

We need to wake up and realize that our real enemies are not in some distant land and not people whose names we don't know and cultures we don't understand. The enemy is people we know very well and people we can identify. The enemy is a system that wages war when it's profitable. The enemy is the CEO's who lay us off our jobs when it's profitable. It's the insurance companies who deny us health care when it's profitable. It's the banks who take away our homes when it's profitable. Our enemy is not 5,000 miles away. They are right here at home. If we organize and fight with our sisters and brothers we can stop this war. We can stop this government and we can create a better world."

"If tyranny and oppression come to this land it will be in the guise of fighting a foreign enemy... The loss of Liberty at home is to be charged to the provisions against danger real or imagined from abroad..." - James Madison - Edited by Phaedrus

Chapter 2 Is There an Alternative to Money



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

In this Chapter we will explore the possibility of a world without money and how that world might function or if it is even possible to live without money.

With all the negative impact on human beings of the current monetary system, explored in Chapter 1, exploring the possibility of an alternative is simply logical and prudent.

There may be other alternatives than to live without money but the problem we see is that the very existence of money allows for the possibility that private interests will gain control of that money system and we will immediately find ourselves in the same system of slavery, lack, want, theft and violence in which we currently live.

There can be no question about it, even without money we could continue to create everything that is currently being created. All that is required are natural resources and human labour both of which we have in abundance. Then all that remains after we create things is to get that production to the storehouses (*grocery stores, computer stores, etc.*) for people to pick up and use – just like it says in the Bible incidentally.

However, when money is introduced, things become very ugly because it results in us believing we have to *pay* for things with money (a commercial function in which no human being should participate). This truly is insanity at its best when all that was required to have everything, and we mean everything, available that we need, is natural resources and human labour!

Why would we allow this money system to control our lives?

I realize that those of us who are new to this concept will be thinking things like, you are not taking human greed into account, or you are not considering that the only reason people work is for money, etc.

Believe us, we have heard them all and it is simply a way of defending a system that we do not understand and if we admitted the truth, we would also have to admit that we are either wrong or stupid. Neither of these alternatives is palatable and we understand that. Our answer though is, GET OVER IT! This is too important an issue to allow pride to cause the continuation of a monetary system that has not only enslaved us all but is facilitating the theft

of the abundance of wealth that actually belongs to all of us equally.

The reason is we just do not understand money and those that do understand it are using our ignorance against us. They are hiding the knowledge from us and preventing us from gaining that knowledge by every means possible.

Thus they use our ignorance to enslave us to a monetary system that is designed for nothing more than to enslave us and steal our production.

Of course we are permitted to have cars and houses and food, none of which we own by the way (legal title being held by government except for food which is held by corporations [the reason for GMO's]. The law considers the legal title holder as the owner). But did the slave owners of the southern U.S. not do the same for their slaves during the days when slavery was permitted to exist openly?

Slavery continues to exist today, it is simply masked by the use of debt money (*currency*) as the engine for what is referred to as the economy.

The people who control our money supply (the "Cartel" through the Bank of Canada and the Chartered Banks in Canada) are our slave masters and we would do well to gain an understanding of how they operate the system of commerce/economy. To that end, an understanding of money, is crucial.

Hopefully, everyone understood from our earlier discussion what we can accomplish with nothing more than natural resources and human labour – money is absolutely unnecessary.

The concept of ownership is probably one of the culprits that have resulted in our belief that we must have a system of commerce and use money to *pay* for things. In this way we believe that we *own* what we *pay* for, ownership being a concept that should be foreign to human beings.

We would do well to remember that none of us **owns** anything! The Creator owns all things and we human beings have been granted Dominion or stewardship or use of those things (Geneses 1:26-28).

If we actually **owned** anything, then we would be able to take it with us when we leave this body. We do not even own the space we occupy while we are in these bodies because when we (the Spirit/Love/God) leave this body it rots and returns to the earth thus freeing up the space for others.

Therefore, once we create the things we do and get them to the storehouses for others to pick up to use or consume, our job is finished.

Then we become consumers and visit the storehouses to pick up the things that we need and want as well.

So why do we have *money* and a *system of commerce/economy*? Well, the answer should be obvious by now if we have been dwelling on the things we have read so far.

Money (at least the privately owned kind) is truly for the sole purpose of enslaving the people and stealing all, or virtually all, the wealth that the people create and that natural wealth which is theirs by birthright.

If anyone cannot see this, then please pause and return to the beginning and start to study again. Try to internalize what we are presenting here because this understanding is critical to an understanding the problem and the solution to all our problems – but it is not easy because of our years of indoctrination by parents, school and religion but it can be done.

The more schooled we are (in other words the more indoctrinated we are) the more difficult the knowledge presented in this book will be to comprehend. One cannot get two things in the same place – indoctrination (*lies*) and these truths. One of these things must be foregone in favour of the other.

Money is a tool for the parasites who live off the production of mankind (prostitution), either because they are so lazy they will not work to produce for themselves and others or because they have a diabolical and twisted need to control others and live off their labour (that is prostitution is it not), or both.

There is another possibility of course, and that is that we people are so stupid that we could not get out of our own way if we did not have someone to tell us to do so.

Money is our enemy and probably why the Bible says that the love of money is the root of all evil.

But now for the \$64 question. Can we free ourselves?

The answer is a resounding yes but we must study and learn who we really are.

Are we slaves to the banker controlled government or are we free and equal in dignity and rights³. That is the guestion we must all answer for ourselves.

³ Article 1, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Chapter 3

Human Rights



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

There is a solution to all our problems.

Many, over a period of many years, have been searching for a solution/remedy to not only the legal, social, and economic problems we all face, but also the loss of freedom and the loss of our birthright (dominion over the earth and all things of it).

Whether our issue is income tax, traffic, prohibition of our right to fish, hunt, or otherwise gather our food, being dispossessed of our offspring, or any number of other issues emanating from government Acts, Statutes and Regulations (so-called crimes but without a victim), the solution is the same.

To understand the solution, we need to first accept and understand that we are in this situation as a result of our own behaviour. In other words, we are, individually and collectively, responsible for our situation and therefore, we are able to change or fix it once we understand how.

Through our apathy and ignorance, we allowed our present condition to develop simply because we were not diligent in learning and asserting our natural rights. As a result, we failed in our duty and accountability to ourselves and others. Additionally, we have been negligent by not demanding accountability of others for their actions, particularly those choosing to act as our servants, entrusted with the management of our natural resources, such as government.

Those servants have slowly, and progressively, abrogated our freedom and commenced acting as our Masters without any reaction from us human beings, and that is a significant part of the problem. We allowed them to do what they want (to quote Trudeau, "you did not send me to Ottawa to do what you think is right, you sent me to Ottawa to do what I think is right"), and because we have not been diligent in instructing them, correcting them, and demanding accountability from them in their role as our servants, they commenced taking instructions from the bankers to whom they are indebted because of the operation of our unconstitutional

Money is to be created by the people that are the producers, through an agency of our government for our benefit and on our behalf - free of charge!

Let's take a look at the difference between a human being (man/woman) and a legal (fictional) entity known as a **person**, because that, as it turns out, is the mechanism by which we have all been taken into slavery or involuntary servitude, robbing us of our freedom and our birthright, our natural wealth, over which we have dominion (supreme authority) from our Creator.

Any human being can, of their own free will, assume one or more persona, and the one most commonly assumed is that of a *person*. There are others of course, such as Driver, Taxpayer, Resident, Citizen, to name but a few.

Although we have all been taught and believe that we are all persons, a **person** is a legal fiction (creation of law) and is not something that a human being <u>is</u> at birth and that is proven by the fact that the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* and the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* recognizes a human being's **right** to be **recognized** everywhere as a **person** before the law. A **person** then, is not something that we are by birth, but it is something that we can be **recognized as "before the law"**.

The Quebec Civil Code, under the heading, ENJOYMENT AND EXERCISE OF CIVIL RIGHTS, Article 1, states "Every human being possesses juridical personality and has the full enjoyment of civil rights". This suggests that a juridical personality (legal person/fiction) is something that a human being possesses, not something that a human being IS.

The preamble to the ONTARIO HUMAN RIGHTS ACT states "Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world and is in accord with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as proclaimed by the United Nations;", referring, we humbly submit, to human beings as "members of the human family" and commits to "the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as proclaimed by the United Nations;".

Additionally, Manitoba's Human Rights Act reads, in part, "WHEREAS Manitobans recognize the individual worth and dignity of every member of the human family, and this principle underlies the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, and other solemn undertakings, international and domestic, that Canadians honour;" recognizing the worth and dignity of each individual human being as a "member of the human family".

Updated, 2013

Further, the preamble to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states, "Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world"

Further, the Bible, the foundation of our society commonly known as Canada, uses the word "man" when referencing the individual human being, and Acts 10: 34 reads, "Then Peter opened his mouth, and said, 'Of a truth I perceive that God is no respecter of persons.", and James 2: 9 reads "But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors."

The aforementioned declarations by Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, the UN and the Bible, clearly recognize the equality⁴, inherent and unalienable rights, worth and dignity of every human being within the human family.

This is not true of a **person** (that legal fiction which a human being can be recognized as, before the law) and, to the author's knowledge, there is no law in Canada **compelling** a human being to be recognized as a **person**, yet, **and get this**, almost all statutes and Acts (the expressed will of government having the force of law), rules, regulations and codes apply to **persons**. In other words, **persons**, not human beings, are subject to these laws, rules, regulations and codes.

- Did you know that a *right* is something we have, not something that we have to earn?
- Did you know that a *right* is something that cannot be forced upon us?
- Did you know that a *right* is something we can waive if we do not want to assert it?

What would it mean then if we *waived* the right to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law⁵?

It is important to understand that since we have a *right* to recognition as a *person*, then a *person* must be something that we are *not*. Remember, we are human beings, not *persons*, at least not automatically in law.

We do, however, have a *right* to be recognized as a *person*.

As stated throughout this book, all law (statutes and Acts of parliament and the Provincial Legislatures) applies to **persons**, with rare exceptions such as murder, theft, and other acts

⁴ Article 1, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

⁵ Article 6, Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 16, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

Updated, 2013

that harm another or their property, also known as biblical or natural law? These are codified within the *Criminal Code of Canada*© along with a bunch of provisions that apply only to **persons**.

If the *Fisheries Act*, the *Income Tax Act*, the *Child and Family Services Act*, the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act*, and the *Highway Traffic Act*, to name just a few, *apply only to persons*, then if we waive our right to recognition as a *person* before the law, would those Acts apply to us, human beings?

OF COURSE THEY WOULD NOT!! (unless the courts can trick us into somehow associating ourselves with the **legal** (**registered**) **name or some other fiction such as Driver, etc.** and thus permitting them to recognize us as **persons** (**or fictions**) – see the Chapter on the **legal name**).

The simple solution to all our problems then, is to acquire knowledge of, assert or waive, and defend, our natural rights, which are, in part, memorialized in a document known as the *International Bill of Human Rights*, documents Canada has signed and ratified.

The long hidden secret that has recently come to our understanding is that we can actually assert **or** <u>waive</u> these rights according to our will. For example, we can waive the human right to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law⁶.

The Bible teaches us In Genesis 1:1-28, that God created the heavens and the earth. He then created the fish, the animals, and everything else of the earth.

The Bible also states that God then created man and He gave man dominion (supreme authority) over the earth and all things of it, including the fish and the animals and the other wealth (natural resources), further emphasizing our equal co-ownership of the natural wealth of the entire earth, but more specifically for those living on a particular land mass, the resources contained therein or thereon. This means that each of us human beings is co-owner of the wealth of this earth, but more specifically, each one of us in Canada, co-owner of the wealth of this land mass commonly known as Canada.

The document that we look to for the assertion or waiving of our *human* rights is the *International Bill of Human Rights ("IBHR")*, which consists of three main documents, the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights ("UDHR")*, the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights ("ICESCR")*, and the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights ("ICCPR")*.

Our freedom and equality is specifically recognized in Article 1 of the "UDHR" which states,

⁶ Article 6, Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 16, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 32 of 63

"All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights..."

Some of the rights that accompany ownership/possession of anything IS the *right* of peaceful enjoyment, and the *right* of disposal at the sole discretion of the owner/steward.

Article 1(2) of the "ICESCR" states, "All peoples may, for their own ends, freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources without prejudice to any obligations arising out of international economic co-operation, based upon the principle of mutual benefit, and international law. In no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence."

Canada, a member state of the United Nations ("UN"), has signed and ratified, in the most important cases at least, the "IBHR", making it legally binding on the government(s) in Canada.

Therefore, by waiving our right to recognition everywhere as a **person** before the law, we dispossess/disassociate ourselves from that of the **person**. Accordingly, we will be separated from the liabilities attached to the **person**, while retaining the dominion granted to us by God or whatever you may call our creator (so long as you do not enable them to entrap you by their deceit and trickery into recognition as a person or other fictional character - more on that later).

We rely on the "IBHR" to bind the government and its organs to recognition of those of our natural rights that they memorialized within the four corners of the "IBHR", and thereby committing themselves to recognizing and respecting.

It is worth noting at this point that the "IBHR" does not give us anything, it simply binds the government. Our rights came with us at birth and are commonly referred to as natural or God given rights. The "IBHR" simply memorializes some of them, calling them human rights, and binds the government to their recognition and defence.

In a recent decision by Judge Roy Moore of the Alabama Supreme Court in Ankrom v. State of Alabama ruled that an unborn child has rights and in particular, the right to life. Further, he stated in an interview with WND Faith,

""I get criticized for my professions that God is the basis of all rights or liberties," he told WND, "and yet, the rule of law, being the Constitution, and its companion, the Declaration of Independence, organize the laws of our country on [the premise that] our rights come from God."

Government's job, he said, is to secure and protect those rights.

Further, the full Constitution needs to play an active role today, he said."

Read more at http://www.wnd.com/2013/01/court-rules-unborn-is-child/#1IrivBbKPtA54XHg.99

By implication, since our Creator or Great Spirit did not specifically give one man authority over another nor make any distinction amongst mankind, He intended that all men be equal in dignity, rights and authority (dominion); government's agreement being expressed in Article 1 of the "UDHR" which states, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights...".

It should also be understood that we have the *right* to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law, as described in Article 6 of the "*UDHR*" and Article 16 of the "*ICCPR*" which states, "*Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.*"

A *right* is something you have, not something you have to earn or be given.

The solution then, IS SIMPLE - prevent or minimize the continuing eradication of our freedom and loss of wealth by acquiring a clear understanding of, and willingness to assert, our rights and discharge our duties. We must be fully accountable for our actions, and demand accountability from others - particularly those in government, whose actions most affect us all.

Those entrusted with the management of our wealth and organizing our community activities should be very mindful of the Nuremberg Principles, which state in part that it is not an acceptable defence for their actions to claim "I was just following Orders", and will also not be a defence if they are charged with breach of trust or other wrong doing.

At this point, we should have a much better understanding of our rights and the potential outcome of our actions.

Knowledge can set us free so please enjoy your education through this book and other referenced sources.

You may learn more by studying the remainder of this book. We are limited only by our imagination.

There is much more documentation one can use to show man's law must, and in fact does, agree with God's law in the recognition of our (as human beings) dominion over the earth and all things of it. To keep this book simple and focused we will not get into any more documentation at this time. This does not have to be complicated anyway.

Another thing we want to emphasize is that we should be careful to use proper words when referring to our 'offspring' and should refrain from referring to them as 'children' because a child is defined in the relevant Child Protection Acts as a **person**. It should be noted, as discussed herein, that there is a difference between a human being and a **person**. A human

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 34 of 63

Updated, 2013

being is God's creation; a *person* is man's creation. A human being is not a *person* but can be so *recognized* if he/she wishes or is tricked into agreeing to be.

It is critical to understand what is going on, understand the tools and how those tools are used to manipulate us. We will then understand the problem and the solution to many, if not all, of our woes.

The solution is to find a way to encourage or legally force the government to make the necessary changes to rectify this problem - a rather simple but not so easy fix. One of the tools available to us is the "IBHR".

Within the four corners of the three documents that make up the "IBHR", are memorialized some of our natural rights, referred to as *human* rights, which we can **assert** or **waive**, at our option.

This is as simple as we can make this message about the solution to ALL of our problems.

However, before we start doing whatever we want (which is our natural right as free human beings, as long as we do no harm to another human being or his/her possessions), we caution you that without education, the so-called authorities will resist our right to be free. Therefore, we must be cognisant of the necessity of making permanent change to remedy all our problems once and for all.

If we do not act now, our posterity will suffer far more enslavement than we have and may even find it impossible to do anything without permission from their slave-masters or to rectify the problem. That is inevitable. Look at what has happened to you and the rest of us human beings through even our own short lifetime.

Therefore our duty to family, children and grandchildren and others to come, is to do what we can to rectify the problem while we still can.

What we are advocating is simply **asserting** or **waiving** certain of our human rights according to our will. There is no criminality involved in that and therefore, nothing to fear.

While we cannot predict the future, we are satisfied things are going to get far worse than they are now because we understand the intentions of the 'Cartel' - their intention of owning the entire earth and all human beings upon it and stealing the fruit of our labour along with all our natural wealth.

We can indeed "fight City Hall", and we can win using lawful means!

No one can claim or waive your human rights, only you can!

So how do we do that?

- 1. We must keep in mind the fact that we are all human beings.
- 2. We must remember that as human beings, we are all born free and equal in dignity and rights (Article 1, *UDHR*).
- 3. In ANY venue where we find ourselves in confrontation with any agent of the system, we should inform them that we know we are all born free and equal with one another and ask how is it that they seem to believe they have authority over us human beings?
- 4. Suggest that we may know how this was done all their laws were written to apply to **persons** and not human beings, otherwise, we would not all be free and equal and then ask if this is true, yes or no?
- 5. Inform them that we waive our human right to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law and consequently their laws do not apply to us, human beings.
- 6. Remind them of the statement contained in a report from the Lawyers Rights Watch Canada (LRWC) May 2012;
 - "Despite the fact that Canadian laws must be interpreted consistently with treaty obligations (Chapter 3), international human rights are infrequently brought to the attention of BC judges, and knowledge of international human rights is generally poor amongst the BC judges and lawyers surveyed."
- 7. Inform them that we are here as a human being and do not consent to recognition as anything other than a human being, including, but not limited to, any *fictional* character such as *person*, *citizen*, *voter*, *driver*, *taxpayer*, etc.
- 8. Suggest that it appears that they are all playing *fictional* characters in the system, *(game)* such as *(insert whatever fictional character they appear to be playing)* and that makes us, a human being, the highest authority in the venue *(room or wherever we happen to be)*.
- 9. Then we can promptly issue our instructions for them to do whatever it is we want them to do.

Note: It is not a good idea to engage in this type of conversation with a Law Enforcement Officer on the side of a highway. They are less aware of human rights than lawyers and judges as pointed out by the Lawyers Rights Watch Canada. We should just accept what the Officer wants to give us and point these things out to their supervisor or some other appropriate **person!**

Additionally, we must remember that it is going to be very difficult to assert our human rights at this point since it can be safely assumed that we have all contracted those rights away, under contracts entered into with government and others, such as banks. This is covered in some detail in the Preamble starting on about page 6 and the Chapter titled Appearing in Court beginning on page 57.

This discloses the real need for fundamental and permanent change to be accomplished through our legal action.

Chapter 4

To Whom do Human Rights Apply



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

The answer to this question is contained within the term human rights. Human rights apply to all human beings.

At the expense of sounding a little dimwitted, in order to determine if we qualify, the only question we have to ask ourselves is, are we a human being?

At first blush this may seem like such a stupid question as to not even warrant comment. However, it has not always been this way, has it?

Remember the black people of the Southern United States a couple of hundred years ago?

Remember the indigenous people of North America and in fact, all over the world?

Hopefully, we have come to a time in human history where we all recognize the fact that whether black, yellow, green or what are referred to today as First Nation's people, we are all human beings. But human beings have not always recognized that fact and as a result, and we believe probably as a direct result of that, we have allowed others to take authority over us.

For example, if we accept that black people are inferior to us, then it follows that we are prepared to accept that certain other people may be superior to us.

What we need to accept in order to be free and responsible human beings is that we are all human beings and we are all equal in dignity and rights.

The **UDHR** speaks to this very fact when it states in its Preamble,

Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people,

This part of the Preamble essentially says that if we are going to be free and at peace, we MUST recognize the equality of all human beings. We could add to that, if we do not, then how can we possible be free ourselves?

It follows that if we accept the inferiority of others, we will accept our inferiority to certain others such as those who claim to be our authorities.

Once we accept the equality of all human beings in dignity and rights, we will never again allow ourselves or others to be treated as inferior to anyone.

We sincerely hope that we have made sense here.

We received a message recently sent by one human being to another and we can certainly see the sincerity within the content of this message. Following that message, we will quote from an article that caused this sincere human being to write this message and will, we believe demonstrate the lunacy and destructiveness, particularly to ourselves, of an attitude that views others as inferior or even superior for that matter.

I read the article 'Five Hundred Years of Injustice' you referred me to and it is an excellent article, in my opinion.

Human rights belong to ALL human beings and of course it is so from the very beginning. I shall use the words 'your people' and 'my people' not because I believe a distinction should exist (we are all one) but simply to make it easier to communicate my thoughts.

My people are only beginning to awaken to the atrocities we have committed upon your people and it is important that **all** people are awakened to this truth. However, part of that truth is that my people have been deceived, lied to and manipulated by those among us who wanted to enslave the world, your people and mine.

Power, control and greed of a relative few has resulted in not only the atrocities committed against your people but also on various groups of my people throughout history. We, your people and mine, share a common enemy. Walter, I cannot read about what has been done to your people without crying and feeling shame that my people could do these things.

My Dad fought in the 2nd world war. He put his life on the line doing what he believed was the right thing to do and has spent his entire life trying to live with those things people do in war. I now know that if my Dad and all others involved in that war had understood the real reasons for that war, it wouldn't have happened. This war was typical of all wars, including the war

against your people. We were deceived. Divide and conquer has been used in every instance to further the goals of those who control us all. We must break down the divisions between peoples.

We, not your people nor mine, can go back in time and undo what has happened as much as we would like to. There is only one way to overcome the evil people who have been directing all the atrocities in mankind's history and that is for ALL peoples to come together as one people.

It is my opinion, to do that we must very quickly agree to an understanding that we must put the past behind us, without forgetting all the truths of our history because it will help us to become much more vigilant of all peoples rights now and in the future. There must be forgiveness and understanding and love to move forward as one to achieve freedom for all.

It is my hope, Walter, that you will be able to quickly bring your people on board in order that they can participate fully in the fast approaching civil suits. Anything I can do to facilitate that, I will certainly do.

Now we will present the unedited article referred to in the above response.

Five Hundred Years of Injustice:

The Legacy of Fifteenth Century Religious Prejudice by Steve Newcomb

When Christopher Columbus first set foot on the white sands of Guanahani island, he performed a ceremony to "take possession" of the land for the king and queen of Spain, acting under the international laws of Western Christendom. Although the story of Columbus' "discovery" has taken on mythological proportions in most of the Western world, few people are aware that his act of "possession" was based on a religious doctrine now known in history as the Doctrine of Discovery. Even fewer people realize that today - five centuries later - the United States government still uses this archaic Judeo-Christian doctrine to deny the rights of Native American Indians.

Origins of the Doctrine of Discovery

To understand the connection between Christendom's principle of discovery and the laws of the United States, we need to begin by examining a papal document issued forty years before Columbus' historic voyage In 1452, Pope Nicholas V issued to King Alfonso V of Portugal the bull Romanus Pontifex, declaring war against all non-Christians throughout the world, and specifically sanctioning and promoting the conquest, colonization, and exploitation of non-Christian nations and their territories.

Under various theological and legal doctrines formulated during and after the Crusades, non-Christians were considered enemies of the Catholic faith and, as such, less than human. Accordingly, in the bull of 1452, Pope Nicholas directed King Alfonso to "capture, vanquish,"

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 40 of 63

and subdue the saracens, pagans, and other enemies of Christ," to "put them into perpetual slavery," and "to take all their possessions and property." [Davenport: 20-26] Acting on this papal privilege, Portugal continued to traffic in African slaves, and expanded its royal dominions by making "discoveries" along the western coast of Africa, claiming those lands as Portuguese territory.

Thus, when Columbus sailed west across the Sea of Darkness in 1492 - with the express understanding that he was authorized to "take possession" of any lands he "discovered" that were "not under the dominion of any Christian rulers" - he and the Spanish sovereigns of Aragon and Castile were following an already well-established tradition of "discovery" and conquest. [Thacher:96] Indeed, after Columbus returned to Europe, Pope Alexander VI issued a papal document, the bull *Inter Cetera* of May 3, 1493, "granting" to Spain - at the request of Ferdinand and Isabella - the right to conquer the lands which Columbus had already found, as well as any lands which Spain might "discover" in the future.

In the *Inter Cetera* document, Pope Alexander stated his desire that the "discovered" people be "subjugated and brought to the faith itself." [Davenport:61] By this means, said the pope, the "Christian Empire" would be propagated. [Thacher:127] When Portugal protested this concession to Spain, Pope Alexander stipulated in a subsequent bull - issued May 4, 1493 - that Spain must not attempt to establish its dominion over lands which had already "come into the possession of any Christian lords." [Davenport:68] Then, to placate the two rival monarchs, the pope drew a line of demarcation between the two poles, giving Spain rights of conquest and dominion over one side of the globe, and Portugal over the other.

During this quincentennial of Columbus' journey to the Americas, it is important to recognize that the grim acts of genocide and conquest committed by Columbus and his men against the peaceful Native people of the Caribbean were sanctioned by the above mentioned documents of the Catholic Church. Indeed, these papal documents were frequently used by Christian European conquerors in the Americas to justify an incredibly brutal system of colonization - which dehumanized the indigenous people by regarding their territories as being "inhabited only by brute animals." [Story:135-6]

The lesson to be learned is that the papal bulls of 1452 and 1493 are but two clear examples of how the "Christian Powers," or "different States of Christendom," viewed indigenous peoples as "the lawful spoil and prey of their civilized conquerors." [Wheaton:270-1] In fact, the Christian "Law of Nations" asserted that Christian nations had a divine right, based on the Bible, to claim absolute title to and ultimate authority over any newly "discovered" Non-Christian inhabitants and their lands. Over the next several centuries, these beliefs gave rise to the Doctrine of Discovery used by Spain, Portugal, England, France, and Holland - all Christian nations.

The Doctrine of Discovery in U.S. Law

In 1823, the Christian Doctrine of Discovery was quietly adopted into U.S. law by the Supreme Court in the celebrated case, *Johnson v. McIntosh* (8 Wheat., 543). Writing for a unanimous

court, Chief Justice John Marshall observed that Christian European nations had assumed "ultimate dominion" over the lands of America during the Age of Discovery, and that - upon "discovery" - the Indians had lost "their rights to complete sovereignty, as independent nations," and only retained a right of "occupancy" in their lands. In other words, Indians nations were subject to the ultimate authority of the first nation of Christendom to claim possession of a given region of Indian lands. [Johnson:574; Wheaton:270-1]

According to Marshall, the United States - upon winning its independence in 1776 - became a successor nation to the right of "discovery" and acquired the power of "dominion" from Great Britain. [Johnson:587-9] Of course, when Marshall first defined the principle of "discovery," he used language phrased in such a way that it drew attention away from its religious bias, stating that "discovery gave title to the government, by whose subject, or by whose authority, the discovery was made, against all other European governments." [Johnson:573-4] However, when discussing legal precedent to support the court's findings, Marshall specifically cited the English charter issued to the explorer John Cabot, in order to document England's "complete recognition" of the Doctrine of Discovery. [Johnson:576] Then, paraphrasing the language of the charter, Marshall noted that Cabot was authorized to take possession of lands, "notwithstanding the occupancy of the natives, who were heathens, and, at the same time, admitting the prior title of any Christian people who may have made a previous discovery." [Johnson:577]

In other words, the Court affirmed that United States law was based on a fundamental rule of the "Law of Nations" - that it was permissible to virtually ignore the most basic rights of indigenous "heathens," and to claim that the "unoccupied lands" of America rightfully belonged to discovering Christian European nations. Of course, it's important to understand that, as Benjamin Munn Ziegler pointed out in The International Law of John Marshall, the term "unoccupied lands" referred to "the lands in America which, when discovered, were 'occupied by Indians' but 'unoccupied' by Christians." [Ziegler:46]

Ironically, the same year that the *Johnson v. McIntosh* decision was handed down, founding father James Madison wrote: "Religion is not in the purview of human government. Religion is essentially distinct from civil government, and exempt from its cognizance; a connection between them is injurious to both."

Most of us have been brought up to believe that the United States Constitution was designed to keep church and state apart. Unfortunately, with the Johnson decision, the Christian Doctrine of Discovery was not only written into U.S. law but also became the cornerstone of U.S. Indian policy over the next century.

From Doctrine of Discovery to Domestic Dependent Nations

Using the principle of "discovery" as its premise, the Supreme Court stated in 1831 that the Cherokee Nation (and, by implication, all Indian nations) was not fully sovereign, but "may,

perhaps," be deemed a "domestic dependent nation." [Cherokee Nation v. Georgia] The federal government took this to mean that treaties made with Indian nations did not recognize Indian nations as free of U.S. control. According to the U.S. government, Indian nations were "domestic dependent nations" subject to the federal government's absolute legislative authority known in the law as "plenary power." Thus, the ancient doctrine of Christian discovery and its subjugation of "heathen" Indians were extended by the federal government into a mythical doctrine that the U.S. Constitution allows for governmental authority over Indian nations and their lands. [Savage:59-60]

The myth of U.S. "plenary power" over Indians - a power, by the way, that was never intended by the authors of the Constitution [Savage:115-17] - has been used by the United States to:

Circumvent the terms of solemn treaties that the U.S. entered into with Indian nations, despite the fact that all such treaties are "supreme Law of the Land, anything in the Constitution notwithstanding."

Steal the homelands of Indian peoples living east of the Mississippi River, by removing them from their traditional ancestral homelands through the Indian Removal Act of 1835.

Use a congressional statute, known as the General Allotment Act of 1887, to divest Indian people of some 90 million acres of their lands. This act, explained John Collier (Commissioner of Indian Affairs) was "an indirect method - peacefully under the forms of law - of taking away the land that we were determined to take away but did not want to take it openly by breaking the treaties."

Steal the sacred Black Hills from the Great Sioux nation in violation of the 1868 Treaty of Fort Laramie which recognized the Sioux Nation's exclusive and absolute possession of their lands.

Pay the Secretary of the Interior \$26 million for 24 million acres of Western Shoshone lands, because the Western Shoshone people have steadfastly refused to sell the land and refused to accept the money. Although the Western Shoshone Nation's sovereignty and territorial boundaries were clearly recognized by the federal government in the 1863 Ruby Valley Treaty, the government now claims that paying itself on behalf of the Western Shoshone has extinguished the Western Shoshone's title to their lands.

The above cases are just a few examples of how the United States government has used the *Johnson v. McIntosh* and *Cherokee Nation v. Georgia* decisions to callously disregard the human rights of Native peoples. Indeed, countless U.S. Indian policies have been based on the underlying, hidden rationale of "Christian discovery" - a rationale which holds that the "heathen" indigenous peoples of the Americas are "subordinate to the first Christian discoverer," or its successor. [Wheaton:271]

As Thomas Jefferson once observed, when the state uses church doctrine as a coercive tool, the

result is "hypocrisy and meanness." Unfortunately, the United States Supreme Court's use of the ancient Christian Doctrine of Discovery - to circumvent the Constitution as a means of taking Indian lands and placing Indian nations under U.S. control - has proven Madison and Jefferson right.

Bringing an End to Five Hundred Years of Injustice to Indigenous Peoples

In a country set up to maintain a strict separation of church and state, the Doctrine of Discovery should have long ago been declared unconstitutional because it is based on a prejudicial treatment of Native American people simply because they were not Christians at the time of European arrival. By penalizing Native people on the basis of their non-Christian religious beliefs and ceremonial practices, stripping them of most of their lands and most of their sovereignty, the *Johnson v. McIntosh* ruling stands as a monumental violation of the "natural rights" of humankind, as well as the most fundamental human rights of indigenous peoples.

As we move beyond the quincentennial of Columbus' invasion of the Americas, it is high time to formally renounce and put an end to the religious prejudice that was written into U.S. law by Chief Justice John Marshall. Whether or not the American people - especially the Christian right - prove willing to assist Native people in getting the Johnson ruling overturned will say a lot to the world community about just how seriously the United States takes its own foundational principles of liberty, justice, and religious freedom.

As we approach the 500th anniversary of the *Inter Cetera* bulls on May 3 and 4 of 1993, it is important to keep in mind that the Doctrine of Discovery is still being used by countries throughout the Americas to deny the rights of indigenous peoples, and to perpetuate colonization throughout the Western Hemisphere. To begin to bring that system of colonization to an end, and to move away from a cultural and spiritual tradition of subjugation, we must overturn the doctrine at its roots. Therefore, I propose that non-Native people - especially Christians - unite in solidarity with indigenous peoples of the Western Hemisphere to impress upon Pope John Paul II how important it is for him to revoke, in a formal ceremony with indigenous people, the *Inter Cetera* bulls of 1493.

Revoking those papal documents and overturning the *Johnson v. McIntosh* decision are two important first steps toward correcting the injustices that have been inflicted on indigenous peoples over the past five hundred years. They are also spiritually significant steps toward creating a way of life that is no longer based on greed and subjugation. Perhaps then we will be able to use our newfound solidarity to begin to create a lifestyle based on the first indigenous principle: "Respect the Earth and have a Sacred Regard for All Living Things."

References

Cherokee Nation v. Georgia 30 U.S. (5 Pet.) 1, 8 L.Ed. 25 (1831).

Davenport, Frances Gardiner, 1917, European Treaties bearing on the History of the United States and its Dependencies to 1648, Vol. 1, Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution of

Washington.

Johnson and Graham's Lessee V McIntosh 21 U.S. (8 Wheat.) 543, 5 L.Ed. 681(1823).

Rivera-Pagan, Luis N., 1991, "Cross Preceded Sword in 'Discovery' of the Americas," in *Yakima Nation Review*, 1991, Oct. 4.

Story, Joseph, 1833, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States Vol. 1 Boston: Little, Brown & Co.

Thacher, John Boyd, 1903, Christopher Columbus Vol. 11, New York: G.P. Putman's Sons.

Williamson, James A., 1962, *The Cabot Voyages And Bristol Discovery Under Henry VII*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wheaton, Henry, 1855, *Elements of International Law*, Sixth Edition, Boston: Little Brown, and Co.

Ziegler, Benjamin Munn, 1939, *The International Law of John Marshall*, Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.

There is very little we can add other than to say, we are all human and we have been enslaved, all of us, and we have had all that is ours by birthright, the earth and all the wealth thereof, stolen from us. At least part of the reason is our acceptance of inferiority and superiority of certain of humanity rather than the equality of all humanity.

It is about time we all come together and reversed all that has happened and that can be accomplished by all human beings joining us in our legal action.

Please come join with us, we love you all.

Chapter 5

The legal name



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

We would be remiss not to warn you of another important trick they play on us, in order to allow them to **recognize and treat us "AS IF" we are persons** or to proceed on the assumption that we have **not** waived our human right to recognition everywhere as a **person** before the law.

Waiving this human right means that none of their Acts and Statutes (what they call law) apply to us, human beings, since we are not to be recognized as **persons**.

It should be that simple. However, they, particularly the courts, get us to make joinder with the *legal (registered) name* that appears on our Certificate of Birth (by getting us, by trickery or coercion, to give them a name, and particularly a name that sounds like their legal name) and by doing so, they have the right to recognize us as a **person/fiction** before the law even though we have previously put them on Notice that we waive our human right to recognition everywhere as a **person** before the law.

It is actually a *fiction* that is the key, we believe. In other words, it is not simply making joinder with the *legal name* that is the only problem, it is that if they can get us to admit to being a Driver, a Taxpayer and such, then we are agreeing to be recognized as a *fiction* in their *fictional* system, joining with them in their game and having less authority than many other *fictions* in the system, they are able to do what they want with us, as many of us have experienced.

It is deceit and trickery because once we notify them of our decision to waive our human right to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law, then that should be the end of it. However, they seem to want to do whatever it takes to keep us in the game, even without our consent.

The problem began when our parents started calling a word/noun/name to get our attention.

⁷ Joinder is a legal term which refers to the process of joining two or more legal issues/parties together...

The Solution to ALL Our Problems

Updated, 2013

We, as little human beings, started believing that we were that word/noun/name instead of understanding that we are a human being named 'man'/woman' by our Creator, with a calling chosen by our parents that we respond to. So people who want to get our attention, for example, can call that word/noun/name and we will respond or know that it is us, whose attention they are attempting to acquire.

First, we must understand that we are not a *name*; we are a human being (man/woman).

If our Creator named us 'man/woman', then that is our name and that ONLY, is our name. We have all been taught, and it is very difficult to rid ourselves of that indoctrination - that we must have a name, if for no other reason, than to distinguish us one from the other.

Our calling (those words used to get our attention) is not our name, although it might be a name (fiction) that is used to do so.

For example, my Dad & Mom called me Son, my spouse calls me Honey, my children call me Daddy, and on it goes.

Our parents gave certain required information related to the event of our birth to government under compulsion of law (which did not apply to them as human beings, but applied to them only if they asserted their human right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law⁸), including our calling(s), referred to as given names along with the family or surname that is separate from our calling(s), a surname simply being a description of our roots or lineage. The government registered that information and then the given names (callings) were combined with the family or surname, and a **legal (registered) name** was created, as an operation of law.

The bottom line is that we cannot admit to having a name other than 'man/woman' because the minute we do, the courts will assume it is the registered (legal) name and assume we are operating as that legal name/person and recognize us human beings as persons or fictions and consequently, assume jurisdiction over us.

Because all human beings are born free and equal in dignity in rights, as stated in Article 1 of the "UDHR", the entire purpose of inventing/creating the 'person' was to circumvent this fact. A person is a fiction just as any other name or capacity that we might play in the game of commerce, such as Driver, Resident, Citizen, etc.

All laws (*Act and Statutes*) are then written to apply exclusively to **persons** (*fictions*) and since we are being recognized as *fictions*, those laws apply to us in our *fictional* roles.

⁸ Article 6 of the "UDHR" and Article 16 of the "ICCPR"

Updated, 2013

Remember, human beings (men/women) are real, whereas the *legal name/person*, or any name for that matter, such as *Driver, Taxpayer, Grantor, Beneficiary*, etc., are all *fictions* and if we are recognized as any of them, then we are playing in the *fictional* game and we are done.

That is how they have entrapped us all. They really do not exercise authority over human beings, but they do over *fictions* (*persons*, *Driver*, *Resident*, *Citizen*) and as long as we consent to play those roles, we are caught in the trap.

The **calling** of **the name** (not our name) to which we are referred, may be John-Henry and we may be of the family or lineage of Doe. The family name, or surname as the government calls it, is not even part of our **calling**. That surname is nothing more than a description of our 'roots' or lineage. That is not to say that the way to answer the question, "what is your name?" is to simply not give them your family name. Again, **our name is 'man/woman'**. The calling of **the** name (not our name) 'John-Henry' is the means to gain our attention or to refer to (not recognize) us.

However, if we give them our *calling*, they will still assume it to be the *legal (registered)* name and recognize our operation of that name as our willingness to be recognized as a *person/fiction*. That is why we are suggesting that one NEVER give them any name other than the name given to us by our creator, "Man/Woman".

The *legal (registered) name* provides us with the right to conduct commerce (*opening a bank account and buying and selling*) in that *legal name*. In fact, to conduct commerce, we MUST use that *legal (registered) name*.

Operating in or as that *legal name*, however, is not without consequences because that legal name does not belong to us, it belongs to the government because the government registered it and is holding the title document (unless they are holding it in trust for us which is not how things are operating today, so let's stick with what we can prove - the government is holding the title document so it must be their legal name).

We believe the system is designed so that we should simply be able to use the *legal person* to conduct commerce without any negative personal (*human*) consequences, with the government being liable for the debts (*legal and financial*) of the *legal name/person*. However, that is not what the agents of the government recognize as our right and the government's responsibility.

The way we suggest it should be only makes sense if we understand that all natural resources belong to us, human beings, and the government is simply managing them on our behalf. When these resources are disposed of, the government, our servant, is to retain sufficient funds to cover the cost of its own operations and then turn the remainder over to us.

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

Page 48 of 63

Alternatively, they turn all the money over to us and we decide how much they get to cover the cost of their operation.

This is essentially confirmed in the "IBHR" which states at Article 1(2) of the "ICESCR", "All peoples may, for their own ends, freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources without prejudice to any obligations arising out of international economic co-operation, based upon the principle of mutual benefit, and international law. In no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence."

When we present a Certificate of Birth, or other 'identification' bearing the *legal name*, to anyone in the system and they ask us if that "name" is you or is that *your* name and we answer yes, we have done two things. First, we have lied because that *legal name* is born of the law and belongs to the government that registered it (and is holding the paper/legal title), and that *legal name* cannot be us, we can only act "as if" we are it. A man is a human being but a name is an "it" and a person is an 'it' and a defendant is an 'it' and a Judge is an 'it'. A human being can only be a human being whose name is 'man/woman'.

Secondly, we have agreed to operate as "if we" are the name, or to act "as if" we are something over which the government and all its agents have authority or what they call jurisdiction, the *legal (registered) name*, or JOHN HENRY DOE.

In other words, our action of operating "as if" we are the name effectively makes joinder (combined with) between us and their **legal name**. This, at least in the mind of a judge, expresses our (tacit) consent to assert our human right to recognition everywhere as a **person** before the law. This then, gives the judge the jurisdiction to administer all their Acts and Statutes that apply exclusively to **persons**, against us, human beings, because we are operating "as if" we are the **legal (registered) name**, a **person/fiction**.

To summarize, if we have given a Notice of waiver of our human right to recognition everywhere as a *person* before the law, but then give the court any name other than 'man/woman', particularly one that sounds like their *legal name* (*or part of their legal name*, *i.e. John or John Henry*), we have just effectively reversed our decision to waive that particular right and we will be recognized as a *person/fiction* by the court.

Remember, a **name** is a *fiction* and a **name** is a *noun* or word or phrase.

This permits the court to deem us (because of the joinder with their legal name) to be acting "as if" we are a **person/fiction**, giving the court jurisdiction over us, a human being, and the right to administer the provisions of all Acts and Statutes that apply exclusively to **persons**, to us, human beings (because we have operated in or as if we are the legal name/person/fiction).

The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada (11.04.2012)

This becomes very important because when the government or its agents lay charges, they ALWAYS have to use a **name** on their charging instruments (except for real crimes such as harming another or damaging their property) and that name is ALWAYS the **legal (registered) name** which they own.

When we show up in court and give the court a name, particularly one that sounds similar to their *legal name* (or a derivative of that legal name), we effectively make joinder with their *legal name* (we are no longer recognized as a human being, we are treated "as if" we are a person/fiction) and we, the human being, combined with the *legal name* we are operating, form a new entity that is recognized everywhere as a person/fiction before the law and the court assumes that this is our will and our voluntary act and deed.

However, it will not matter what name we give a court other than 'man/woman', the court will assume it to be their registered *legal name*, or at least a *fiction* because every **name** is a *fiction* (it exists only in the mind of mankind) and we are making joinder with it and thus we will be recognized everywhere as a *person/fiction* before the law and having studied this book to this point, we should have an understanding of the negative implications of that recognition.

There is something else we should bring to everyone's attention at this point.

To introduce the topic, the question we will ask is, "Who is 'you'?"

A judge will NEVER say, "I sentence you, John Doe, to..." If the judge said this, he/she would be essentially sentencing a human being and they have no authority/jurisdiction over human beings, they only have authority/jurisdiction over persons (fictions) (again, unless we have committed the real crime of harming another or their property).

A judge may say something like, "John Doe, I sentence "you" to..." In this example, the judge is simply telling you that he/she is sentencing the legal person/fiction (registered name) over which he/she has authority/jurisdiction, not the human being.

For example, what the judge said is similar to saying, "John Doe, I sentence "Harry" to..." If this happened, we would probably (at least I hope we would) ask, "Who is Harry?" By the same logic and reason, we should be asking who is "you".

By saying it this way, the judge is leaving it open for the human being to make the joinder himself/herself by his/her not objecting. This is the opportunity for us to say, "I don't consent to be recognized as the 'you' that is being sentenced".

Why does the Judge choose this wording? So he/she can't be accused of any wrongdoing. *The Human Rights Defenders League in Canada* (11.04.2012) Page 50 of 63

The Solution to ALL Our Problems

Updated, 2013

In other words he/she has plausible deniability. If we say nothing, we have truly volunteered to go to jail or pay whatever price the court determines is appropriate. In fact, the judge dumped his liability on us! Isn't he/she in a pickle when we say, "I don't consent to be 'you'."

The sentence has nothing to do with us human beings, but if we believe that we are the *legal person/registered name/fiction*, then we will volunteer to pay the price. We are tricked into going to jail for offending *fictional* laws, not natural or real law where there is harm to another or damage to property. Most human beings in jail today are there because they volunteered.

It is exactly like the game of Monopoly. However, if our token (*legal name/person/fiction*) is sent to jail, we would not go to jail but in the courts today, we actually do – and it is all voluntary!

However, it is also entrapment at its very best. We said before, they are very clever.

These are some of the tricks that they play on us to gain jurisdiction over us human beings and to execute their laws (*Acts and Statutes*) against us - otherwise free and equal human beings (*men and women*).

Chapter 6

The Solution



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

This Chapter might better have been titled *A Solution* as opposed to *The Solution* because admittedly, there may well be other solutions to all our problems, but it is demonstrably certain that all our problems are related to the private ownership of our money supply and the corporations that grow up around them.

These *fictional* entities, whether or not they are literally corporations or governments, are debtors to the creditor bankers who hold our governments' debt, and are the servant while the banker is the Master.

Following the first edition of this book, we have been blessed to meet a lawyer who will be taking our legal action to court charging the government(s), amongst others, with human rights violations.

Even before our lawyer knew we existed, he/she was coincidentally researching the components of our cause, looking to the same goal of achieving <u>bank & monetary reform</u>, accessing our patrimonial entitlements, and being released from the registered name.

This prominent and competent lawyer, is prepared to surrender his/her Bar card(s) and be retained for this matter not as a lawyer, but as our collective agent.

He/she has more than ample experience to eliminate any potential for technical mistakes at every level of court procedure. This is exactly why we want him/her involved, and why we are not prepared to proceed into such an epic legal battle, without this type of experienced professional help.

This then, is our Solution which will bring fundamental and permanent change to the system which will correct all our individual problems.

For decades now, individuals and groups across Canada have been attempting to learn how to deal with this system of enslavement and theft, with limited success. We have come to realize that nothing done on an individual basis is going to fix our collective problems other than a successful legal action forcing government to rescind and/or change Acts and Statutes

that have been introduced to further the agenda of the "Cartel".

We believe that if one knows what is going on in the system and how mastery over us has been gained by deceit and trickery within that *fictional* system of commerce, then individual relief from the problems we all face may, in isolated instances be obtained now, but relief for all will only result from changing that system, not fighting or challenging it on an individual basis.

This is why our process is designed to utilize the tools built into the system itself, to actually cause foundational and permanent change to that system, as it was originally designed to be. The result will be our freedom from the enslavement of the banker dominated money system, and to have a government that will be our servant and not our master, taking instructions from us, and not from the manipulative bankers.

As Canadians, we are being deprived of our Patrimonial Entitlements, that being our right to freely enjoy our fair and equal share of the natural wealth and resources of our nation, and therefore we are not only entitled, but **we are morally obligated to take action** aimed at stopping that deprivation. That is what our process/legal action is all about.

Our objective therefore, as you will find set out within all our information, including this book, is based on the unique premise that we believe we have finally come to understand the root cause of the legal and financial problems we all face, and how to fix it. So we intend to take action specifically aimed at eliminating that root cause, thereby **permanently** fixing and preventing any such future problems for everyone.

We are not attempting to cure or remedy individual legal or financial problems that often result from that root cause, as so many other remedies and processes have tried in the past, and are pointlessly continuing to try. This is what we hope our information will help you come to understand, and this is the goal we need people like you to be informed about and become involved in, and why we need you to help support us attain the objective of permanent changes to the root cause of all our problems.

Let us quote from a recent e-mail from our lawyer;

"I need every single one of you on board to justify my stepping away from my practice and making such a potentially explosive application to the superior courts. And all of you certainly need me (or another competent lawyer willing to sacrifice his "legal" career) to properly handle this action.... We can [and will] win this, but to win a case of this scope and nature will not be easy, fast, or inexpensive. Even as the sizable group we are, this will still be very much a 'David & Goliath' battle."

Remember folks, this is an epic battle but one that must be undertaken and one that we are certainly proud to be part of. There are no permanent solutions within the current system of

The Solution to ALL Our Problems

Updated, 2013

commerce and it is a waste of time to pursue such until we change the system itself. We need to understand the problem and be ready to stay the course.

However, if one has a current issue with the system, you may be able to find some assistance in what follows.

A solution involves asserting or waiving our human rights. We have presented some helpful information and suggestions in this book that may help if we find ourselves in court prior to finalizing our legal action which is, we believe, destined to change not only this country and the lives of the human beings who live on this land mass, but the lives of the human beings of the entire world.

We have been informed by the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights at the United Nations that before they can become involved to enforce compliance with the *International Bill of Human Rights* upon member nations such as Canada, we must first exhaust our domestic administrative and judicial remedies.

Exhausting our administrative remedies then, would be step number one and has been completed by hundreds of us to date. There is no need at this time, for anyone to do more to prove that the government is ignoring us and continuing its human rights violations.

In that regard, to let everyone know what we have done, we filed a **Declaration of Private Law, Under Claim of Right**, which essentially explained to the recipients thereof, that we waive certain of our human rights such as the right to recognition everywhere as a **person** before the law. This document also informed the recipients that we asserted certain others of our human rights, such as the right not to be held in slavery or servitude.

As is customary with government, we allowed 60 days to pass and then we mailed to those same named recipients a *Notice and Demand* in which we made specific demands upon these recipients (*mainly government agents*) such as, we are not to be recognized as *persons* before the law and that we be provided with our Patrimony (*our birthright – dominion over the earth and all the wealth thereof, or the equivalent in money*).

Finally, after another 60 days had passed, we submitted a **letter of complaint** to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights and others at the United Nations advising them essentially that we had exhausted our domestic administrative remedies and would shortly be exhausting our judicial remedies and will advise them when that process has been completed.

Note: We have had sufficient numbers take these steps and our lawyer tells us that it is not necessary for new people who join with us to do this. All so-called "newbies" will be required to do to join us and become part of our lawsuit is to complete and provide our lawyer with an Affidavit/Affirmation, a sample of which can be viewed on our website. When the time comes

for people to submit their Affidavit/Affirmation to our lawyer in order to be a participant in the legal action, we will notify those who have indicated their desire to participate and the final version of the sample Affidavit/Affirmation will be available with instructions.

At this point then, we have exhausted our administrative remedies and are presently preparing to exhaust our judicial remedy with the aforementioned legal action.

We had considered and prepared the necessary documentation to file a Requisition with the Receiver General of Canada requesting that he/she arrange for an appropriation from the Canadian Consolidated Revenue Fund as partial payment of our Patrimonial Entitlements.

This was intended to be the impetus to file a legal action against the government(s) for human rights violations if they did not perform accordingly.

However, based upon legal and other advice, we have determined that this process is absolutely not necessary since our *Declaration* and *Notice and Demand* exhausts our administrative remedies and demands for our Patrimonial Entitlements were made and the government has failed to perform. No further action is necessary to support court action.

Therefore, we are entitled to, and will, file the intended legal action without further delay.

We are not without a little experience of our own in the legal arena. For example, one of our volunteer correspondents has been directly involved in four large civil litigations, one of which was against Canada's largest Mortgage and Trust Company at the time, and another of even more public nature that was brought against him and another lawyer as his co-respondent, jointly by the Law Society of BC and the Bar Association's Special Compensation Fund and the BC Securities Commission.

These are formidable opponents (a bank & trust company, and a provincial government, and an entire law society) with endless resources in each case, and he won all four cases, and he is just one example of our many volunteers' experience. Those four cases represented a combined legal budget of over \$1.2M just on his side, and about 18 total years of open court file time (between filing and settling each case). He still considers himself a novice in terms of court procedural experience compared to our proposed lawyer.

The government has not met its commitment under the *International Bill of Human Rights* and we are now left with no alternative but to file a legal action against them and others.

One of these obligations is contained in Articles such as Article 25 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* and Article 11 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, which state "Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical

The Solution to ALL Our Problems

Updated, 2013

care and necessary services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.". The Covenant goes on to say that everyone is entitled "to the continuous improvement of living conditions."

For the readers sake we would like to explain why we are all entitled to these things and why there is not, nor should there be, a requirement to work to obtain money with which to acquire these things.

We, as human beings, were given dominion by our Creator, over the earth and all the wealth thereof. Since the government is controlling and managing (or mismanaging, as the case may be) the earth and natural wealth thereof, they must harvest and sell those natural resources for sufficient money to cover the cost of its own operations and we are to receive the remainder.

This situation places duties upon the Trustee(s) (government) obligating them to the Beneficiaries and that is why we are entitled to what we often refer to as our Patrimony which the Quebec Civil Code acknowledges that we all have.

We believe there is no defence and the government (Trustee) must perform as required, which is its obligation under the *International Bill of Human Rights*.

If the court decides in our favour, then we have obviously won. If the court does not decide in our favour, and that will not only shock us, it will shock our lawyer who has stated "we will win", then we have exhausted our domestic judicial remedies and are now entitled to file a complaint with the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights at the United Nations who is obliged to act to enforce the *International Bill of Human Rights* upon Canada as a member nation.

The ultimate objective of our court action(s) is the regaining of our freedom from the enslavement Acts and Statutes of government and enjoy our birthright by forcing the government to take back the power to create our money supply thus ridding ourselves of the private Banksters.

It is now very simple to join with us to secure your freedom and your entitlements (including your share of the wealth of this country).

Simply go to either <u>www.HumanRightsDefendersLeague.ca</u> or <u>www.naturalgod.com</u> There you will find instructions and the necessary documents to allow you to join in our legal action.

Chapter 7

Appearing in Court



Remember, "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights." [Article 1, UDHR]

We would like to point out that we are not lawyers, we are human rights defenders, therefore please do not consider anything we say in this book to be legal advice. Our purpose for sharing the information in the book is to document and analyze our human rights and other information on how to claim and protect our human rights.

We will have a page on our website <u>www.HumanRightsDefendersLeague.ca</u> where people may, if they wish, share their court experiences and if they were successful or not and what they might do differently if they were not successful.

In this way, we can all help one another without the possibility of being accused by the monopolistic BAR Association or various Law Societies of practicing law without a license.

We would like to discuss what we comprehend concerning the courts in Canada and hopefully dispel certain notions concerning the true jurisdiction of the courts.

Recently, we were provided a quote, purportedly from one Howard freeman. We do not know Howard Freeman, and have no way of verifying his claims, although we find this alleged quote very suspect:

"Unknown Judge: "[This] court is operating in a Admiralty Jurisdiction - call it anything you want, but do not call it Admiralty"".

Our opinion is that no judge ever really said this, which is no doubt also the real reason why neither he, nor any of his friends can provide any actual evidence of his claim.

Further, we do not believe our courts operate in Admiralty Jurisdiction, except and unless they are dealing with actual Maritime issues. Insisting that our courts operate in Admiralty, we firmly believe, is simply a conclusion of people who refuse to believe that our courts always operate in Common Law, because those people refuse to let go of their emotional attachments to what they have mistakenly believed. Letting go of these beliefs is what it would take to enable them to comprehend what Common Law courts are, and how they actually operate.

Common Law courts have for many centuries, properly operated under very distinct sets of rules, designed to facilitate what might loosely be termed three categories of trial jurisdictions.

First, they operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection of the people and of the people's property, and more particularly, property held under claim of right, consistent with <u>natural law</u>, wherein in these instances, judges have generally what is called "<u>inherent jurisdiction</u>" to judge <u>what is fair, just and right</u>, according to his or her reasonable and prudent assessment of the facts of a matter, where damage or harm is alleged to have been made to private people or to private property.

Second, these same courts operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection of the people's right to private contract, also consistent with <u>natural law</u>. This aspect of the Common Law courts can be slightly confusing.

Judges <u>may</u> only have what is referred to as "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>" to determine <u>whether or not</u> a party has violated a term or condition of an <u>actual or implied contract</u> (<u>depending on the style of the application before the court</u>). However, where violations of specific terms or conditions of the contract has been alleged or so confirmed, then the judges will also have "<u>inherent jurisdiction</u>" to judge <u>what is fair, just and right</u>, according to his or her reasonable and prudent assessment as to the value of damages for such a violation (<u>or violations</u> as the case may be).

Third, these same courts operate according to the Common Law of the people, for the direct protection and enforcement of the people's <u>democratically enacted law of the land</u>, which is consistent with fiction law, and is even so admitted to be fiction law within its own rules. In these instances, most judges generally are restricted by a style of "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>", and rather than being able to judge what is fair, just and right, they are <u>limited</u> to judging <u>whether or not</u> an individual has offended by violating or breaching a term or clause of an <u>act, statute, rule, by-law, or other fiction "law of the land"</u>, while in these same instances, where an offence or violation has been so confirmed, then the judges also only have "<u>limited jurisdiction</u>" to impose <u>prescribed fines or penalties</u> according to the democratically enacted statute, act, rule, by-law, or other fiction "law of the land" so violated.

In the instance where charges are alleged under this "law of the land", a judge may indeed have "inherent jurisdiction", if a **separate application** has been made regarding the charges alleging that one or more democratically enacted [fiction] laws, acts, statutes, rules, by-laws, etc., (law of the land), have violated or abrogated a superior law; superior law meaning a natural law, such as harm to an individual or to his property, particularly property held under claim of right, or as offending against any of his other fundamental or natural human rights, or where the matter is being adjudicated in courts of equity.

Such an action may result in the judge with "<u>inherent jurisdiction</u>" ordering alterations, amendments, or even striking the offending [<u>fiction</u>] <u>law of the land</u>. Yet this same excellent evidence, that may well support an independent application to strike, alter or amend the offending [<u>fiction</u>] <u>law of the land</u>, does not in most cases, qualify for presentment as evidence to defend against applications made by a Plaintiff alleging a breach or offence of only a specific aspect of that same offending <u>democratically enacted [fiction] law of the land, act, statute, rule, by-law, etc.</u>

Likewise, this same "limited jurisdiction" applies to instances in natural law, where that

application is made alleging breach of a specific clause or clauses of a **private** contract made under natural right, which is supported by the Common Law. In these instances, <u>defence is also limited</u>, or restricted to only that evidence that is materially related to the specific clause or clauses alleged to have been offended or breached.

Such a contract may indeed be found to offend the superior <u>natural law</u>, or it may be found wanting for lack of disclosure, or even for containing fraudulent, or illegal clauses. However there is an <u>implied clause in every private contract</u>, quite often it is actually expressed along these lines, but not required to be: "if any clause or clauses of this agreement are found unlawful or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, then the remainder of this contact shall be enforced as if the offending clause or clauses do not exist."

Thus for example, if a party is alleged by application to the court to be in default of payments pursuant to a certain clause in a loan or mortgage agreement, then that party's <u>defence is strictly limited</u> to tendering evidence that proves he is either, not in default, or willing and immediately able to pay the said default - even if and when that subject loan or mortgage contract could easily be shown to be wanting for disclosure, containing fraudulent and misleading clauses, and even illegal terms.

The hard part for people to accept, seems to be the reality that where a judge in our Common Law court is <u>limited</u> in his or her jurisdiction, so too is an accused or a defendant, <u>limited</u> to what he may tender as defence.

For example, in an application to the court that simply alleges a party is in default of a mortgage contract, the judge has <u>limited jurisdiction</u> to determine whether or not that party is in default. Likewise a defaulting party, can only offer evidence that either he is not in default, or he is ready willing and able to immediately pay the default.

The issue of "why" he is in default, or of whether or not the contract is valid, or fraudulent, or criminally complicit, is not within the judge's limited jurisdiction under that type of application, even though the contract may well be fraudulent, etc.

If the contract is indeed fraudulent or void for any other reason, that issue would require a separate application be made by the accused, where relief is sought from a Common Law court with inherent jurisdiction to rule on whether or not the contract was fraudulent, not whether or not a party was in default of a clause within that contract.

Likewise, a driver accused of speeding. The judge in our Common Law court, is <u>limited</u> to determining <u>whether or not</u> the driver was speeding <u>and further limited</u> to imposing the <u>prescribed penalty</u>. The judge <u>cannot hear</u> any evidence as to whether or not the driver is required to have a license, or whether or not having a license denies the human being's rights, etc.

Again that requires a separate application to a Common Law court of competent jurisdiction to hear that specific type of issue.

To compound matters, most people do not even read the contracts they sign. For example, in

most loan and mortgage contracts, there is a clause that states something to the effect that "the applicant surrenders all rights, title, and interest in and to the security, etc.", which means exactly what it says. So for further example, if that same contract is found wanting because it violates some otherwise superior natural law, or it contains fraudulent, misleading, or even illegal clauses, any of those possible defences may have been forfeited by virtue of the applicant "voluntarily" surrendering all of his rights, including his right to be protected by any superior laws, remedies, or human rights, etc.

Ironically, this same voluntary forfeiture of rights and remedies, may be found to occur in virtually every instance where an individual signs an application for just about anything, including but certainly not limited to an application for a driver's license, or for a building permit, a library card, a dog license, or an application to register a live birth, or to apply for a SIN card, or for a burning permit, or even when you apply to file a tax return. In every instance you are pre-emptively and voluntarily forfeiting many, if not all of your fundamental natural rights and lawful remedies, merely by signing the application.

It is clear then, that there can be no doubt of the necessity for our proposed legal action to permanently correct this situation for the benefit of all human beings of this land and even this world.

These examples make out some of the reasons why people often get shut down by the court and become confounded and frustrated when attempting to introduce evidence in defence, because they do not comprehend that this is how Common Law courts work.

That is also why legal contracts always refer to a "court of competent jurisdiction", because depending upon the "application" being made to the court, the applicant is defining by request, the very style and nature of jurisdiction he wishes the subject matter to be tried in and the respondent MUST defend in kind.

In almost every instance, the *defendant* will have pre-emptively and voluntarily forfeited many, if not all of his fundamental natural rights and lawful remedies, simply by signing an application without actually reading it, and so not comprehending its lawful meaning.

Hence all <u>statute or fiction law offences</u> are brought where the judge is strictly "<u>limited</u>", thus also <u>limiting the defence</u> to providing proof that he did not offend or violate the statute or *fiction* law as alleged.

In such instances, it is completely irrelevant whether or not the statute or *fiction* law in question offends or violates the *accused/defendant's* human rights. In such instances, the accused/defendant will be required, if he/she wishes to rely on such a defence, require a <u>separate</u> application to a court of competent jurisdiction; meaning to a court with the inherent capacity to judge whether or not the party's human rights are being violated, not whether or not the party violated the statute.

Even when properly styled, however, such an application may still fail if that party has already voluntarily forfeited his natural rights and remedies.

What people often do, is try to inject evidence in defence, that by its very nature, requires the judge to have inherent jurisdiction over those evidentiary issues that he/she does not have because technically, they are outside the limited scope of the application, and therefore the limited jurisdiction, of the judge.

This type of evidence is treated like it is not even heard, or dismissed with the wave of a hand, causing people to incorrectly assume, that it was dismissed or ignored because the judge has some clever secret rules associated with this mythical thing called Admiralty Jurisdiction that they claim he is operating in.

We are convinced that judges do not have any secret rules, and they are not operating in Admiralty Jurisdiction. The Common Law authority for how he deals with evidence is clearly provided for within the rules of our Common Law courts as outlined above.

In those instances where a judge is operating in Admiralty Jurisdiction, he/she is doing so simply because an application regarding an actual Maritime issue has been properly brought before the Common Law court.

None of this means that there are no problems to be addressed and remedied. On the contrary, the very "law of the land" (Acts and Statutes) remains a problem to be rectified since the vast majority, if not all, of these legislated rules offend human rights.

Our legal action then, remains a necessity. The laws of this nation must be changed in order for the people to be free and have unfettered access to their birthright, the earth and all the wealth thereof.

To summarize:

- We have learned the root cause of most, if not all, our problems is money. Not necessarily money, but the type of money we have available in Canada, which is privately created money loaned to us at interest. This creates the mathematical impossibility of paying interest from the borrowed funds, and then being able to repay the borrowed funds, thus the enslavement of the people. This facilitates the criminally fraudulent, but technically "legal" conveyance of all wealth to the benefit of the bankers that own the money even the money they let (caused) you think you have earned.
- Because of the private control of our monetary system, and the wealth accumulated by those who hold that power (actually stolen from the human beings of this planet), allows those few individuals (families) to require the passage of the laws that they want and as Creditor to the government(s), they have the authority to make such demands.
- Most, if not all, those laws, in some manner or another, violate our human rights.
- To avoid the possibility of being guilty of human rights violations, these bankers, through their obedient lawyers have created a system (game) wherein *fictional*

characters are created which human beings can be recognized as, such as *persons*, *citizens*, *drivers*, *taxpayers*, *fisher-pesons*, etc.

- All human beings are then deceitfully tricked into believing they are, or must play, those fictional characters.
- Once that is accomplished, then those *fictional* laws apply to those who volunteer to be so recognized. This system (game) is a system of *inequality*.
- The system or world created by our Creator, is a system of *equality* wherein every human being is created (born) free and equal in dignity and rights, just as is stated in Article 1 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.
- A return to freedom and equality wherein each human being has the right to do anything they want and are restricted only by natural laws and to do no harm, is the purpose of our legal action.

We would like close by quoting from a book by Milton Mayer about what happened to the German people during 1933 to 1945. This short excerpt tells a familiar story and we should recognize it as exactly what has happened to us and in fact, the entire world today.

It validates a statement this author has often made, "Hitler was an experiment that is being (and now has been) duplicated worldwide today."

An excerpt from

They Thought They Were Free

The Germans, 1933-45

Milton Mayer

But Then It Was Too Late

"What no one seemed to notice," said a colleague of mine, a philologist, "was the ever widening gap, after 1933, between the government and the people. Just think how very wide this gap was to begin with, here in Germany. And it became always wider. You know, it doesn't make people close to their government to be told that this is a people's government, a true democracy, or to be enrolled in civilian defense, or even to vote. All this has little, really nothing, to do with *knowing* one is governing.

"What happened here was the gradual habituation of the people, little by little, to being governed by surprise; to receiving decisions deliberated in secret; to believing that the situation was so complicated that the government had to act on information which the people could not understand, or so dangerous that, even if the people could not understand it, it could not be released because of national security. And their sense of identification with Hitler, their trust in

him, made it easier to widen this gap and reassured those who would otherwise have worried about it.

"This separation of government from people, this widening of the gap, took place so gradually and so insensibly, each step disguised (perhaps not even intentionally) as a temporary emergency measure or associated with true patriotic allegiance or with real social purposes. And all the crises and reforms (real reforms, too) so occupied the people that they did not see the slow motion underneath, of the whole process of government growing remoter and remoter.

Please do not let this experiment, our enslavement and the theft of the entire earth and its wealth to the benefit of a few bankers be the legacy we leave behind when we leave this planet.

Join us and help take back our freedom and dominion.